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Of the agricultural Syftems, or of thofe Syftems of political CEconomy, wbich reprefent the Produce of Land as citber the fole or the principal Source of the Revenue and Wealth of every Country.

THE agricultural fyftems of political œco- в о nomy will not require fo long an expla- $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{H}}^{\text {IV }}$ nation as that which I have thought it ${ }^{\text {IX }}$ neceffary to beftow upon the mercantile or commercial fyftem.

That fyftem which reprefents the produce of land as the fole fource of the revenue and wealth of every country has, fo far as I know, never been adopted by any nation, and it at prefent exifts only in the fpeculations of a few men of great
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oo ${ }_{\text {IV }} \mathrm{K}$ learning and ingenuity in France. It would not, 'furely, be worth while to examine at great length the errors of a fyftem which never has done, and probably never will do any harm in any part of the world. I fhall endeavour to explain, however, as diftinctly as I can, the great outlines of this very ingenious fyftem.

Mr. Colbert, the famous miniter of Lewis XIV. was a man of probity, of great induftry and knowledge of detail; of great experience and acutenefs in the examination of public accounts, and of abilities, in fhort, every way fitted for introducing method and good order into the collection and expenditure of the public revenue. That miniter had unfortunately embraced all the prejudices of the mercantile fyftem, in its nature and effence a fyftem of reftraint and regulation, and fuch as could farce fail to be agreeable to a laborious and plodding man of bufinefs, who had been accuftomed to regulate the different departments of public offices, and to eftabling the neaeffary checks and controuls for confining each to its proper fphere. The induftry and commerce of a great country he endeavoured to regulate upon the fame model as the departments of a public office; and inftead of allowing every man to purfue his own intereft his own way, upon the liberal plan of equality, liberty and juftice, he beftowed upon certain branches of induftry extraordinary privileges, while he laid others under as extraordinary reftraints. He was not only difpofed, like other European minifters, to
 that of the country, but, in order to fupport the ' indufty of the towns, he was willing even to dea prefs and keep down that of the country. In order to render provifions cheap to the inhabitants of the towns, and thereby to encourage manufactures and foreign commerce, he prohibited altogether the exportation of corn, and thus excluded the inhabitants of the country from every foreign market for by far the moft important part of the produce of their induftry. This prohibition, joined to the reftraints impofed by the ancient provincial laws of France upon the tranfportation of corn from one province to another, and the the arbitrary and degrading taxes which are levied upon the culcivators in almolt all the provinces, difcouraged and kept down the agriculture of that country very much below the ftate to which it would naturally have rifen in fo very fertile a foil and fo very happy a climate. This ftate of difcouragement and depreffion was felt more or lefs in every different part of the country, and many different inquiries were fet on foot concerning the caufes of it. One of thofe caules appeared to be the preference given, by the inftitutions of Mr . Colbert, to the induftry of the towns above that of the country.

If the rod be bent too much one way, fays the proverb, in order to make it ftraight you mutt bend it as much the other. The French philofophers, who have propofed the fyitem which reprefents agriculture as the fole fource of the revenue and wealth of every country, feem to have B 2
adopted

Boor adopted this proverbial maxim; and as in the plan of Mr. Colbert the induftry of the towns was sertainly over-valued in comparifon with that of the country; fo in their fytem it feems to be as certainly under-valued.

The different orders of people who have ever been fuppofed to contribute in any refpett towards the annual produce of the land and labour of the country, they divide into three claffes. The firft is the clais of the proprietors of land. The fecond is the clafs of the cultivators, of farmers and country labourers, whom they honour with the peculiar appellation of the productive clafs. The third is the clafs of artificers, manufacturers and merchants, whom they endeavour to degrade by the humiliating appellation of the barren or unproductive clafs.

The clafs of proprietors contributes to the annual produce by the expence which they may occafionally lay out upon the improvement of the land, upon the buildings, drains, enclofures and other ameliorations, which they may either make or maintain upon it, and by means of which the cultivators are enabled, with the fame capital, to raife a greater produce, and confequently to pay a greater rent. This advanced rent may be confidered as the intereft or profit due to the proprietor upon the expence or capital which he thus employs in the improvement of his land. Such expences are in this fyftem called ground expences (depenfes foncieres).
The cultivators or farmers contribute to the annual produce by what are in this fyftem called
the original and annual expences (depenfes pri- $\mathbf{C H}{ }^{\mathbf{H}} \mathbf{A}^{\text {P. }}$ mitives et depenfes annuelles) which they lay out upon the cultivation of the land. The original expences confift in the inftrumeats of hufbandry, in the tock of cattle, in the feed, and in the maintenance of the farmer's family, fervants and cattle, during at leaft a great part of the firt year of his occupancy, or till he can receive fome return from the land. The annual expences confift in the feed, in the wear and tear of the inftruments of hufbandry, and in the annual maintenance of the farmer's fervants and cattle, and of his family too, fo far as any part of them can be confidered as fervants employed in cultivation. That part of the produce of the land which remains to him after paying the rent, ought to be fufficient, firf, to replace to him within a reafonable time, at leaft during the term of his occupancy, the whole of his original expences, together with the ordinary profits of ftock; and, fecondly, to replace to him annually the whole of his annual expences, together likewife with the ordinary profits of ftock. Thole two forts of expences are two capitals which the farmer employs in cultivation; and unlefs they are regularly reftored to him, together with a reafonable profit, he cannot carry on his employment upon a level with other employments; but, from a regard to his own intereft, muft defert it as foon as poffible, and feek fome other. That part of the produce of the land which is chus neceffary for enabling the farmer to continue his bufinefs, ought to be confidered as a fund facred

Bonk to cultivation, which if the landlord violates, he neceffarily reduces the produce of his own land, and in a few years not only difables the farmer from paying this racked rent, but from paying the reafonable rent which he might otherwife have got for his land. The rent which properly belongs to the landlord, is no more than the neat produce which remains after paying in the completeft manner all the neceffary expences which muft be previoully laid out in order to raife the grofs, or the whole produce. It is becaufe the labour of the cultivators, over and above paying completely all thofe neceffary expences, affords a neat produce of this kind, that this clafs of people are in this fyltem peculiarly diftinguifhed by the honourable appellation of the productive clafs. Their original and annual expences are for the fame reafon called, in this fyftem, productive expences, becaule, over and above replacing their own value, they occafion the annual reproduction of this neat produce.

The ground expences, as they are called, or what the landlord lays out upon the improvement of his land, are in this fyftem too honoured with the appellation of productive expences. Till the whole of thofe expences, together with the ordinary profits of fock, have been com. pletely repaid to him by the advanced rent which he gets from his land, that advanced rent ought to be regarded as facred and inviolable, both by the church and by the king; ought to be fubject neither to tithe nor to taxation. If it is otherwife, by difcouraging the improvement of land,
the church difcourages the future increafe of her $\mathbf{c}$ Ha P . own-tithes, and the king the future increafe of his own taxes. As in a well-ordered fate of things, therefore, thofe ground expences, over and above reproducing in the completeft manner their own value, occafion likewife after a certain time a reproduction of a neat produce, they are in this fyltem confidered as productive expences.

The ground expences of the landlord, however, together with the original and the annual expences of the farmer, are the only three forts of expences which in this fyftem are confidered as productive. All other expences and all other orders of people, even thofe who in the common apprehenfions of men are regarded as the moft productive, are in this account of things reprefented as altogether barren and unproductive.

Artificers and manufacturers, in particular, whofe induftry, in the common apprehenfions of men, increafes fo much the value of the rude produce of land, are in this fyftem reprefented as a clafs of people altogether barren and unproductive. Their labour, it is faid, replaces only the ftock which employs them, toguer with its ordinary profits. That ftock confifts in the materials, tools, and wages, advanced to them by their employer; and is the fund deftined for their employment and maintenance. Its profits are the fund deftined for the maintenance of their employer. Their employer, as he advances to them the ftock of materials, tools and wages neceffary for their employment, fo he advances

B O O K to himfelf what is neceffary for his own maintenance. and this maintenance he generally proportions to the profit which he expects to make. by the price of their work. Unlefs its price repays to him the maintenance which he advances to himfelf, as well as the materials, tools and wages which he advances to his workmen, it evidently does not repay to him the whole expence which he lays out upon it. The profits of manufacturing ftock, therefore, are not, like the rent of land, a neat produce which remains after completely repaying the whole expence which muft be laid out in order to obtain them. The ftock of the farmer yields him a profit as well as that of the mafter manufacturer; and it yields a rent likewife to another perfon, which that of the mafter manufacturer does not. The expence, therefore, laid out in employing and maintaining artificers and manufacturers, does no more than continue, if one may fay fo, the exittence of its own value, and does not produce any new value. It is therefore altogether a barren and unproductive expence. The expence, on the contrary, laid out in employing farmers and country labourers, over and above continuing the exiftence of its own value, produces a new value, the rent of the landlord. It is therefore a productive expence.

Mercantile flack is equally barren and unproductive with manufacturing fock. It only continues the exiftence of its own value, without producing any new value. Its profits are only the repayment of the maintenance which its em-
ployer advances to himfelf during the time that CH A P . he employs it, or till, he receives the returns of $\underbrace{\text { Ix. }}$ it. They are only the repayment of a part of the expence which muft be laid out in employing it.

The labour of artificers and manufacturers never adds any thing to the value of the whole annual amount of the rude produce of the land. It adds indeed greatly to the value of fome particular parts of it. But the confumption which in the mean time it occafions of other parts, is precifely equal to the value which it adds to thofe parts; fo that the value of the whole amount is not at any one moment of time, in the leaft augmented by it. The perfon who works the lace of a pair of fine ruffes, for example, will fometimes raife the value of perhaps a pennyworth of flax to thirty pounds fterling. But though at firft fight he appears thereby to multiply the value of a part of the rude produce about feven thoufand and two hundred times, he in reality adds nothing to the value of the whole annual amount of the rude produce. The working of that lace cofts him perhiaps two years labour. The thirty pounds which he gets for it when it is finifhed, is no more than the repayment of the fubfiftence which he advances to himfelf during the two years that he is employed about it. The value which, by every day's, month's, or year's labour, he adds to the flax, does no more than replace the value of his own confumption during that day; month, or year. At no moment of time, therefore, does he add

BOOK any thing to the value of the whole annual amount of the rude produce of the land: the portion of that produce which he is continually confuming, being always equal to the value which he is continually producing. The extreme poverty of the greater part of the perfons employed in this expenfive, though trifting manufacture, may fatisfy us that the price of their work does not in ordinary cafes exceed the value of their fubfintence. It is otherwife with the work of farmers and country labourers. The rent of the landlord is a value, which, in ordinary cafes, it is. continually producing, over and above replacing, in the moft complete manner, the whole confumption, the whole expence laid out upon the employment and maintenance both of the workmen and of their employer.

Artificers, manufacturers and merchants, can augment the revenue and wealth of their fociety, by parfimony only; or, as it is expreffed in chis fyftem, by privation, that is, by depriving themfelves of a part of the funds deftined for their own fubfiftence. They annually reproduce nothing but thofe funds. Unlefs, therefore, they annually fave fome part of them, unlefs they annually deprive themfelves of the enjoyment of fome part of them, the revenue and wealth of their fociety can never be in the fmalleft degree augmented by means of their induftry. Farmers and country labourers, on the contrary, may enjoy completely the whole funds deftined for their own fubfiftence, and yet augment at the fame time the revenue and wealth of their fociety.

Over and above what is deftined for their own C HA. fubfiftence, their induftry annually affords a neat produce, of which the augmentation neceffarily augments the revenue and wealth of their fociety. Nations, therefore, which, like France or England, confift in a great meafure of proprietors and cultivators, can be enriched by induftry and enjoyment. Nations, on the contrary, which, like Holland and Hamburgh, are compoled chiefly of merchants, artificers and manufacturers, can grow rich only through parfimony and privation. As the intereft of nations fo differently circumftanced, is very different, fo is likewife the common character of the people. In thofe of the former kind, liberality, franknels, and good fellowfhip, naturally make a part of that common character. In the latter, narrownefs, meannefs, and a felfifh difpofition, averfe to all focial pleafure and enjoyment.

The unproductive clafs, that of merchants, artificers and manufacturers, is maintained and employed altogether at the expence of the two other claffes, of that of proprietors, and of that of cultivators. They furnifh it both with the materials of its work and with the fund of its fubfiftence, with the corn and cattle which it confumes while it is employed about that work. The proprietors and cultivators finally pay both the wages of all the workmen of the unproduc. tive clafs, and the profits of all their employers Thofe workmen and their employers are properly the fervants of the proprietors and cultivators. They are only fervants who work without doors,
${ }^{\text {B O O X }}$ IV as menial fervants work within. Both the one and the other, however, are equally maintained at the expence of the fame mafters. The labour of both is equally unproductive. It adds nothing to the value of the fum total of the rude produce of the land. Inftead of increafing the value of that fum total, it is a charge and expence which muft be paid out of it.

The unproductive clars, however, is not only ufeful, but greatly ufeful to the other two claffes, By means of the induftry of merchants, artificers and manufacturers, the proprietors and cultivators can purchafe both the foreign goods and the manufaetured produce of their own country which they have occation for, with the produce of a much fmaller quantity of their own labour, than what they would be obliged to employ, if they were to attempt, in an aukward and unkilful manner, either to import the one or to make the other for their own ufe. By means of the unproductive clafs, the cultivaturs are delivered from many cares which would otherwife diftract their attention from the cultivation of land. The fuperiority of produce, which, in confequence of this undivided attention, they are enabled to raife, is fully fufficient to pay the whole expence which the maintenance and employment of the unproductive clafs colls either the proprietors, or themfelves. The induftry of merchants, artificers and manufacturers, though in its own nature altogether unproductive, yet contributes in this manner indirectly to increale the produce of the land. It increafes the productive
powers of productive labour, by leaving it at ${ }^{\text {C }}{ }_{1 \times}$ A. ${ }^{\text {P. }}$ liberty to confine itfelf to its proper employment, the cultivation of land; and the plough goes frequently the eafier and the better by means of the labour of the man whofe bulinels is molt remote from the plough.

It can never be the intereft of the proprietors and cultivators to reftrain or to difcourage in any refpect the induftry of merchants, artificers and manufacturers. The greater the liberty which this unproductive clats enjoys, the greater will be the compecition in all the different trades which compofe it, and the cheaper will the other two claffes be fupplied, both with foreign goods and with the manufactured produce of their own country.

It can never be the intereft of the unproductive clafs to opprefs the other two claffes. It is the furplus produce of the land, or what remains after deducting the maintenance, firft, of the cultivators, and afterwards, of the proprietors, that maintains and employs the unproductive clafs. The greater this furplus, the greater muft likewife be the maintenance and employment of that clafs. The eftablifhment of perfect juftice, of perfect liberty, and of perfect equality, is the very fimple fecret which moft effectually fecures the higheft degree of profperity to all the three claffes.

The merchants, artificers and manufacturers of thofe mercantile ftates which, like Holland and Hamburgh, confilt chiefly of this unproductive clafs, are in the fame manner maintained,
sook and employed altogether at the expence of the proprietors and cultivators of land. The only difference is, that thofe proprietors and cultivators are, the greater part of them, placed at a moft inconvenient diftance from the merchants, artificers and manufacturers whom they fupply with the materials of their work and the fund of their fubfiftence, are the inhabitants of other countries, and the fubjects of other govern* ments.

Such mercantile ftates, however, are not only ufeful, but greatly ufeful to the inhabitants of thofe other countries. They fill up, in fome meafure, a very important void, and fupply the place of the merchants, artificers and manufacturers, whom the inhabitants of thofe countries ought to find at home, but whom, from fome defect in their policy, they do not find at home.

It can never be the interelt of thofe landed nations, if I may call them fo, to difcourage or diftrefs the induftry of fuch mercantile ftates, by impofing high duties upon their trade, or upon the commodities which they furnifh. Such duties, by rendering thofe commodities dearer, could ferve only to fink the real value of the furplus produce of their own land, with which, or, what comes to the fame thing, with the price of which thofe commodities are purchafed. Such duties could ferve only to difcourage the increafe of that furplus produce, and confequently the improvement and cultivation of their own land. The moft effectual expedient, on the contrary, for raifing the value of that furplus pro duce,
duce, for encouraging its increafe, and confe- C $\underset{\text { Ix. }}{ }{ }^{\text {P. }}$ quently the improvement and cultivation of theirs own land, would be to allow the moft perfect freedom to the trade of all fuch mercantile na-

This perfect freedom of trade would even be the moft effectual expedient for fupplying them, in due time, with all the artificers, manufacturers and merchants whom they wanted at home, and for filling up in the propereft and moft advantageous manner that very important void which they felt there.

The continual increafe of the furplus produce of their land would, in due time, create a greater capital than what could be employed with the ordinary rate of profit in the improvement and cultivation of land; and the furplus part of it would naturally turn icfelf to the employment of artificers and manufacturers at home. But thofe artificers and manufacturers, finding at home both the materials of their work and the fund of their fubliftence, might immediately, even with much lefs art and ikill, be able to work as cheap as the little artificers and manufacturers of fuch mercancile ftates, who had both to bring from a greater diftance. Even though, from want of art and fill, they might not for fome time be able to work as cheap, yet, finding a market at home, they might be able to fell their work there as cheap as that of the artificers and manufacturers of fuch mercantile ftates, which could not brought to that market but from fo great a diftance; and as their art and fkill im-
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proved, they would foon be able to fell it ${ }^{-h}$ The artificers and manufacturers of fuch mercantile ftates, therefore, would immediately be rivalled in the market of thofe landed nations, and foon after underfold and juftled out of it altogether. The cheapnefs of the manufactures of thofe landed nations, in confequence of the gradual improvements of art and fkill, would, in due time, extend their fale beyond the home market, and carry them to many foreign markets, from which they would in the fame manner gradually juftle out many of the manufactures of fuch mercantile nations.

This continual increafe both of the rude and manufactured produce of thofe landed nations would in due time create a greater capital than could, with the ordinary rate of profit, be employed either in agriculture or in manufactures. The furplus of this capital would naturally turn irfelf to foreign trade, and be employed in exporting, to foreign countries, fuch parts of the rude and manufactured produce of its own country, as exceeded the demand of the home market. In the exportation of the produce of their own country, the merchants of a landed nation would have an advantage of the fame kind over thofe of mercantile nations, which its artificers and manufacturers had over the artificers and manufacturers of fuch nations; the advantage of finding at home that cargo, and thofe ftores and provifions, which the others were obliged to feek for at a diftance. With inferior art and fkill in navigation, therefore, they would
be able to fell that cargo as cheap in foreign mar- C H A P. kets as the merchants of fuch mercantile nations; and with equal art and fkill they would be able to fell it cheaper. They would foon, therefore, rival thofe mercantile nations in this branch of foreign trade, and in due time would juftle them out of it altogether.

According to this liberal and generous fyftem, therefore, the moft advantageous method in which a landed nation can raife up artificers, manufacturers and merchants of its own, is to grant the moft perfect freedom of trade to the artificers, manufacturers and merchants of all other nations. It thereby raifes the value of the furplus produce of its own land, of which the continual increafe gradually eftablifhes a fund, which in due time neceffarily raifes up all the artificers, manufacturers and merchants whom it has occafion for.

When a landed nation, on the contiary, oppreffes either by high duties or by prchibitions the trade of foreign nations, it neceffarily hurts its own intereft in two different ways. Firft, by raifing the price of all foreign goods and of all forts of manufactures, it neceffarily finks the real value of the furplus produce of its own land, with which, or, what comes to the fame thing, with the price of which, it purchafes thofe foreign goods and manufactures. Secondly, by giving a fort of monopoly of the home market to its own merchants, artificers and manufacturers, it raifes the rate of mercantile and manufacturing profit, in proportion to that of agricultural profit, and - Voz. III.
 ' of the capital which had before been employed in it, or hinders from going to it a part of what would otherwife have gone to it. This policy, therefore, difcourages agriculture in two different ways; firft, by finking the real value of its produce, and thereby lowering the rate of its profit; and, fecondly, by raifing the rate of profit in all other employments. Agriculture is rendered lefs advantageous, and trade and manufactures more advantageous than they otherwife would be; and every man is tempted by his own intereft to turn, as much as he can, both his eapital and his induftry from the former to the latter employments.

Though, by this oppreffive policy, a landed nation fhould be able to raife up artificers, manufacturers and merchants of its own, fomewhat fooner than it could do by the freedom of trade; a matter, however, which is not a little doubtful; yet it would raife them up, if one may fay fo, prematurely, and before it was 'perfeetly ripe for them. By raifing up too haftily one fpecies of induftry, it would deprefs another more valuable fpecies of induftry. By raifing up too haftily a fpecies of induftry which only replaces the fock which employs it, together with the ordinary profit, it would deprefs a fpecies of induftry which, over and above replacing that ftock with its profit, affords likewife a neat produce, a free rent to the landlord. It would deprefs productive labour, by encou-
raging
raging too hattily that labour which is altogether C HA A. barren and unproductive.

In what manner, according to this fyftem, the fum total of the annual produce of the land is diftributed among the three claffes above mentioned, and in what manner the labour of the unproductive clafs does no more than replace the value of its own confumption, without increafing in any refpect the value of that fum total; is reprefented by Mr. Quefnai, the very ingenious and profound author of this fyftem, in fome arithmetical formularies. The firft of thefe formularies, which by way of eminence he peculiarly diftinguithes by the name of the Giconomical Table, reprefents the manner in which he fuppofes this diftribution takes place, in a ftate of the moft perfect liberty, and therefore of the higheft profperity; in a ftate where the annual produce is fuch as to afford the greateft poffible neat produce, and where each clafs enjoys its proper thare of the whole annual produoc. Some fubfequent formularies reprefent the manner, in which, he fuppofes, this diftribution is made in different ftates of reftraint and regulation; in which, either the clafs of proprietors, or the barren and unproductive clafs, is more favoured than the clafs of cultivators, and in which, either the one or the other encroaches more or lefs upon the fhare which ought properly to belong to this productive clafs. Every fuch encroachment, every violation of that natural diftribution, which the moft perfeet liberty would eftablih, muft, according to this fyftem,

## THE NATURE AND CAUSES OF

${ }^{5}$ oo K . neceffarily degrade more or lefs, from one year
to another, the value and fum total of the annual produce, and muft neceffarily occafion a gradual declenfion in the real wealth and revenue of the fociety; a declenfion of which the progrefs muft be quicker or flower, according to the degree of this encroachment, according as that natural diftribution, which the moft perfect liberty would eftablifh, is more or lefs violated. Thofe fubfequent formularies reprefent the different degrees of declenfion, which, according to this fyftem, correfpond to the different degrees in which this natural diftribution of things is violated.

Some fpeculative phyficians feem to have imagined that the health of the human body could be preferved only by a certain precife regimen of diet and exercife, of which every, the fimallef, violation neceffarily occafioned fome degree of difeafe or diforder proportionate to the degree of the violation. Experience, however, would feem to fhow, that the human body frequently preferves, to all appearance at leaft, the moft per fect fate of health under a vaft variety of different regimens; even under fome which are generally believed to be very far from being perfectly wholefome. But the healthful ftate of the human body, it would feem, contains in itfelf fome unknown principle of prefervation, capable either of preventing or of correcting, in many refpects, the bad effects even of a very faulty regimen. Mr. Quefnai, who was himfelf a phyfician, and a very fpeculative phyfician, feems to
have entertained a notion of the fame kind con- С н a p. cerning the political body, and to have imagined ' that it would thrive and profper only under a certain precife regimen, the exact regimen of perfect liberty and perfect juftice. He feems not to have confidered that in the political body, the natural effort which every man is continually making to better his own condition, is a principle of prefervation capable of preventing and correcting, in many refpects, the bad effects of a political œconomy, in fome degree borh partial and oppreffive. Such a political œconomy, though it no doubt retards more or lefs, is not always capable of ftopping alcogether the natural progrefs of a nation towards wealth and profperity, and fill lefs of making it go backwards. If a nation could not profper without the enjoyment of perfect liberty and perfect juftice, there is not in the world a nation which could ever have profpered. In the political body, however, the wifdom of nature has fortunately made ample provifion for remedying many of the bad effects of the folly and injuftice of man; in the fame manner as it has done in the natural body, for remedying thofe of his floth and intemperance.

Гhe capital error of this fyftem, however, feems to lie in its reprefenting the clafs of artificers, manufacturers and merchants, as altogether barren and unproductive. The following obfervations may ferve to thew the impropriety of this reprefentation.

First, this clafs, it is acknowledged, reproduces annually the value of its own annual con. C 3 fumption,
iv. K fumption, and continues, at leaft, the exiftence of the ftock or capital which maintains and employs it. But upon this account alone the denomination of barren or unproductive fhould feem to be very improperly applied to it. We fhould not call a marriage barren or unproductive, though it produced only a fon and a daughter, to replace the father and mother, and though it did not increafe the number of the human fpecies, but only continued it as it was before. Farmers and country labourers, indeed, over and above the ftock which maintains and employs them, reproduce annually a neat produce, a free rent to the landlord. As a marriage which affords three children is certainly more productive than one which affords only two; fo the labour of farmers and country labourers is certainly more productive than that of merchants, artificers and manufacturers. The fuperior produce of the one clafs, however, does not render the other barren or unproductive.

Secondly, it feems, upon this account, altogether improper to confider artificers, manufacturers and merchants in the fame light as menial fervants. The labour of menial fervants does not continue the exiftence of the fund which maintains and employs them. Their maintenance and employment is altogether at the expence of their mafters, and the work which they perform is not of a nature to repay that expence. That work confifts in fervices which perih generally in the very inftant of their performance, and does not fix or realize itfelf in any vendible
commodity which can replace the value of their $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{H}}^{\mathrm{H}}$ wages and maintenance. The labour, on the contrary, of artificers, manufacturers and merchants, naturally does fix and realize itfelf in fome fuch vendible commodity. It is upon this account that, in the chapter in which I treat of productive and unproductive labour, I have claffed artificers, manufacturers and merchants, among the productive labourers, and menial fervants among the barren or unproductive.

Thirdly, it feems, upon every fuppofition, improper to fay, that the labour of artificers, mänufacturers and merchants, does not increafe the real revenue of the fociety. Though we fhould fuppofe, for example, as it feems to be fuppored in this fyftem, that the value of the daily, monthly, and yearly confumption of this clars was exactly equal to that of its daily, monthly, and yearly production; yet it would not from thence follow that its labour added nothing to the real revenue, to the real value of the annual produce of the land and labour of the fociety. An artificer, for example, who, in the firf fix months after harvelt, executes ten pounds worth of work, though he fhould in the fame time confume ten pounds worth of corn and other neceffaries, yet really adds the value of ten pounds to the annual produce of the land and labour of the fociety. While he has been confuming a half yearly revenue of ten pounds worth of corn and other neceffaries, he has produced an equal value of work capable of purchafing, either to himfelf or to fome other perfon, an equal half yearly revenue. The value; therefore, of what
${ }^{B}$ oo ${ }^{\text {iv. }}$ has been confumed and produced during thefe 'fix months is equal, not to ten, but to twenty pounds. It is poffible, indeed, that no more than ten pounds worth of this value, may ever have exifted at any one moment of time. But if the ten pounds worth of corn and other neceffaries, which were confumed by the artificer, had been confumed by a foldier or by a menial fervant, the value of that part,of the annual produce which exited at the end of the fix months, would have been ten pounds lefs than it actually is in confequence of the labour of the artificer. Though the value of what the artificer produces, therefore, fhould not at any one moment of time be fuppofed greater than the value he confomes, yet at every moment of time the actually exilting value of goods in the market is, in confequence of what he produces, greater than it otherwife would be.

When the patrons of this fyitem affert, that the confumption of artificers, manufacturers and merchants, is equal to the value of what they produce, they probably mean no more than that their revenue, or the fund deftined for their confumption, is equal to it. But if they had ex. preffed themfelves more accurately, and only afferted, that the revenue of this clafs was equal to the value of what they produced, it might readily have occurred to the reader, that what would naturally be faved out of this revenue, muft neceffarily increale more or lefs the real wealth of the fociety. In order, therefore, to make out fomething like an argument, it was neceffary that they fhould exprefs themfelves as
they have done; and this argument, even fup- ${ }^{\text {C }}{ }^{\mathbf{H}}{ }^{\text {A }} \mathbf{P}$. pofing things actually were as it feems to pre-1 fume them to be, turns out to be a very inconclufive one.
Fourthly, farmers and country labourers can no more augment, without parfimony, the real revenue, the annual produce of the land and labour of their fociety, than artificers, manufacturers and merchants. The annual produce of the land and labour of any fociety can be augmented only in two ways; either, firt, by fome improvement in the productive, powers of the ufeful labour actually maintained within it; or, fecondly, by fome increafe in the quantity of that labour.

The improvement in the productive powers of ufeful labour depend, firtt, upon the improvement in the ability of the workman; and, fecondly, upon that of the machinery with which he works. But the labour of artificers and manufacturers, as it is capable of being more fubdivided, and the labour of each workman reduced to a greater fimplicity of operation, than that of farmers and country labourers, fo it is likewife capable of both thefe forts of improvement in a much higher degree*. In this refpect, therefore, the clafs of cultivators can have no fort of advantage over that of artificers and manufacturers.

The increafe in the quantity of ufeful labour actually employed within any fociety, muft de-

[^0]
## THE NATURE AND CAUSES OF

${ }^{\text {B o o }} \boldsymbol{x}$. pend altogether upon the increafe of the capital which employs it; and the increafe of that capital again muft be exactly equal to the amount of the favings from the revenue, either of the particular perfons who manage and direct the employment of that capital, or of fome other perfons who lend it to them. If merchants, artificers and manufacturers are, as this fyftem feems to fuppofe, naturally more inclined to parfimony and faving than proprietors and cultivators, they are, fo far, more likely to augment the quantity of ufeful labour employed within their fociety, and confequently to increafe its real revenue, the annual produce of its land and labour.

Fifthly and laftly, though the revenue of the inhabitants of every country was fuppofed to confift altogether, as this fyftem feems to fuppoif, in the quantity of fubfiftence which their induftry could procure to them; yet, even upon this fuppofition, the revenue of a trading and manufacturing country muft, other things being equal, always be much greater than that of one without trade or manufactures. By means of trade and manufactures, a greater quantity of fubfiftence can be annually imported into a particular country than what its own lands, in the actual ftate of their cultivation, could afford. The inhabitants of a town, though they frequently poffers no lands of their own, yet draw to themfelves by their induftry fuch a quantity of the rude produce of the lands of other people as fupplies them, not only with the materials of their work, but with the fund of their fubfiftence.

## THE WEALTH OF NATONS.

What a town always is with regard to the $\mathrm{CH} A$ country in its neighbourhood, one independent, ftate or country may frequently be with regard to other independent ftates or countries. It is thus that Holland draws a great part of its fubfiftence from other countries; live cattle from Holftein and Jutland, and corn from almoft all the different countries of Europe. A fmall quantity of manufactured produce purchafes a great quantity of rude produce. A trading and manufacturing country, therefore, naturally purchafes with a fmall part of its manufactured produce a great part of the rude produce of other countries; while, on the contrary, a country without trade and manufactures is generally obliged to purchafe, at the expence of a great part of its rude produce, a very fmall part of the manufactured produce of other countries. The one exports what can fubfift and accommodate but a very few, and imports the fubfiftence and accommodation of a great number. The other exports the accommodation and fubfiftence of a great number, and imports that of a very few only. The inhabitants of the one muft always enjoy a much greater quantity of fubfiftence than what their own lands, in the actual ftate of their cultivation, could afford. The inhabitants of the other mutt always enjoy a much fmaller quantity.

This fyftem, however, with all its imperfections, is, perhaps, the neareft approximation to the truth that has yet been publimed upon the fubject of political ceconomy, and is upon that account
${ }^{B}$ ook well worth the confideration of every man who wifhes to examine with attention the principles of that very important fcience. Though in reprefenting the labour which is employed upon land as the only productive labour, the notions which it inculcates are perhaps too narrow and confined; yet in reprefenting the wealth of nations as confifting, not in the unconfumable riches of money, but in the confumable goods annually reproduced by the labour of the fociety; and in reprefenting perfect liberty as the only effectual expedient for rendering this annual reproduction the greateft poffible, its doctrine feems to be in every refpect as juft as it is generous and liberal. Its followers are very numerous; and as men are fond of paradoxes, and of appearing to underftand what furpaffes the comprehenfion of ordinary people, the paradox which it maintains, concerning the unproductive nature of manufacturing labour, has not perhaps contributed a little to increafe the number of its admirers. They have for fome years paft made a pretty confiderable fect, diftinguifhed in the French republic of letters by the name of, The CEconomits. Their works have certainly been of fume fervice to their country; not only by bringing into general difcuffion, many fubjects which had never been well examined before, but by influencing in fome meafure the public adminiltration in favour of agriculture. It has been in confequence of their reprefentations, accosdingly , that the agriculture of France has been delivered from feveral of the oppreffions which it

## THE WEALTH OF NATIONS.

 fuch a leafe can be granted, as will be valid $\underbrace{\text { (1X. }}$ againft every future purchafer or proprietor of the land, has been prolonged from nine to twentyfeven years. The ancient provincial reftraints upon the tranfportation of corn from one province of the kingdom to another, have been entirely taken away, and the liberty of exporting it to all foreign countries, has been eftablifhed as the common law of the kingdom in all ordinary cafes. This fect, in their works, which are very numerous, and which treat not only of what is properly called Political CEconomy, or of the nature and caufes of the wealth of nations, but of every other branch of the fyftem of civil government, all follow implicitly, and without any fenfible variation, the doctrine of Mr. Quefnai. There is upon this account little variety in the greater part of their works. The moft diftinct and beft connected account of this doetrine is to be found in a little book written by Mr. Mercier de la Riviere, fometime Intendant of Martinico, intitled, The natural and effential Order of Policical Societies. The admiration of this whole fect for their mafter, who was himfelf a man of the greateft modefty and fimplicity, is not inferior to that of any of the ancient philofophers for the founders of their refpective fyftems. "There have been, lince the world began," fays a very diligent and refpectable author, the Marquis de Mirabeau, "three great inventions " which have principally given ftability to po" litical focieties, independent of many other in-
ook "r ventions which have enriched and adorned "" them. The firf, is the invention of writing, "6 which alone gives human nature the power of " tranfmitting, without alteration, its law, its "contracts, its annals, and its difcoveries. The " fecond, is the invention of money, which binds " together all the relations between civilized fo"cieties. The third, is the OEconomical Table, " the refult of the other two, which completes " them both by perfecting their object; the great " difcovery of our age, but of which our pofte" rity will reap the benefit."

As the political œconomy of the nations of modern Europe has been more favourable to manufactures and foreign trade, the induftry of the towns, than ta agriculture, the induftry of the country; fo that of other nations has followed a different plan, and has been more favourable to agriculture than to manufactures and foreign trade.

The policy of China favours agriculture more than all other employments. In China, the condition of a labourer is faid to be as much fuperior to that of an artificer, as in moft parts of Europe, that of an artificer is to that of a labourer. In China, the great ambition of every man is to get poffefion of fome little bit of land, either in property or in leafe; and leafes are there faid to be granted upon very moderate terms, and to be fufficiently fecured to the leffees. The Chinefe have little refpect for foreign trade. Your beggarly commerce! was the language in which the Mandarins of Pekin ufed to talk to Mr.

De Lange, the Ruffian envoy, concerning it *. CHAP. Except with Japan, the Chinefe carry on, them-1 relves, and in their own bottoms, little or no foreign trade; and it is only in one or two ports of their kingdom that they even admit the fhips of foreign nations. Foreign trade, therefore, is, in China, every way confined within a much narrower circle than that to which it would natyrally extend itfelf, if more freedom was allowed to it, either in their own fhips, or in thofe of foreign nations.

Manufactures, as in a fmall bulk they frequently contain a great value, and can upon that account be tranfported at lefs expence from one country to another than molt parts of rude produce, are, in almoft all countries, the principal fupport of foreign trade. In countries, befides, lefs extenfive and lefs favourably circumftanced for interior commerce than China, they generally require the fupport of foreign trade. Without an extenfive foreign market, they could not well flourif, either in countries fo moderately extenfive as to afford but a narrow home market; or in countries where the communication between one province and another was fo difficult, as to render it impoffible for the goods of any particular place to enjoy the whole of that home market which the country could afford. The perfection of manufacturing induftry, it mult be remembered, depends altogether upon the divifion of labour; and the degree to which the di-

[^1]B O O $k$ vifion of labour can be introduced into any maIv. nufacture, is neceffarily regulated, it has already been fhown, by the extent of the market. But the great extent of the empire of China, the valt multitude of its inhabitants, the variety of climate, and confequently of productions in its different provinces, and the eafy communication by means of water carriage between the greater part of them, render the home market of that country of fo great extent, as to be alone fufficient to fupport very great manufactures, and to admit of very confiderable fubdivifions of labour. The home market of China is, perhaps, in extent, not much inferior to the market of all the different countries of Europe put together. A more extenfive foreign trade, however, which to this great home market added the foreign market of all the reft of the world; efpecially if any confiderable part of this trade was carried on in Chinefe fhips; could farce fail to increafe very much the manufactures of China, and to improve very much the productive powers of its manufacturing induftry. By a more extenfive navigation, the Chinefe would naturally learn the art of ufing and conftructing themfelves all the different machines made ufe of in other countries, as well as the other improvements of art and induftry which are practifed in all the different parts of the world. Upon their prefent plan they have little opportunity of improving themfelves by the example of any other nation; except that of the Japanefe.

The policy of ancient Egypt too, and that of the Gentoo government of Indoftan, feem to have
favoured agriculture more than all other employ- C $\underset{\text { Ix }}{ }$ A $P$. ments.

Вотн in ancient Egypt and Indoftan, the whole body of the people was divided into different cafts or tribes, each of which was confined, from father to fon, to a particular employment or clafs of employments. The fon of a prieft was neceffarily a prieft; the fon of a foldier, a foldier; the fon of a labourer, a labourer; the fon of a weaver, a weaver; the fon of a taylor, a taylor; \&cc. In both countries; the caft of the priefts held the higheft rank, and that of the foldiers the next; and in both countries, the caft of the farmers and labourers was fuperior to the cafts of merchants and manufacturers.

The government of both countries was particularly attentive to the intereft of agriculture. The works conftructed by the ancient fovereigns of Egypt for the proper diftribution of the waters of the Nile were famous in antiquity; and the ruined remains of fome of them are fill the admiration of travellers. Thofe of the fame kind which were conftructed by the ancient fovereigns of Indoftan; for the proper diftribution of the waters of the Ganges as well as of many other rivers, though they have been lefs celebrated, feem to have been equally great. Both countries, accordingly, though fubject occafionally to dearths, have been famous for their great fertility. Though both were extremely populous, yet, in years of moderate plenty, they were both able to export great quantities of grain to their neighbours.
boon The ancient Egyptians had a fuperfitious 'averfion to the fea; and as the Gentoo religion does not permit its followers to light a fire, nor confequently to drefs any vietuals upon the water, it in effect prohibits them from all diftant fea voyages. Both the Egyptians and Indians mult have depended almoft altogether upon the navigation of other nations for the exportation of their furplus produce; and this dependency, as it mult have confined the market, fo it muft have difcouraged the increafe of this furplus produce. It mult have difcouraged too the increafe of the manufactured produce more than that of the rude produce. Manufactures require a much more extenfive market than the molt important parts of the rude produce of the land. A fingle fhoemaker will make more than three hundred pair of thoes in the year; and his own family will not perhaps wear out fix pairs. Unlefs therefore he has the cuftom of at leaft fifty fuch families as his own, he cannot difpofe of the whole produce of his own labour. The moft numerous clafs of artificers will feldom, in a large country, make more than one in fifty or one in a hundred of the whole number of families contained in it. But in fuch large countries as France and England, the number of people employed in agriculture has by fome authors been computed at a half, by others at a third, and by no auchor that I know of, at lefs than a fifth of the whole inhabitants of the country. But as the produce of the agriculture of both France and England is, the far greater part of it, con-
fumed at home, each perfon employed in it muft, $\mathrm{CH}^{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{P}}$. according to thefe computations, require little. more than the cuftom of one, two, or, at moft, of four fuch families as his own, in order to difpofe of the whale produce of his own labour. Agriculture, therefore, can fupport iffelf under the difcouragement of a confined market, much better than manufactures. In both ancient Egypt and Indoftan, indeed, the confinement of the foreign market was in fome meafure compenfated by the conveniency of many inland navigations, which opened, in the moft advantageous manner, the whole extent of the home market to every part of the produce of every different diftrict of thofe countries. The great extent of Indoftan too rendered the home market of that country very great, and fufficient to fupport a great variety of manufactures. But the fmall extent of ancient Egypt, which was never equal to England, muft at all times have rendered the home market of that country too narrow for fupporting any great variety of manufactures. Bengal, accordingly, the province of Indoftan which commonly exports the greateft quanticy of rice, has always been more remarkable for the exportation of a great variety of manufactures, than for that of its grain. Ancient Egypt, on the contrary, though it exported fome manufactures, fine linen in particular, as well as fome other goods, was always moft diftinguifhed for its great exportation of grain. It was long the granary of the Roman empire.
b ook The fovereigns of China, of ancient Egypt,
IV. and of the different kingdoms into which Indoftan has at different times been divided, have always derived the whole, or by far the moft confiderable part, of their revenue from fome fort of land-tax or land-rent. This land-tax or landrent, like the tithe in Europe, confifted in a certain proportion, a fifth, it is faid, of the produce of the land, which was either delivered in kind, or paid in money, according to a certain valuation, and which therefore varied from year to year according to all the variations of the produce. It was natural, therefore, that the fovereigns of thofe countries fhould be particularly attentive to the interefts of agriculture, upon the profperity or declenfion of which immediarely depended the yearly increafe or diminution of their own revenue.

The policy of the ancient republics of Greece, and that of Rome, though it honoured agriculture more than manufactures or foreign trade, yet feems rather to have difcouraged the latter employments, than to have given any direct or intentional encouragement to the former. In feveral of the ancient ftates of Greece, foreign trade was prohibited altogether; and in feveral others the employments of artificers and manufacturers were confidered as hurtful to the ftrength and agility of the human body, as rendering it incapable of thofe habits which their military and gymnaftic exercifes endeavoured to form in it, and as thereby difqualifying it more
or lefs for undergoing the fatigues and encoun- $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ix}}^{\mathrm{H}}$ tering the dangers of war. Such occupations, were confidered as fit only for llaves, and the free citizens of the fate were prohibited from exercifing them. Even in thofe ftates where no fuch prohibition took place, as in Rome and Athens, the great body of the people were in effect excluded from all the trades which are now commonly exercifed by the lower fort of the inhabitants of towns. Such trades were, at Athens and Rome, all occupied by the naves of the rich, who exerciled them for the benefit of their mafters, whofe wealth, power, and protection, made it almoft impoffible for a poor freeman to find a market for his work, when it came into competition with that of the flaves of the rich. Slaves, however, are very feldom inventive; and all the moft important improvements, either in machinery, or in the arrangement and diftribution of work, which facilitate and abridge labour, have been the difcoveries of freemen. Should a flave propofe any improvement of this kind, his mafter would be very apt to confider the propofal as the fuggeftion of lazinefs, and of a defire to fave his own labour at the mafter's expence. The poor nave, inftead of reward, would probably meet with much abule, perhaps with fome punifhment. In the manufactures carried on by naves, therefore, more labour muft generally have been employed to execute the fame quantity of work, than in thofe carried on by freemen. The work of the former mult, upon that account, generally have been dearer

Book than that of the latter. The Hungarian mines,
$\underbrace{\text { IV. }}$ it is remarked by Mr. Montefquieu, though not richer, have always been wrought with lefs expence, and therefore with more profit, than the Turkifh mines in their neighbourhood. The Turkifh mines are wrought by flaves; and the arms of thofe naves are the only machines which the Turks have ever thought of employing. The Hungarian mines are wrought by freemen, who employ a great deal of machinery, by which they facilitate and abridge their own labour. From the very little that is known about the price of manufactures in the times of the Greeks and Romans, it would appear that thofe of the finer fort were exceffively dear. Silk fold for its weight in gold. It was not, indeed, in thofe times a European manufacture; and as it was all brought from the Ealt Indies, the diftance of the carriage may in fome meafure account for the greatnefs of the price. The price, however, which a lady, it is faid, would fometimes pay for a piece of very fine linen, feems to have been equally extravagant; and as linen was always either an European, or, at fartheft, an Egyptian manufacture, this high price can be accounted for only by the great expence of the labour which muft have been employed about it, and the expence of this labour again could arife from nothing but the awkwardnefs of the machinery which it made ufe of. The price of fine woollens too, though not quite fo extravagant, feems however to have been much above that of the prefent times. Some cloths, we are told by

Pliny, dyed in a particular manner, coft a hundred chat. denarii, or three pounds fix millings and eight, pence the pound weight*. Others dyed in another manner coft a thoufand denarii the pound weight, or thirty-three pounds fix fhillings and eight pence. The Roman pound, it mult be remembered, contained only twelve of our avoirdupois ounces. This high price, indeed, feems to have been principally owing to the dye. But had not the cloths themfelves been much dearer than any which are made in the prefent times, fo very expenfive a dye would not probably have been beftowed upon them. The difproportion would have been too great between the value of the acceffory and that of the principal. The price mentioned by the fame $\dagger$ author of fome Triclinaria, a fort of woollen pillows or cumhions made ufe of to lean upon as they reclined upon their couches at table, paffes all credibility; fome of them being faid to have coft more than thirty thoufand, others more than three hundred thoufand pounds. This high price too is not faid to have arifen from the dye. In the drefs of the people of fafhion of both fexes, there feems to have been much lefs variety, it is obferved by Doctor Arbuthnot, in ancient than in modern times; and the very little variety which we find in that of the ancient ftatues confirms his oblervation. He infers from this, that their drefs mult upon the whole have been cheaper than ours: but the conclufion does feem to follow. When the expence of fafion-

$$
\text { Plin. I. ix. c. 39. } \quad \dagger \text { Plin. J. viii. c. } 4^{8 .}
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${ }^{B}$ o o ${ }^{\text {Iv. }}$. able drefs is very great, the variety muft be very fimall. But when, by the improvements in the productive powers of manufacturing art and induftry, the expence of any one drefs comes to be very moderate, the variety will naturally be very great. The rich not being able to diftinguifh themfelves by the expence of any one drefs, will naturally endeavour to do fo by the multitude and variety of their dreffes.

The greateft and moft important branch of the commerce of every nation, it has already been obferved, is that which is carried on between the inhabitants of the town and thofe of the country. The inhabitants of the town draw from the country the rude produce which conftitutes both the materials of their work and the fund of their fubfiftence; and they pay for this rude produce by fending back to the country a certain portion of it manufactured and prepared for immediate ufe. The trade which is carried on between thefe two different fets of people, confifts ultimately in a certain quantity of rude produce exchanged for a certain quantity of manufactured produce. The dearer the latter, therefore, the cheaper the former; and whatever tends in any country to raife the price of manufactured produce, tends to lower that of the rude produce of the land, and thereby to difcourage agriculture. "The fmaller the quantity of manufactured produce which any given quantity of rude produce, or, what comes to the fame thing, which the price of any given quantity of rude produce is capable of purchafing, the fmaller the exchangeable value of that given quantity
of rude produce; the fmaller the encouragement $\underset{1 x}{\boldsymbol{C}} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{P}_{\text {, }}$ which either the landlord has to increafe its quantity by improving, or the farmer by cultivating the land. Whatever, befides, tends to diminifh in any country the number of artificers and manufacturers, tends to diminifh the home market, the moft important of all markets for the rude produce of the land, and thereby ftill further to difcourage agriculture.

Those fyftems, therefore, which preferring agriculture to all other employments, in order to promote it, impofe reftraints upon manufactures and foreign trade, act contrary to the very end which they propofe, and indirectly difcourage that very fpecies of indultry which they mean to promote. They are fo far, perhaps, more inconfiftent than even the mercantile fyftem. That fyitem, by encouraging manufactures and foreign trade more than agriculture, turns a certain portion of the capital of the fociety from fupporting a more advantageous, to fupport a lefs advantageous fpecies of induftry. But ftill it really and in the end encourages that fpecies of induftry which it means to promote. Thofe agricultural fyftems, on the contrary, really and in the end difcourage their own favourite fpecies of induftry.

It is thus that every fyftem which endeavours, either, by extraordinary encouragements, to draw towards a particular fpecies of induftry a greater fhare of the capital of the fociety than what would naturally go to it ; or, by extraordinary reftraints, to force from a particular fpecies of indultry fome fhare
${ }^{K}$ fhare of the capital which would otherwife be employed in it; is in reality fubverfive of the great purpofe which it means to promote. It retards, inftead of accelerating, the progrefs of the fociety towards real wealth and greatnels; and diminifhes, inftead of increafing, the real value of the annual produce of its land and labour.

All fyftems either of preference or of reftraint, therefore, being thus completely taken away, the obvious and fimple fyftem of natural liberty eftablifhes itfelf of its own accord. Every man, as long as he does not violate the laws of juftice, is left perfectly free to purfue his own intereft his own way, and to bring both his induftry and capital into competition with thofe of any other man, or order of men. The fovereign is completely difcharged from a duty, in the attempting to perform which he muft always be expofed to innumerable delufions, and for the proper performance of which no human wifdom or knowledge could ever be fufficient; the duty of fuperintending the induftry of private people, and of directing it towards the employments mof fuitable to the intereft of the fociety. According to the fyttem of natural liberty, the fovereign has only three duties to attend to; three duties of great importance, indeed, but plain and intelligible to common undertandings: firft, the duty of protecting the fociety from the violence and invalion of other independent focieties; fecondly, the duty of prorecting, as far as poffible, every member of the fociety from the injuftice or oppreffion of every other member of it , or the duty of eftablifing
an exact adminiftration of juftice; and, thirdiy, $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{NA}}^{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{P}$. the duty of erecting and maintaining certain public works and certain public inftitutions, which it can never be for the intereft of any individual, or fmall number of individuals, to erect and maintain; becaufe the profit could never repay the expence to any individual or fmall number of individuals, though it may frequently do much more than repay it to a great fociety.

The proper performance of thofe feveral duries of the fovereign neceffarily fuppofes a certain expence; and this expence again necenarily requires a certain revenue to fupport it. In the following book, therefore, I hall endeavour to explain; firft, what are the neceffary expences of the fovereign or commonwealth; and which of thofe expences ought to be defrayed by the general contribution of the whole fociety; and which of them, by that of fome particular part only, or of fome particular members of the fociety: fecondly, what are the different methods in which the whole fociety may be made to contribute towards defraying the expences incumbent on the whole fociety, and what are the principal advantages and inconveniencies of each of thofe methods : and, thirclly, what are the reafons and caufes which have induced almoft all modern governments to mortgage fome part of this revenue, or to contract debts, and what have been the effects of thofe debts upon the real wealth, the annual produce of the land and labour of the fociety. The following book, therefore, naturally be divided into three chapters.

## B O O K V.

Of the Revenue of the Sovereign or Commonwealth.

C H A P. I.
Of the Expences of the Sovereign or Common-
wealth.

PART FIR S'T.

## Of the Expence of Defence.


V. protecting the fociety from the violence and invafion of other independent focieties, can be performed only by means of a military force. But the expence both of preparing this military force in time of peace, and of employing it in time of war, is very different in the different ftates of fociety, in the different periods of improvement.

Among nations of hunters, the loweft and rudeft ftate of fociety, fuch as we find it among the native tribes of North America, every man is a warrior as well as a hunter. When he goes to war, either to defend his fociety, or to revenge the injuries which have been done to it by other focieties, he maintains himfelf by his own labour,
in the fame manner as when he lives at home. chap. His fociety, for in this ftate of things there is properly neither fovereign nor commonwealth, is at no fort of expence, either to prepare him for the field, or to maintain him wbile he is in it.

Among nations of fhepherds, a more advanced ftate of fociety, fuch as we find it among the Tartars and Arabs, every man is, in the fame manner, a warrior. Such nations have commonly no fixed habitation, but live, either in tents, or in a fort of covered waggons which are eafily tranfported from place to place. The whole tribe or nation changes its fituation according to the different feafons of the year, as well as according to other accidents. When its herds and flocks have confumed the forage of one part of the country, it removes to another, and from that to a third. In the dry feafon, it comes down to the banks of the rivers; in the wet feafon it retires to the upper country. When fuch a nation goes to war, the warriors will not truft their herds and flocks to the feeble defence of their old men, their women and children, and their old men, their women and children, will not be left behind without defence and without fubfiftence. The whole nation, befides, being accultomed to a wandering life, even in time of peace, eafily takes the field in time of war. Whether it marches as an army, or moves about as a company of herdfmen, the way of life is nearly the fame, though the object propofed by it be very different. They all go to war together, therefore, and every one does as well as
${ }^{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{o}$ of. k he can. Among the Tartars, even the women have been frequently known to engage in battle. If they conquer, whatever belongs to the hoftile tribe is the recompence of the victory. But if they are vanquifhed, all is loft, and not only their herds and flocks, but their women and children, become the booty of the conqueror. Even the greater part of thole who furvive the action are obliged to fubmit to him for the fake of immediate fubfintence. The reft are commonly diffipated and difperfed in the defarc.

The ordinary iffe, the ordinary exercifes of a Tartar or Arab, prepare him fufficiently for war. Running, wreftling, cudgel-playing, throwing the javelin, drawing the bow, \&c. are the common pattimes of thofe who live in the open air, and are all of them the images of war. When a Tartar or Arab actually goes to war, he is maintained by his own herds and. flocks which he carries with him, in the fame manner as in peace. His chief or fovereign, for thofe nations have all chiefs or fovereigns, is at no fort of expence in preparing him for the field; and when he is in it, the chance of plunder is the only pay which he either expetts or requires.

An army of hunters can feldom exceed two or three huridred men. The precarious fubfitence which the chace affords could feldom allow a greater number to keep together for any confiderable time. An army of hepherds, on the contrary, may fometimes amount to two or three tundred thoufund. As long as nothing ftops progrefs, as long as they can go on from
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one diftrict, of which they have confumed the C HA forage, to another which is yet entire; there feems to be farce any limit to the number who can march on together. A nation of hunters can never be formidable to the civilized nations in their neighbourhood. A nation of fhepherds may. Nothing can be more contemptible than an Indian war in North America. Nothing, on the contrary, can be more dreadful than a Tartar invafion has frequently been in Afia. The judgment of Thucydides, that both Europe and Afia could not refift the Scythians united, has been verified by the experience of all ages. The inhabitants of the extenfive, but defencelefs plains of Scythia or Tartary, have been frequently united under the dominion of the chief of fome conquering horde or clan; and the havoc and devaftation of Alia have always fignalized their union. The inhabitants of the inhofpitable defarts of Arabia, the other great nation of fhepherds, have never been united but once; under Mahomet and his immediate fucceffors. Their union, which was more the effect of religious enthufiafm than of conqueft, was fignalized in the fame manner. If the hunting nations of America hould ever become fhepherds, their neighbourhood would be much more dangerous to the European colonies than it is at prefent.

In a yet more advanced ftate of fociety, among thofe nations of hufbandmen who have little foreign commerce, and no other manufactures but thofe coarfe and houfhold ones which almort

Book every private family prepares for its own ufe; every man, in the fame manner, either is a warrior, or eafily becomes fuch. They who live by agriculture generally pafs the whole day in the open air, expofed to all the inclemencies of the feafons. The hardinefs of their ordinary life prepares them for the fatigues of war, to fome of which their neceffary occupations bear a great analogy. The neceffary occupation of a ditcher prepares him to work in the trenches, and to fortify a camp as well as to enclofe a field. The ordinary paftimes of fuch hufbandmen are the fame as thofe of fhepherds, and are in the fame manner the images of war. But as hufbandmen have lefs leifure than fhepherds, they are not fo frequently employed in thofe paftimes. They are foldiers, but foldiers not quite fo much mafters of their exercife. Such as they are, however, it feldom cofts the fovereign or commonwealth any expence to prepare them for the field.

Agriculture, even in its rudeft and loweft ftate, fuppofes a fettlement; fome fort of fixed habitation which cannot be abandoned without great lofs. When a nation of mere hufbandmen, therefore, goes to war, the whole people cannot take the field together. The old men, the women and children, at leaft, muft remain at home to take care of the habitation. All the men of the military age, however; may take the field, and, in fmall nations of this kind, have frequently done fo. In every nation the men of the military age are fuppofed to amount to about a fourth or a fifth part of the whole body of the
people. If the campaign too fhould begin after $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{I}}^{\mathrm{A}}$. P . feed-time, and end before harveft, both the hufbandman and his principal labourers can be fpared from the farm without much lofs. He trufts that the work which muft be done in the mean time can be well enough executed by the old men, the women, and the children. He is not unwilling, therefore, to ferve without pay during a fhort campaign, and it frequently cofts the fovereign or commonwealth as little to maintain him in the field as to prepare him for it. The citizens of all the different ftates of ancient Greece feem to have ferved in this manner till after the fecond Yerfian war; and the people of Peloponefus till after the Peloponefian war. The Peloponefians, Thucydides obferves, generally left the field in the fummer, and returned home to reap the harveft. The Roman people under their kings, and during the firf ages of the republic, ferved in the fame manner. It was not till the fiege of Veii, that they, who ftaid at home, began to contribute fomething towards maintaining thofe who went to war. In the European monarchies, which were founded upon the ruins of the Roman empire, both before and for fome time after the eftablifhment of what is properly called the feudal law, the great lords, with all their immediate dependents, ufed to ferve the crown at their own expence. In the field, in the fame manner as at home, they maintained themfelves by their own revenue, and not by any ftipend or pay which they received from the king upon that particular occafion.

## ${ }^{3}$ OOX In a more advanced ftate of fociety, two dif-

 ferent caufes contribute to render it altogether impoffible that they, who take the field, Mould maintain themfelves at their own expence. Thofe two caufes are, the progrefs of manufactures, and the improvement in the art of war.Though a hufbandman hould be employed in an expedition, provided it begins after feedtime and ends before harveft, the interruption of his bufinefs will not always occafion any confiderable diminution of his revenue. Without the intervention of his labour, nature does herfelf the greater part of the work which remains to be done. But the moment that an artificer, a fmith, 2 carpenter, or a weaver, for example, quits his workhoufe, the fole fource of his revenue is completely dried up. Nature does nothing for him, he does all for himfelf. When he takes the field, therefore, in defence of the public, as he has no revenue to maintain himfelf, he muft neceffarily be maintained by the public. But in a country of which a great part of the inhabitants are artificers and manufacturers, a great part of the people who go to war muft be drawn from thofe claffes, and muft therefore be maintained by the public as long as they are employed in its fervice.

When the art of war too has gradually grown up to be a very intricate and complicated fcience, when the event of war ceales to be determined, as in the firft ages of fociety, by a fingle irregular fkirmifh or battle, but when the conteft is generally fpun out through feveral different cam-
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paigns, each of which lafts during the greater $\mathbf{C H A}$ part of the year; it becomes univerfally necef-fary that the public fhould maintain thofe tho ferve the public in war, at leaft while they are employed in that fervice. Whatever in time of peace might be the ordinary occupation of thofe who go to war, fo very tedious and expenfive a fervice would otherwife be by far too heavy a burden upon them. After the fecond Perfian war, accordingly, the armies of Athens feem to have been generally compofed of mercenary troops, confifting, indeed, partly of citizens, but partly too of foreigners; and all of them equally hired and paid at the expence of the ftate. From the time of the fiege of Veii, the armies of Rome received pay for their fervice during the time which they remained in the field. Under the feudal governments the military fervice both of the great lords and of their immediate dependents was; after a certain period, univerfally exchanged for a payment in money; which was employed to maintain thofe who ferved in their ftead.

The number of thofe who can go to war, in proportion to the whole number of the people, is neceffarily much fmaller in a civilized, than in a rude ftate of fociety. In a civilized fociety, as the foldiers are maintained altogether by the labour of thofe who are not foldiers, the number of the former can never exceed what the latter can maintain, over and above maintaining, in a manner fuitable to their refpective ftations; both themfelves and the other officers of government, and E 2 law,

BOOK law, whom they are obliged to maintain. In the little agrarian ftates of ancient Greece; a fourth or a fifth part of the whole body of the people confidered themfelves as foldiers, and would fometimes, it is faid, take the field. Among the civilized nations of modern Europe, it is commonly computed, that not more than one hundredth part of the inhabitants of any country can be employed as foldiers, without ruin to the country which pays the expence of their fervice.

The expence of preparing the army for the field feems not to have become confiderable in any nation, till long after that of maintaining it in the field had devolved entirely upon the fovereign or common-wealth.' In all the different republics of ancient Greece, to learn his military exercifes, was a neceffary part of education impofed by the ftate upon every free citizen. In every city there feems to have been a public field, in which, under the protection of the public magiftrate, the young people were taught their different exercifes by different mafters. In this very fimple inftitution, confifted the whole expence which any Grecian ftate feems ever to have been at, in preparing its citizens for war. In ancient Rome the exercifes of the Campus Martius anfwered the fame purpofe with thofe of the Gymnafium in ancient Greece. Under the feudal governments, the many public ordinances that the citizens of every diftrict fhould practife archery as well as feveral other military exercifes, were intended for promoting the fame purpofe, but do not feem to have promoted it fo
 entrufted with the execution of thofe ordinances, or from fome other caufe, they appear to have been univerfally neglected; and in the progrefs of all thofe governments, military exercifes feem to have gone gradually into difufe among the great body of the people.

In the republics of ancient Greece and Rome, during the whole period of their exiftence, and under the feudal governments for a confiderable time after their firf eftablifhment, the trade of a foldier was not a feparate, diftinct trade, which conftituted the fole or principal occupation of a particular clafs of citizens. Every fubject of the Itate, whatever inight be the ordinary trade or occupation by which he gained his livelihood, confidered himfelf, upon all ordinary occafions, as fit likewife to exercife the trade of a foluier, and upon many extraordinary occafions as bound to exercife it.

The art of war, however, as it is certainly the nobleft of all arts, fo in the progrefs of improvement it neceffarily becomes one of the moft complicated among them. The ftate of the mechanical," as well as of fome other arts, with which it is neceffarily connected, determines the degree of perfection to which it is capable of being carried at any particular time. But in order to carry it to this degree of perfection, it is neceffary that it fhould become the fole or principal occupation of a particular clals of citizens, and the divifion of labour is as neceffary for the improvement of this, as of every other art. Into

B OOX other arts the divifion of labour is naturally introduced by the prudence of individuals, who find that they promote their private intereft better by confining themfelves to a particular trade, than by exercifing a great number. But it is the wifdom of the ftate only which can render the trade of a foldier a particular trade feparate and diftinct from all others. A private citizen, who in time of profound peace, and without any particular encouragement from the public, fhould fpend the greater part of his time in military exe̦cifes, might, no doubt, both improve himfelf very much in them, and amufe himfelf very well; but he certainly would not promote his own intereft. It is the wifdom of the ftate only which can render it for his intereft to give up the greater part of his time to this peculiar occupation : and ftates have not always had this wifdom, even when their circumftances had become fuch, that the prefervation of their exiftence required that they fhould have it.

A shepherd has a great deal of leifure; a hufbandman, in the rude ftate of hufbaodry, has fome; an artificer or manufacturer has none at all. 'The firft may, without any lofs, einploy a great deal of his time in martial exercifes; the fecond may employ fome part of it; but the laft cannot employ a fingle hour in them without fome lofs, and his attention to his own intereft naturatly leads him to neglect them altogether. Thofe improvements in hußbandry too, which the progrefs of arts and manufactures neceffarily introduces, leave the huhandman as little leifure
at the artificer. Military exercifes come to be ${ }^{\text {CHA }}$. as much neglected by the inhabitants of the country as by thofe of the town, and the great body of the people becomes akogether unwarlike. That wealth, at the fame time, which always follows the improvements of agriculture and manufactures, and which in reality is no more than the accumulated produce of thofe improvements, provokes the invafion of all their neighbours. An induftrious, and upon that account a wealthy nation, is of all nations the moft likely to be attacked; and unlefs the fate takes fome new meafures for the public defence, the natural habits of the people render them altogether incapable of defending shemfelves.

In thefe circumfances, there feem to be but two methods by which the ftate can make any tolerable provifion for the public defence.

It may either, firft, by means of a very rigorous police, and in fpite of the whole bent of the intereft, genius and inclinations of the people, enforce the practice of military exercifes, and oblige either all the citizens of the military age, or a certain number of them; to join in fome meafure the trade of a foldier to whatever other trade or profeffion they may happen to carry on.
$\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{R}}$, fecondly, by maintaining and employing a certain number of citizens in the conftant practice of military exercifes, it may render the trade of a foldier a particular trade, feparate and diftinct from all others.

If the ftate has recourfe to the firft of thofe two expedients, its military force is faid to conE 4 fift

Bo o $k$ fift in a militia; if to the fecond, it is faid to confift in a ftanding army. The practice of mi litary exercifes is the fole or principal occupatation of the foldiers of a ftanding army, and the maintenance or pay which the ftate affords them is the principal and ordinary fund of their fubfiftence, The practice of military exercifes is only the occafional occupation of the foldiers of a militia, and they derive the principal and ordinary fund of their fubfittence from fome other occupation. In a militia, the character of the labourer, artificer, or tradefman, predominates over that of the foldier: in a ftanding army, that of the foldier predominates over every other character; and in this diftinction feems to confift the effential difference between thofe two different fpecies of military force.

Militias have been of feveral different kinds. In fome countries the citizens deftined for defending the ftate, feem to have been' exercifed only, without being, if I may fay fo, regimented; that is, without being divided into feparate and diftinet bodies. of troops, each of which performed its exercifes under its own proper and permanent officers. In the republics of ancient Greece and Rome, each citizen, as long as he remained at home, feems to have practifed his exercifes either feparately and independently, or with fuch of his equals as he liked beit; and not to have been attached to any particular body of troops till he was actually called upon to take the field. In other countries, the militia has not only been exercifed, but regimented. In Eng-
land, in Switzerland, and, I believe, in every chap. other country of modern Europe, where any imperfect military force of this kind has been eftablihed, every militia-man is, even in time of peace, attached to a particular body of troops, which perform its exercifes under its own proper and permanent officers.

Before the invention of fire-arms, that army was fuperior in which the foldiers had, each individually, the greateft fkill and dexterity in the ufe of their arms. Strength and agility of body were of the higheft confequence, and commonly determined the fate of battles. But this fkill and dexterity in the ufe of their arms, could be acquired only, in the fame manner as fencing is at prefent, by practifing, not in great bodies, but each man feparately, in a particular fchool, under a particular matter, or with his own particular equals and companions. Since the invention of fire-arms, ftrength and agility of body, or even extraordinary dexterity and k ill in the ufe of arms, though they are far from being of no confequence, are, however, of lefs confequence. The nature of the weapon, though it by no means puts the awkward upon a level with the \{kilful, puts him more nearly fo than he ever was before. All the dexterity and fkill, it is fuppofed, which are neceffary for ufing it, can be well enough acquired by practifing in great bodies.

Regularity, order, and prompt obedience to command, are qualities which, in modern armies, are of more importance towards determining the

00 K fate of battles, than the dexterity and kill of the foldiers in the ufe of their arms. But the noife of fire-arms, the fmoke, and the invifible death to which every man feels himfelf every moment expofed, as foon as he comes within cannon-fhot, and frequently a long time before the battle can be well faid to be engaged, muft render it very difficult to maintain any confiderable degree of this regularity, order, and prompt obedience, even in the beginning of a modern battle. In an ancient battle there was no noife but what arofe from the human voice; there was no finoke, there was no invifible caufe of wounds or death. Every man, till fome mortal weapon actually did approach him, faw clearly that no fuch weapon was near him. In thefe circumftances, and among troops who had fome confidence in their own ikill and dexterity in the ufe of their arms, it muft have been a good deal lefs difficult to preferve fome degree of regularity and order, not only in the beginning, but through the whole progrefs of an ancient battle, and till one of the two armies was fairly defeated. But the habits of regularity, order, and prompt obedience to command, can be acquired only by troops which are exercifed in great bodies.

A militia, however, in whatever manner it may be either difciplined or exercifed, muft always be much inferior to a well-difciplined and well-exercifed ftanding army.

The foldiers, who are exercifed only once a week, or once a month, can never be fo expert in the ufe of their arms, as thofe who are exer-
cifed every day or every other day; and though C $\mathbf{H}_{\text {A }}$ P. this circumitance may not be of fo much confequence in modern, as it was in ancient times, yet the "acknowledged fuperiority of the Pruffian troops, owing, it is faid, very much to their fupetior expertnefs in their exercife, may fatisfy us that it is, even at this day, of very confiderable

The foldiers, who are bound to obey their officer only once a week or once a month, and who are at all other times at liberty to manage their own affairs their own way, without being in any refpect accountable to him, can never be under the fame awe in his prefence, can never have the fame difpofition to ready obedience, with thofe whofe whole life and conduct are every day directed by him, and who every day even rife and go to bed, or at leaft retire to their quarters, according to his orders. In what is called difcipline, or in the habit of ready obedience, a militia mult always be ftill more inferior to a ftanding army, than it may fometimes be in what is called the manual exercife, or in the management and ufe of its arms. But in modern war the habit of ready and inftant obedience is of much greater confequence than a confiderable fuperiority in the management of arms.

Those militias which, like the Tartar or Arab militia, go to war under the fame chieftains whom they are accuftomed to obey in peace, are by far the beft. In refpect for their officers, in the habit of ready obedience, they approach neareft to ftanding armies. The highland militia, when it

в o o $k$ ferved under its own chieftains, had fone adivantage of the fame kind. As the highlanders, however, were not wandering, but ftationary fhepherds, as they had all a fixed habitation, and were not, in peaceable times, accuftomed to follow their chieftain from place to place; fo in time of war they were lefs willing to follow him to any confiderable diftance, or to continue for any long time in the field. When they had acquired any booty they were eager to return home, and his authority was feldom fufficient to detain them. In point of obedience they were always much inferior to what is reported of the Tartars and Arabs. As the highlanders too, from their itationary life, fpend lefs of their time in the open air, they were always lefs accultomed to military exercifes, and were lefs expert in the ule of their arms than the Tartars and Arabs are faid to be.

A militia of any kind, it muft be obferved, however, which has ferved for feveral fucceffive campaigns in the field, becomes in every refpect a ftanding army. The foldiers are every day exercifed in the ufe of their arms, and, being conftantly under the command of their officers, are habituated to the fame prompt obedience which takes place in ftanding armies. What they were before they took the field, is of little importance. They neceffarily become in every refpect a ftanding army, after they have paffed a few campaigns in it. Should the war in America drag out through another campaign, the American militia may become in every tefpect a match for that ftanding army of which the valour ap-
peared; in the laft war, at leaft not inferior to that $\underset{\text { I. }}{\text { C }}$ A. of the hardieft veterans of France and Spain.

This diftinetion being well underftood, the hiftory of all ages, it will be found, bears teftimony to the irrefiftible fuperiority which a wellregulated ftanding army has over a militia.

One of the firft ftanding armies of which we have any diftinct account, in any well authenticated hiftory, is that of Philip of Macedon. His frequent wars with the Thracians, Illyrians, Theffalians, and fome of the Greek cities in the neighbourhood of Macedon, gradually formed his troops, which in the beginning were probably militia, to the exact difcipline of a ftanding army. When he was at peace, which he was very feldom, and never for any long time together, he was careful not to difband that army. It vanquifhed and fubdued, after a long and violent ftruggle, indeed, the gallant and well-exercifed militias of the principal republics of ancient Greece; and afterwards, with very little ftruggle, the effeminate and ill-exercifed militia of the great Perfian empire. The fall of the Greek republics and of the Perfian empire, was the effect of the irrefiftible fuperiority which a ftanding army has over every fort of militia. It is the firft great revolution in the affairs of mankind, of which hiftory has preferved any diftinct or circumftantial account.

The fall of Carthage, and the confequent elevation of Rome, is the fecond. All the varieties in the fortune of thofe two famous republics may very well be accounted for from the fame caufe.

From

Boox From the end of the firt to the beginning of the fecond Carthaginian war, the armies of Carthage were continually in the field, and employed under three great generals, who fucceeded one another in the command; Amilcar; his fon-inlaw Afdrubal, and his fon Annibal ; firit in chafcifing their own rebellious naves, afterwards in fubduing the revolted nations of Africa, and laftly, in conquering the great kingdom of Spaiti. The army which Annibal led from Spain into Italy muft neceffarily, in thofe different wars, have been gradually formed to the exact difcipline of a ftanding army. The Romans, in the mean time, though they had not been altogether at peace, yet they had not, during this period, been engaged in any war of very grear confequence; and their military difcipline, it is generally faid, was a good deal relaxed. The Roman armies which Annibal encountered at Trebia, Thrafymenus and Cannx, were militia oppofed to a ftanding army. This circumftance, it is probable, contributed more than any other to determine the fate of thofe battles:

The ftanding army which Annibal left behind him in Spain, had the like fuperiority over the militia which the Romans fent to oppore it, and in a few years, under the command of his brother, the younger Addrubal, expelled them almort entirely from that country.

Annibal was ill fupplied from home. The Rornan militia, being continually in the field, became in the progrefs of the war a well-difciplined and well-exercifed ftanding army; and the fuperiority of Annibal grew every day lefs and
lefs. Ardrubal judged it neceffary to lead the $\mathrm{CH}_{\text {I }}$ A ${ }^{\text {P. }}$ whole, or almoft the whole of the ftanding army which he commanded in Spain, to the affiftance of his brother in Italy. In this march he is faid to have been mifled by his guides; and in a country which he did not know, was furprized and attacked by another ftanding army, in every refpect equal or fuperior to his own, and was entirely defeated.

When Adrubal had left Spain, the great Scipio found nothing to oppofe him but a militia inferior to his own. He conquered and fubdued that militia, and, in the courfe of the war, his own militia neceffarily became a well-difciplined and wellexercifed ftanding army. That ftanding army was afterwards carried to Africa, where it found nothing but a militia to oppofe it. In order to defend Carthage it became neceffary to recall the ftanding army of Annibal. The diheartened and frequently defeated African militia joined ir, and at the battle of $\mathrm{Zama}^{2}$, compofed the greater part of the troops of Annibal. The event of that day determined the fate of the two rival republics.

From the end of the fecond Carthaginian war till the fall $c^{-}$the Roman republic, the armies of Rome were in every refpect flanding armies. The ftanding army of Macedon made fome refiftance to their arms. In the height of their grandeur, it colt them two great wars, and three great battles, to fubdue that little kingdom; of which the conquelt would probably have been fill more difficult, had it not been for the cowardice of its laft king. 'The militias of all the civilized

Bo or vilized nations of the ancient world, of of Syria, and of Egypt, made but a feeble refiftance to the ftanding armies of Rome. The militias of fome barbarous nations defended themfelves much better. The Scythian or Tartar militia, which Mithridates drew from the countries north of the Euxine and Cafpian feas, were the moft formidable enemies whom the Romans had to encounter after the fecond Carthaginian war. The Parthian and German militias too were always refpectable, and, upon feveral occafions, gained very confiderable advantages over the Roman armies. In general, however, and when the Roman armies were well commanded, they appear to have been very much fuperior; and if the Romans did not purfue the final conqueft either of Parthia or Germany, it was probably becaufe they judged, that it was not worth while to add thofe two barbarous countries to an empire which was already too large. The ancient Parthians appear to have been a nation of Scythian or Tartar extraction, and to have always retained a good deal of the manners of their anceftors. The ancient Germans were, like the Scythians or Tartars, a nation of wandering fhepherds, who went to war under the fame chiefs whom they were accuftomed to follow in peace. Their militia was exactly of the fame kind with that of the Scythians or Tartars, from whom too they were probably defcended.

Many different caufes contributed to relax the difcipline of the Roman armies. Its extreme feverity was, perhaps, one of thofe caufes. In the
the days of their grandeur, when no enemy ap- C H A A $_{1}$ P. peared capable of oppofing them, their heavy' armour was laid afide as unneceffarily burdenforne, their laborious exercifes were neglected as unneceffarily toilfome. Under the Roman emperors befides, the ftanding armies of Rome, thofe particularly which guarded the German and Pannonian frontiers, became dangerous to their mafters, againft whom they ufed frequently to fet up their own generals. In order to render them lefs formidable, according to fome authors, Dioclefian, according to others, Conftantine, firft withdrew them from the frontier, where they had always before been encamped in great bodies, generally of two or three legions each, and difperfed them in fmall bodies through the different provincial towns, from whence they were fcarce ever removed, but when it became neceffary to repel an invafion. Small bodies of foldiers quartered in trading and manufacturing towns, and feldom removed from thofe quarters, became themfelves tradefmen, artificers, and manufacturers. The civil came to predominate over the military character; and the ftanding armies of Rome gradually degenerated into a corrupt, neglected, and undifciplined militia, incapable of refifting the attack of the German and Scythian militias, which foon afterwards invaded the weftern empire. It was only by hiring the militia of fome of thofe nations to oppofe to that of others, that the emperors were for fome time able to defend themfelves. The fall of the weftern empire is the third great revolution in the affairs of Vol. III. F. mankind,
${ }^{\text {B O O }}$. mankind, of which ancient hiftory has preferved any diftinct or circumftantial account. It was brought about by the irrefiftible fuperiority which the militia of a barbarous, has over that of a civilized nation; which the militia of a nation of fhepherds, has over that of a nation of hulbandmen, artificers, and manufacturers. The victories which have been gained by militias have generally been, not over ftanding armies, but over other militias in exercife and difcipline inferior to themfelves. Such were the victories which the Greek militia gained over that of the Perfian empire; and fuch too were thofe which in later times the Swifs militia gained over that of the Aufrians and Burgundians.

The military force of the German and Scythian nations who eftablifhed themfelves upon the ruins of the weftern empire, continued for fome time to be of the fame kind in their new fettlements, as it had been in their original country. It was a militia of fhepherds and hufbandmen, which, in time of war, took the field under the command of the fame chieftains whom it was accuftomed to obey in peace. It was, therefore, tolerably, well exercifed, and tolerably well difciplined. As arts and induftry advanced, however, the authority of the chieftains gradually decayed, and the great body of the people had lefs time to fpare. for military exercifes. Both the difcipline and the exercife of the feudal militia, therefore, went gradually to ruin, and ftanding armies were gradually introduced to fupply the place of it. When the expedient of a ftanding army, befides,
once been adopted by one civilized nation, $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{H}}$ A P . it became neceffary that all its neighbours fhould follow the example. They foon found that their fafety depended upon their doing fo, and that their own militia was altogether incapable of refifing the attack of fuch an army.

The foldiers of a ftanding army, though they may never have feen an enemy, yet have frequently appeared to poffefs all the courage of veteran troops, and the very moment that they took the field to have been fit to face the hardieft and moit experienced veterans. In 1756, when the Ruffian army marched into Poland, the valour of the Ruffian foldiers did not appear inferior to that of the Prumians, at that time fuppofed to be the hardieft and moft experienced veterans in Europe. The Ruffian empire, however, had enjoyed a profound peace for near twenty years before, and could at that time have very few foldiers who had ever feen an enemy. When the Spanifh war broke out in 1739, England had enjoyed a. profound peace for about eight and twenty years. The valour of her foldiers, however, far from being corrupted by that long peace, was never more diftinguifhed than in the attempr upon Carthagena, the firft unfortunate exploit of that unfortunate war. In a long peace the generals, perhaps, may fometimes forget their fkill; but, where a well-regulated ftanding army has been kept up, the foldiers feem never to forget their valour.

When a civilized nation depends for its defence upon a militia, it is at all times expofed to

Book $e$ conquered by any barbarous nation which happens to be in its neighbourhood. The frequent conquefts of all the civilized countries in Afia by the Tartars, fufficiently demonitrates the natural fuperiority, which the militia of a barbarous, has over that of a civilized nation. A well-regulated ftanding army is fuperior to every militia. Such an army, as it can beft be maintained by an opulent and civilized nation, fo it can alone defend fuch a nation againft the invafion of a poor and barbarous neighbour. It is only by means of a ftanding army, therefore; that the civilization of any country can be perpetuated, or even preferved for any confiderable time.

As it is only by means of a well-regulated ftanding army that a civilized country can be defended; fo it is only by means of it, that a barbarous country can be fuddenly and tolerably civilized. A ftanding army eftablifhes, with an irrefiftible force, the law of the fovereign through the remoteft provinces of the empire, and maintains fome degree of regular govenmment in countries which could not otherwife admit of any. Whoever examines, with attention, the improvements which Peter the Great introduced into the Ruffian empire, will find that they almoft all refolve themfelves into the eftablifhment of a well-regulated ftanding army. It is the inftrument which executes and maintains all his other regulations. That degree of order and internal peace, which that empire has ever fince' enjoyed, is altogether owing to the influence of that army.

Men of republican principles have been jealous cyap. of a ftanding army as dangerous to liberty. certainly is fo, wherever the intereft of the general and that of the principal officers are not neceffarily connected with the fupport of the conftitution of the ftate. The ftanding army of Cæfar deftroyed the Roman republic. The ftanding army of Cromwel turned the long parliament out of doors. But 'where the fovereign is himfelf the general, and the principal nobility and gentry of the country the chief officers of the army; where the military force is placed under the command of thofe who have the greateft intereft in the fupport of the civil authority, becaufe they have themfelves the greateft Share of that authority, a ftanding army can never be dangerous to liberty. On the contrary, it may in fome cafes be favourable to liberty. The fecurity which it gives to the fovereign renders unneceffary that troublefome jealoufy, which, in fome modern republics, feems to watch over the minuteft actions, and to be at all times ready to difturb the peace of every citizen. Where the fecurity of the magittrate, though fupported by the principal people of the country, is endangered by every popular difcontent; where a fmall tumult is capable of bringing about in a few hours a great revolution, the whole authority of government mult be employed to fupprefs. and punifh every murmur and complaint againft it. To a fovereign, on the contrary, who feels himielf fupported, not only by the natural ariftocracy of the country, but by a wellF 3 regulated
oo v . regulated ftanding army, the rudeft, the moft 'groundlefs, and the moft licentious remonftrances can give little difturbance. He can fafely pardon or neglect them, and his confcioufnefs of his own fuperionity naturally difpofes him to do fo. That degree of liberty which approaches to licentioufnefs can be tolerated only in countries where the fovereign is fecured by a well-regulated ftanding army. It is in fuch countries only, that the public fafety does not require, that the fovereign fhould be trufted with any difcretionary power, for fupprefing even the impertinent wantonnefs of this licentious liberty.

The firft duty of the fovereign, therefore, that of defending the fociety from the violence and injuftice of other independent focieties, grows gradually more and more expenfive, as the fociety advances in civilization. The military force of the fociety, which originally coft the fovereign no expence either in time of peace or in time of war, mult, in the progrefs of improvement, firlt be maintained by him in time of war, and afterwards even in time of peace.

The great change introduced into the art of war by the invention of fire-arms, has enhanced ftill further both the expence of exercifing and difciplining any particular number of foldiers in time of peace, and that of employing them in time of war. Both their arms and their ammunition are become more expenfive. A mufquet is a more expenfive machine than a javelin or a bow and arrows; a cannoin or a mortar, than a balifta or a catapulta. The powder which is
fpent in a modern review, is loft irrecoverably, $\boldsymbol{C}_{\mathbf{I}}^{\mathrm{H}}$ A. and occafions a very confiderable expence. The ${ }^{1}$ javelins and arrows which were thrown or thot in an ancient one, could eafily be picked up again, and were beffes of very little value. The cannon and the mortar are, not only much dearer, but much heavier machines than the balifta or catapulta, and require a greater expence, not only to prepare them for the field, but to carry them to it. As the fuperiority of the modern artillery, too, over that of the ancients is very great; it has become much more difficult, and confequently much more expenfive, to fortify a town fo as to refilt, even for a few weeks, the attack of that fuperior artillery. In modern times many different caufes contribute to render the defence of the fociety more expenfive. The unavoidable effects of the natural progrefs of improvement, have, in this refpect, been a good deal enhanced by a great revolution in the art of war, to which a mere accident, the invention of gunpowder, feems to have given occalion.

In modern war the great expence of fire-arms gives an evident advantage to the nation which can beft afford that expence; and confequently, to an opulent and civilized, over a poor and barbarous nation. In ancient times the opulent and civilized found it difficult to defend themfelves againft the poor and barbarous nations. In modern times the poor and barbarous find it difficult to defend themfelves againtt the opulent and civilized. The invention of fire-arms, an inven-

BOOX tion which at firft fight appears to be fo pernicious, is certainly favourable both to the permanency and to the extenfion of civilization.

## P A R T $\cdot$ II.

## Of the Expence of fuftice.

THE fecond duty of the fovereign, that of protecting, as far as poffible, every member of the fociety from the injuftice or oppreffion of every other member of it, or the duty of eftablifhing an exact adminiftration of juftice, requires two very different degrees of expence in the different periods of fociety.

Among nations of hunters, as there is fcarce any property, or at leaft none that exceeds the value of two or three days labour; fo there is feldom any eftablifhed magiftrate, or any regular adminiftration of juftice. Men who have no property can injure one another only in their perfons or reputations. But when one man kills, wounds, beats, or defames another, though he to whom the injury is done fuffers, he who does it receives no benefit, It is otherwife with the injuries to property. The benefit of the perfon who does the injury is often equal to the lofs of him who fuffers it. Envy; malice, or refentment, are the only paffions which can prompt one man to injure another in his perfon or reputation. But the greater part of men are not very frequently under the influence of thofe paffions;
and the very worft men are fo only occafionally. $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}$ As their gratification too, how agreeable foever' it may be to certain characters, is not attended with any real or permanent advantage, it is in the greater part of men commonly reftrained by prudential confiderations. Men may live together in fociety with fome tolerable degree of fecurity, though there is no civil magiftrate to protect them from the injuftice of thofe paffions. But avarice and ambition in the rich, in the poor the hatred of labour and the love of prefent eafe and enjoyment, are the paffions which prompt to invade property, paffions much more fteady in their operation, and much more univerfal in their influence. Wherever there is great property, there is great irfequality. For one very rich man, there muft be at leaft five hundred poor, and the affuence of the few fuppofes the indigence of the many. The affluence of the rich excites the indignation of the poor, who are often both driven by want, and prompted by envy, to invade his poffeffions. It is only under. the Shelter of the civil magiftrate that the owner of that valuable property, which is acquired by the labour of many years, or perhaps of many fucceflive generations, can neep a fingle night in fecurity. He is at all times furrounded by unknown enemies, whom, though he never provoked, he can never appeale, and from whofe injuftice he can be protected only by the powerful arm of the civil magiftrate continually held up to chaftife it. The acquifition of valuable and extenfive property, therefore, neceffarily requires
quires the eftablifhment of civil govermment: , Where there is no property, or at leaft none that exceeds the value of two or three days labour, civil government is not fo neceffary.

Civil government fuppofes a certain fubordination. But as the neceffity of civil government gradually grows up with the acquifition of valuable property, fo the principal caules which naturally introduce fubordination gradually grow up with the growth of that valuable property.

The caufes or circumftances which naturally introduce fubordination, or which naturally, and antecedent to any civil inftitution, give fome men fome fuperiority over the greater part of their brethren, feem to be four in number.

The firf of thofe caufes or circumftances is the fuperiority of perfonal qualifications, of ftrength, beauty, and agility of body; of wifdom, and vircue, of prudence, jultice, fortitude, and moderation of mind. The qualifications of the body, unlefs fupported by thofe of the mind, can give little authority in any period of fociety. He is a very ftrong man, who by mere ftrength of body can force two weak ones to obey him. The qualifications of the mind can alone give very great authority. They are, however, invifible qualities; always difputable, and generally difputed. No fociety, whether barbarous or civilized, has ever found it convenient to fettle the rules of precedency of rank and fubordination, according to thofe invifible qualities; but according to fomething that is more plain and palpable.

The fecond of thofe caules or circumftances is C H ${ }_{\mathbf{A}}$ the fuperiority of age. An old man, provided his age is not fo far advanced as to give fufpicion of dotage, is every where more refpected than a young man of equal rank, fortune, and abilities. Among nations of hunters, fuch as the native tribes of North America, age is the fole foundation of rank and precedency. Among them, father is the appellation of a fuperior; brother, of an equal; and fon, of an inferior. In the moft opulent and civilized nations, age regulates rank among thofe who are in every other refpect equal; and among whom, therefore, there is nothing elfe to regulate ic. Among brothers and among fifters, the eldeft always takes place; and in the fucceffion of the paternal eftate, every thing which cannet be divided, but mult go entire to one perfon, fuch as a title of honour, is in moft cafes given to the eldeft. Age is a plain and palpable quality which admits of no difpute.

The third of thofe caufes or circumftances is the fuperiority of fortune. The authority of riches, however, though great in every age of fociety, is perhaps greateft in the rudeft ages of fociety which admits of any confiderable inequality of fortune. A Tartar chief, the increafe of whofe herds and flocks is fufficient to maintain a thoufand men, cannot well employ that increafe in any other way than in maintaining a thoufand men. The rude ftate of his fociety does not afford him any manufactured produce, any trinkets or baubles of any kind, for which he can exchange that part of his rude produce, which
${ }^{\text {B }} \mathbf{0}$ o ${ }^{\mathrm{K}}$. which is over and above his own confumption. The thoufand men whom he thus maintains, depending entirely upon him for their fubfiftence, muft both obey his orders in war, and fubmit ta his jurifdiction in peace. He is neceffarily both their general and their judge, and his chieftainfhip is the neceffary effect of the fuperiority of his fortune. In an opulent and civilized fociety, a man may poffefs a much greater fortune, and yet not be able to command a dozen of people. Though the produce of his eftate may be fufficient to maintain, and may perhaps actually maintain, more than a thoufand people, yet as thofe people pay for every thing which they get from him, as he gives fcarce any thing to any body but in exchange for an equivalent, there is fcarce any body who confiders himfelf as entirely dependent upon him, and his authority extends only over a few menial fervants. The authority of fortune, however, is very great even in an opulent and civilized fociety. That it is much greater than that, either of age, or of perfonal qualities, has been the contant complaint of every period of fociety which admitted of any confiderable inequality of fortune. The firft period of fociety; that of hunters, admits of no fuch inequality. Univerfal poverty eftablifhes their univerfal equality, and the fuperiority, either of age, or of perfonal qualities, are the feeble, but the fole foundations of authority and fubordination. There is therefore little or no authority or fubordination in this period of fo ciety. The fecond period of fociety, that of fhepherds,

Thepherds, admits of very great inequalities of $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{H}}^{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{P}$. 1. fortune, and there is no period in which the fuperiority of fortune gives fo great authority to thofe who poffers it. There is no period accordingly in which authority and fubordination are more perfectly eftablifhed. The authority of an Arabian fcherif is very great; that of a Tartar khan altogether defpotical.

The fourth of thofe caufes or circumftances is the fuperiority of birth. Superiority of birth fuppofes an ancient fuperiority of fortune in the fanily of the perfon who claims it. All families are equally ancient; and the anceftors of the prince, though they may be better known, caninot well be more numerous than thofe of the beggar. Antiquity of family means every where the antiquity either of wealth, or of that greatnefs which is commonly either founded upon wealth, or accompanied with it. Upftart greatnefs is every where lefs refpected than ancient greatnefs. The hatred of ufurpers, the love of the family of an ancient monarch, are; in a great meafure, founded upon the contempt which men naturally have for the former, and upon their veneration for the latter. As a military officer fubmits without reluctance to the authority of a fuperior by whom he has always been commanded, but cannot bear that his inferior fthould be fet over his head; fo men eafily fubmit to a family to whom they and their anceftors have always fubmitted; but are fired with indignation when another family, in whom they

Book had never acknowledged any fuch fuperiority; laffumes a dominion over them.

The diftinction of birth, being fublequent to the inequality of fortune, can have no place in nations of hunters, among whom all men, being equal in fortune, muft likewife be very nearly equal in birth. The fon of a wife and brave man may, indeed, even among them, be fomewhat more refpected than a man of equal merit who has the misfortune to be the fon of a fool, or a coward. The difference, however, will not be very great; and there never was, I believe, a great family in the world whofe illuftration was entirely derived from the inheritance of wifdom and virtue.

The diftinction of birth not only may, but always does take place among nations of thepherds. Such nations are always ftrangers to every fort of luxury, and great wealth can fcarce ever be diffipated among them by improvident profufion. There are no nations accordingly who abound more in families revered and honoured on account of their defcent from a long race of great and illuftrious anceftors; becaufe there are no nations among whom wealth is likely to continue longer in the fame families.

Birth and fortune are evidently the two circumitances which principally fet one man above another. They are the two great fources of perfonal diftinction, and are therefore the principal caufes which naturally eftablith authority and fubordination among men. Among nations of hepherds
fhepherds both thole caules operate with their CHAP. full force. The great mepherd or herdfman, refpected on account of his great wealth, and of the great number of thofe who depend upon him for fubfiftence, and revered on account of the noblenefs of his birth, and of the immemorial antiquity of his illuftrious family, has a natural authority over all the inferior fhepherds or herdfmen of his horde or clan. He can command the united force of a greater number of people than any of them. His military power is greater than that of any of them. In time of war they are all of them naturally difpofed to muiter themfelves under his banner, racher than under that of any other perfon, and his birth and fortune thus naturally procure to him fome fort of executive power. By commanding too the united force of a greater number of people than any of them, he is beft able to compel any one of them who may have injured another to compenfate the wrong. He is the perfon, therefore, to whom all thofe who are too weak to defend themfelves naturally look up for protection. It is to him that they naturally complain of the injuries which they imagine have been done to them, and his interpofition in fuch cales is more eafily fubmitted to, even by the perfon complained of, than that of any other perion would be. His birth and fortune thus naturally procure him fome fort of judicial authority.

It is in the age of fhepherds, in the fecond period of fociety, that the ineqnality of fortune firlt begins to take place, and intruduces among which could not poffibly exift before. It thereby introduces fome degree of that civil government which is indifpenfably neceffary for its own prefervation: and it feems to do this naturally, and even independent of the confideration of that neceffity. The confideration of that neceffity comes no doubt afterwards to contribute very much to maintain and fecure that authority and fubordination. The rich, in particular, are neceffarily interefted to fupport that order of things, which can alone fecure them in the porfeffion of their own advantages. Men of inferior wealth combine to defend thofe of fuperior wealth in the poffeffion of their property, in order that men of fuperior wealth may combine to defend them in the poffeffion of theirs. All the inferior fhepherds and herdfmen feel that the fecurity of their own herds and flocks depends upon the fecurity of thofe of the great fhepherd or herdfman; that the maintenance of their leffer authority depends upon that of his greater authority, and that upon their fubordination to him depends his power of keeping their inferiors in fubordination to them. They conftitute a fort of little nobility, who feel themfelves interefted to defend the property and to fupport the authority of their own little fovereign, in order that he may be able to defend their property and to fupport their authority. Civil government, fo far as it is inftituted for the fecurity of property, is in reality infticuted for the defence of the rich againtt the poor, or of thofe
who have fome property againft thofe who have $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{P}$. none at all.

The judicial authority of fuch a fovereign, however, far from being a caufe of expence, was for a long time a fource of revenue to him. The perfons who applied to him for juftice were always willing to pay for it, and a prefent never failed to accompany a petition. After the authority of the fovereign too was thoroughly eftablifhed, the perfon found guilty, over and above the fatisfaction which he was obliged to make to the party, was likewife forced to pay an amercement to the fovereign. He had given trouble, he had difturbed, he had broke the peace of his lord the king, and for thofe offences an amercement was thought due. In the Tartar governments of Afia, in the governments of Europe which were founded by the German and Scythian nations who overturned the Roman empire, the adminiftration of jultice was a confiderable fource of revenue, both to the fovereign, and to all the leffer chiefs or lords who exercifed under him any particular jurifdiction, either over fome particular tribe or clan, or over fome particular territory or diftrict. Originally both the fovereign and the inferior chiefs ufed to exercife this jurifdiction in their own perfons. Afterwards they univerfally found it convenient to delegate it to fome fubftitute, bailiff, or judge. This fubstitute, however, was ftill obliged to account to his principal or conftituent for the profits of the inrifinfina Whoever reads the ${ }^{*}$, inftructions

[^2]${ }^{\boldsymbol{B}} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{v}}$ or which were given to the judges of the circuit in the time of Henry II. will fee clearly that thofe judges were a fort of itinerant factors, fent round the country for the purpofe of levying ce branches of the king's revenue. In thofe the adminiftration of juftice, not only afforded a certain revenue to the fovereign, but to procure this revenue feems to have been one of the principal advantages which he propofed to obtain by the adminiftration of juftice.
$\mathrm{T}_{\text {His }}$ fcheme of making the adminiftration of juftice fubfervient to the purpofes of revenue, could fcarce fail to be productive of feveral very. grofs abufes. The perfon, who applied for juftice with a large prefent in his hand, was likely to get fomething more than juftice; while he, who applied for it with a fmall one, was likely to get fomething lefs. Juftice too might frequensly be delayed, in order that this, prefent might be repeated. The amercement, befides, of the perfon complained of, might frequently fuggeft a very ftrong reafon for finding him in the wrong, even when he had not really been fo. That fuch abufes were far from being uncommon, the ancient hiftory of every country in Europe bears witnefs.

When the fovereign or chief exercifed his ju-. dicial authority in his own perfon, how much foever he might abufe it, it muft have been fcarce poffible to get any rediefs; becaufe there could, feldom be any body powerful enough to call him. to account. When he exercifed it by a bailiff, indeed, redrefs might fometimes be hadmenti it
was for his own benefit only, that the bailiff had C $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}$ A P. been gurity of an act of injuftice, the himfelf inght not always be unwilling to punifh him, or to oblige him to repair the wrong. But if it was for the benefit of his fovereign, if it was in order to make court to the perfon who appointed him and who might prefer him, that he had committed any act of oppreffion, redrefs would upon moft occafions be as impoffible as if the fovereign had committed it himfelf. In all barbarous governments, accordingly, in all thofe ancient governments of Europe in particular, which were founded upon the ruins of the Roman empire, the adminiftration of juftice appears for a long time to have been extremely corrupt; far from being quite equal and impartial even under the beft monarchs, and altogether profigate under the worft.

Among nations of fhepherds, where the fovereign or chief is only the greateft fhepherd or herdfman of the horde or clan, he is maintained in the fame manner as any of his vaffals or fubjects, by the increafe of his own herds or flocks. Among thofe nations of bufbandmen who are but juft come out of the fhepherd ftate, and who are not much advanced beyond that ftate; fuch as the Greek tribes appear to have been about the time of the Trojan war, and our German and Scythian anceftors when they firft fettled upon the ruins of the weftern empire; the fovereign or chief is, in the fame manner, only the greateft landlord of the country, and is maintained, in the fame manner as any other landlord, by a

## the nature and causes of

${ }^{\text {B O }} \mathbf{0}$ o ${ }^{\mathrm{K}}$ revenue derived from his own private eftate, or from what, in modern Europe, was called the demefne of the crown. His fubjects, upon ordinary occafions, contribute nothing to his fupport, except when, in order to protect them from the oppreffion of fome of their fellow-fubjects, they ftand in need of his authority. The prefents which they make him upon fuch occafions, conftitute the whole ordinary revenue, the whole of the emoluments which, except perhaps upon fome very extraordinary emergencies, he derives from his dominion over them. When Agamemnon, in Homer, offers to Achilles for his friendfhip the fovereignty of feven Greek cities, the fole advantage which he mentions as likely to be derived from it, was, that the people would honour him with prefents. As long as fuch prefents, as long as the emoluments of juftice, or what may be called the fees of court, conitituted in this manner the whole ordinary revenue which the fovereign derived from his fovereignty, it could not well be expected, it could not even decently be propofed, that he fhould give them up altogether. It might, and it frequently was propofed, that he fhould regulate and afcertain them. But after they had been fo regulated and afcertained, how to hinder a perfon who was all-powerful from extending them beyond thofe regulations, was ftill very difficult, not to fay impoffible. During the continuance of this fate of things, therefore, the corruption of juftice, naturally refulting from the arbitrary and uncertain nature of thofe prefents, fcarce admitted of any effectual remedy.

But when from different caufes, chiefly from ${ }^{\text {chap. }}$ the continually increafing expence of defending the nation againft the invation of other nations, the private eftate of the fovereign had become altogether infufficient for defraying the expence of the fovereignty; and when it had become neceffary that the people fhould, for their own fecurity, contribute towards this expence by taxes of different kinds, it feems to have been very commonly ftipulated, that no prefent for the adminiftration of juftice fhould, under any pretence, be accepted either by the fovereign, or by his bailiffs and fubftitutes, the judges. Thofe prefents, it feems to have been fuppofed, could more eafily be abolifhed altogether, than effectually regulated and afcertained. Fixed falaries were appointed to the judges, which were fuppofed to compenfate to them the lofs of whatever might have been their thare of the ancient emoluments of juftice; as the taxes more than compenfated to the fovereign the lofs of his. Jultice was then faid to be adminiftered gratis.

Justice, however, never was in reality adminittered gratis in any country. Lawyers and attornies, at leaft, muft always be paid by the parties; and, if they were not, they would perform their duty fill worfe than they actually perform it. The fees annually paid to lawyers and attornies amount, in every court, to a much greater fum than the falaries of the judges. The circumftance of thofe falaries being paid by the crown, can no-where much diminifh the neceffary expence of a law-fuit. But it was not fo much G 3 to

B O O K to diminifh the expence, as to prevent the corI ruption of juftice, that the judges were prohibited from receiving any prefent or fee from the parties.

The office of judge is in itfelf fo very honourable, that men are willing to accept of it, though accompanied with very fmall emoluments. The inferior office of juftice of peace, though attended with a good deal of trouble, and in moit cafes with no emoluments at all, is an object of ambition to the greater part of our country gentlemen, The falaries of all the different judges, high and low, together with the whole expence of the adminiftration and execution of juftice, even where it is not managed with very good oconomy, makes, in any civilized country, but a very inconfiderable part of the whole expence of government.

The whole expence of juftice too might eafily be defrayed by the fees of court; and, without expoling the adminiftration of juftice to any real hazard of corruption, the public revenue might thus be entirely difcharged from a certain, though, perhaps, but a fmall incumbrance. It is difficult to regulate the fees of court effectually, where a perfon fo powerful as the fovereign is to fhare in them, and to derive any confider. able part of his revenue from them. It is very eafy, where the judge is the principal perfon who can reap any benefit from them. The law can vory eafily oblige the judge to refpect the regula. tion,: though it might not always be able to make the fovereign refpect it. Where the fees of court are precikly regulated and afcertained,
where they are paid all at once, at a certain $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{A}$. P . period of every procefs, into the hands of calhier or receiver, to be by him diftributed in certain known proportions among the different judges after the procefs is decided, and not till it is decided, there feems to be no more danger of corruption than where fuch fees are prohibited altogether. Thofe fees, without occafioning any confiderable increafe in the expence of a lawfait, might be rendered fully fúficient for defraying the whole expence of juftice. By not being paid to the judges till the procefs was determined, they might be fome incitement to the diligence of the court in examining and deciding it. In courts which confifted of a confiderable number of judges, by proportioning the thare of each judge to the number of hours and days which he had employed in examining the procefs, either in the court or in a committee by order of the court, thofe fees might give fome encouragement to the diligence of each particular judge. Public fervices are never better performed than when their reward comes only in confequence of their being performed, and is proportioned to the diligence employed in performing them. In the different parliaments of France, the fees of court (called Epicès and vacations) conftitute the far greater part of the emoluments of the judges. After all deductions are made, the neat falary paid by the crown to a counfellor or judge in the parliament of Touloufe, in rank and dignity the fecond parliament of the kingdom, amounts only to a hundred and fifty livres, about

Book fix pounds eleven fhillings fterling a year. About feven years ago that fum was in the fame place the ordinary yearly wages of a common footman. The diftribution of thofe Epicès too is according to the diligence of the judges. A diligent judge gains a comfortable, though moderate, revenue by his office: An idle one gets little more than his falary. Thofe parliaments are perhaps, in many refpects, not very convenient courts of juftice; but they have never been accufed; they. feem never even to have been fufpected of corruption.

The fees of court feem originally to have been the principal fupport of the different courts of juftice in England. Each court endeavoured to draw to itfelf as much bufinefs as it could, and was, upon that account, willing to take cognizance of many fuits which were not originally intended to fall under its jurifdiction. The court of king's bench, inftituted for the trial of criminal caufes only, took cognizance of civil fuits; the plaintiff pretending that the defendant, in not doing him juftice, had been guilty of fome trefpais or mifdemeanor. The court of exchequer, inftituted for the levying of the king's revenue, and for enforcing the payment of fuch debts only as were due to the king, took cognizance of all other contract debts ; the plaintiff alleg. ing that he could not pay the king, becaufe the defendant would not pay him. In confequence of fuch fictions it came, in many-cafes, to depend altogether upon the parties before what court they would chufe to have their caufe tried; and
each court endeavoured, by fuperior difpatch $\mathbf{C H}_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{A}}{ }^{\boldsymbol{P}}$. and impartiality, to draw to itfelf as many caufes ' as it could. The prefent admirable conftitution of the courts of juftice in England was, perhaps, originally, in a great meafure, formed by this emulation, which anciently took place between their refpective judges; each judge endeavouring to give, in his own court, the fpeedieft and moft effectual remedy, which the law would admit, for every fort of injuftice. Originally the courts of law gave damages only for breach of contract. The court of chancery, as a court of confcience, firft took upon it to enforce the fpecific performance of agreements. When the breach of contract confifted in the non-payment of money, the damage fuftained could be compenfated in no other way than by ordering payment, which was equivalent to a fpecific performance of the agreement. In fuch cales, therefore, the remedy of the courts of law was fufficient. It was not fo in others. When the tenant fued his lord for having unjuftly outed him of his leafe, the damages which he recovered were by no means equivalent to the poffeffion of the land. Such caufes, therefore, for fome time, went all to the court of chancery, to the no fimall lofs of the courts of law. It was to draw back fuch caufes to themfelves that the courts of law are faid to have invented the artificial and fictitious writ of ejectment, the moft effectual remedy for an unjuft outer or difpoffefion of land.

A stamp-duty upon the law proceedings of particular court, to be levied by that court,

BOOK and applied towards the maintenance of the judges. and other officers belonging to it, might, in the fame manner, afford a revenue fufficient for fraying the expence of the adminiftration of tice, without bringing any burden upon the neral revenue of the fociety. The judges in might, in this cafe, be under the temptation of multiplying unneceffarily the proceedings upon every caufe, in order to increafe, as moch as porfible, the produce of fuch a Itamp-duty. It has been the cuftom in modern Europe to regulate; upon moft occafions, the payment of the attornies and clerks of court, according to the number of pages which they had occaition to write; the court, however, requiring that each page fhould contain fo many lines, and each line fo many words. In order to increafe their payment, the attornies and clerks have contrived to multiply words beyond all neceflity, to the corruption of the law language of, 1 believe, every court of juftice in Europe. A like temptation might perhaps occafion a like corruption in the form of law proceedings.

But whether the adminiftration of juftice be fo contrived as to defray its own expence, or whether the judges be maintained by fixed falaries paid to them from fome other fund, it does not feem neceffary that the perfon or perfons entrufted with the executive power fhould be charged with the management of that fund, or with the payment of thofe falaries. That fund might arife from the rent of landed eftates, the management of each eftate being entrufted to the
particular
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particular court which was to be maintaned by $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{L}}$, it. That fund might arife even from the intereft of a fum of money, the lending out of which might, in the fame manner, be entrufted to the court which was to be maintained by it. A part, though indeed but a fmall part, of the falary of the judges of the court of Seffion in Scotland, arifes from the intereft of a fum of money. The neceffary inftability of fuch a fund feems, however, to render it an improper one for the maintenance of an inftitution which ought to laft for ever.

The feparation of the judicial from the executive power feems originally to have arifen from the increafing bufinefs of the fociety, in confequence of its increafing improvement. The adminiftration of juftice became fo laborious and fo complicated a duty as to require the undivided attention of the perfons to whom it was entrufted. The perfon entrulted with the executive power, not having leifure to attend to the decifion of private caufes himfelf, a deputy was appointed to decide them in his ftead. In the progrefs of the Roman greatnefs, the conful was too much occupied with the political affairs of the ftate, to attend to the adminiftration of juftice. A prætor, therefore, was appointed to adminifter it in his ftead. In the progrefs of the European monarchies which were founded upon the ruins of tise Roman empire, the fovereigns and the great lords came univerfally to confider the adminiftration of juftice as an office, both too laborious and too ignoble for them to execute in their own perfons. They univerfally, therefore,
. oo v therefore, difcharged themfelves of it by appointa deputy, bailiff, or judge.
When the judicial is united to the executive power, it is fcarce poffible that juftice fhould not frequently be facrificed to, what is vulgarly called, politics. The perfons entrufted with the great interefts of the ftate may, even without any corrupt views, fometimes imagine it neceffary to facrifice to thofe interefts the rights of a private man. But upon the impartial adminiftration of juftice depends the liberty of every individual, the fenfe which he has of his own fecurity. In order to make every individual feel himfelf perfectly fecure in the poffeffion of every right which belongs to him, it is not only neceffary that the judicial fhould be feparated from the executive power, but that it fhould be rendered as much as poffible independent of that power. The judge fhould not be liable to be removed from his office according to the caprice of that power. The regular payment of his falary fhould not depend upon the good-will, or even upon the good cconomy of that power.

## PARTIII.

Of the Expence of public Works and public Infitutions.

THE third and laft duty of the fovereign or commonwealth is that of erecting and maintaining thofe public inftitutions and thofe public works, which, though they may be in the higheft degree advantageous to a great fociety, are, how-
ever, of fuch a nature, that the profit could never cha repay the expence to any individual or fmall num-1 ber of individuals, and which it therefore cannot be expected that any individual or fmall number of individuals fhould ereet or maintain. The performance of this duty requires too very different degrees of expence in the different periods of fociety.

After the public inftitutions and public works neceffary for the defence of the fociety, and for the adminiftration of juftice, both of which have already been mentioned, the other works and inftitutions of this kind are chiefly thofe for facilitating the commerce of the fociety, and thofe for promoting the inftruction of the people. The inftitutions for inftruction are of two kinds; thofe for the education of the youth, and thofe for the inftruction of people of all ages. The confideration of the manner in which the expence of thofe different forts of public works and inftitutions may be moft properly defrayed, will divide this third part of the prefent chaper into three different articles.

## Article I.

Of the public Works and Infitutions for facililating the Commerce of the Society.
, firft, of thofe which are neceffary fur facilitating Commerce in general.

THAT the erection and maintenance of the public works which facilitate the commerce of any country, fuch as good roads, bridges, navi-
${ }^{\text {Bo }} \mathrm{V}$ or gable canals, harbours, \&c. muft require very different degrees of expence in the different periods of fociery, is evident without any proof. The expence of making and maintaining the public roads of any country muft evidently increafe with the annual produce of the land and labour of that country, or with the quantity and weight of the goods which it becomes neceffary to fetch and carry upon thofe roads. The ftrength of a bridge mult be fuited to the number and weight of the carriages, which are likely to pals over it. The depth and the fupply of water for a navigable canal mult be proportioned to the number and tunnage of the lighters, which are likely to carry goods upon it; the extent of a harbour to the number of the Chipping which are likely to take fhelter in it.

Ir does not feem neceffary that the expence of thofe public works fhould be defrayed from that public revenue, as it is commonly called, of which the collection and application are in moft countries affigned to the executive power. The greater part of fuch public works may eafily be fo managed, as to afford a particular revenue fufficient for defraying their own expence, without bringing any burden upon the general revenue of the fociety.
A highway, a bridge, a navigable canal, for example, may in moft cafes be both made and maintained by a fmall toll upon the carriages. which make ufe of them: a harbour, by a moderate port-duty upor the tunnage of the fhipping which load or undoad in it. The coinage, another
for facilitating commerce, in chap. zot only defrays its own
pence, but affords a fmall revenue or feignorage to the foyereign. The poft-office, another inftitution for the fame purpofe, over and above de. fraying its own expence, affords in almoft all countries a very confiderable revenue to the fo-

When the carriages which pafs over a highway or a bridge, and the lighters which fail upon a navigable canal, pay toll in proportion to their weight or their tunnage, they pay for the maintenance of thofe public works exactly in proportion to the wear and tear which they occafion of them. It feems fcarce poffible to invent a more equitable way of maintaining fuch works. This tax or toll too, though it is advanced by the carrier, is finally paid by the confumer, to whom it mult always be charged in the price of the goods. As the expence of carriage, however, is very much reduced by means of fuch public works, the goods, notwithftanding the toll, come cheaper to the confumer than they could otherwife have done; their price not being fo much raifed by the toll, as it is lowered by the cheapnefs of the carriage. The perfon who finally pays this tax, therefore, gains by the application, more than he lofes by the payment of. it. His payment is exactly in proportion to his gain. It is in reality no more than a part of that gain which he is obliged to give up in order to get the reft. It feems impofible to imagine a more equitable mechod of raifing a tax.

When;
sook When the toll upon carriages of Juxury; upon coaches, polt-chaifes, \&xc. is made fomethat higher in proportion to their weight, than upon carriages of neceffary ufe, fuch as earts, waggons, \&c. the indolence and vanity of the rich is made to contribute in a very eafy manner to the relief of the poor, by rendering cheaper the tranfportation of heavy goods to all the different parts of the country.

When high roads, bridges, canals, \&c. are in this manner made and fupported by the commerce which is carried on by means of them, they can be made only where that commerce requires them, and confequently where it is proper to make them. Their expence too, their grandeur and magnificence, mult be fuited to what that commerce can afford to pay. They muft be made confequently as it is proper to make them. A magnificent high road cannot be made through a defart country where there is little or no commerce, or merely becaufe it happens to lead to the country villa of the intendant of the province, or to that of fome great lord to whom the intendant finds it convenient to make his court. A great bridge cannot be thrown over a river at a place where nobody paffes, or merely to embellifh the view from the windows of a neighbouring palace: things which fometimes happen, in countries where works of this kind are carried on by any other revenue than that which they themfelves are capable of affording.

In feveral different parts of Europe the toll or lock-duty upon a canal is the property of private perfons, whofe private interelt obliges
them to keep up the canal. If it is not kept in chap. tolerable order, the navigation neceffarily ceafes, altogether, and along with it the whole profit which they can make by the tolls. If thofe tolls were put under the management of commiffioners; who had themfelves no intereft in them, they might be lefs attentive to the maintenance of the works which produced them. The canal of Languedoc coft the king of France and the province upwards of thirteen millions of livres, which (at twenty-eight livres the mark of filver, the value of French money in the end of the laft century) amounted to upwards of nine hundred thoufand pounds fterling. When that great work was finifhed, the moft likely method, it was found, of keeping it in conflant repair, was to make a prefent of the tolls to Riquet the engineer, who planned and conducted the work. Thofe tolls conftitute at prefent a very large eftate to the different branches of the family of that gentleman, who have, therefore, a great intereft to keep the work in conftant repair. But had thofe tolls been put under the management of commiffioners, who had no fuch intereft, they might perhaps have been diffipated in ornamental and unneceflary expences, while the moft effential parts of the work were allowed to go to ruin.

The tolls for the maintenance of a high road, cannot with any fafety be made the property of private perfons. A high road, though entirely neglected, does not become alrogether impaffable, though a canal does. The proprietors of the tolls upon a high road, therefore, might neglect

Vol. III. H altoge-
${ }^{\mathrm{B}} \mathbf{0} \mathbf{0} \mathbf{x}$ altogether the repair of the road, and yet continue 'to levy very nearly the fame tolls. It is proper, therefore, that the folls for the maintenance of fuch a work thould be put under the management of commifioners or truftees.

In Great Britain, the abufes whith the truftees have committed in the management of thofe tolls, have in many cafes been very juftly complained of. At many turnpikes, it has been faid, the money levied is more than double of what is neceffary for executing, in the completeft manner, the work, which is often executed in a very flovenly manner, and fometimes not executed at all. The fyftem of repairing the high roads by tolls of this kind, it muft be obferved, is not of very long ftanding. We fhould not wonder, therefore, if it has not yet been brought to that degree of perfection of which it feems capable. If mean and improper perfons are frequently appointed truftees; and if proper courts of infpection and account have not yet been eftablifhed for controlling their conduct, and for reducing the tolls to what is barely fufficient for executing the work to be done by them; the recency of the inftitution both accounts and apologizes for thofe defects, of which, by the wifdom of parliament, the greater part may in due time be gradually re-

The money levied at the different turnpikes in Great Britain is fuppofed to exceed fo much what is neceffary for repairing the roads, that the favings, which, with proper ceconomy, might be made from it, have been confidered, even by fome
minifters, as a very great refource, which might ${ }^{C}{ }_{i}{ }_{i}$ A . at fome time or another be applied to the exigencies of the ftate. Government, it has been faid, by taking the management of the turnpikes into its own hands, and by employing the foldiers, who would work for a very fmall addition to their pay, could keep the roads in good order at a much lefs expence than it can be done by truftees, who have no other workmen to employ, but fuch as derive their whole fubfiftence from their wages. A great revenue, half a million, perhaps*, it has been pretended, might in this manner be gained, without laying any new burden upon the people; and the turnpike roads might be made to contribute to the general expence of the ftate, in the fame manner as the poft-office does at prefent.

That a confiderable revenue might be gained in this manner, I have no doubt, though probably not near fo much, as the projectors of this plan have fuppofed. The plan itfelf, however, feems. liable to feveral very important objections.

First, if the tolls which are levied at the turnpikes fhould ever be confidered as one of the refources for fupplying the exigencies of the ftate, they would certainly be augmented as thofe exigencies were fuppofed to require. According to the policy of Great Britain, therefore, they

[^3]
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would probably be augmented very faft. The facility with which a great revenue could be drawn from them, would probably encourage adminiftration to recur very frequently to this refource. Though it may, perhaps, be more than doubtful, whether half a million could by any ceconomy be faved out of the prefent tolls, it can fcarcely be doubted but that a million might be faved out of them, if they were doubled; and perhaps two millions, if they were tripled*. This great revenue too might be levied without the appointment of a fingle new officer to collect and receive it. But the turnpike tolls being continually augmented in this manner, inftead of facilitating the inland commerce of the country, as at prefent, would foon become a very great incumbrance upon it. The expence of tranfporting all heavy goods from one part of the country to another, would foon be fo much increafed, the market for all fuch goods, confequently, would foon be fo much narrowed, that their production would be in a great meafure difcouraged, and the moft important branches of the domeftic induftry of the country annihilated altogether.

Secondly, a tax upon cartriages in proportion to their weight, though a very equal tax when applied to the fole purpofe of repairing the poads, is a very unequal one, when applied to any other purpofe, or to fupply the common exigencies of the ftate. When it is applied to the fole purpofe above mentioned, each carriage is

[^4]fuppofed pay exactly for the wear and tear CHAP. which that carriage occafions of the roads. But when it is applied to any other purpore, each carriage is fuppofed to pay for more than that wear and tear, and contributes to the fupply of fome other exigency of the ftate. But as the turnpike toll raifes the price of goods in proportion to their weight, and not to their value, it is chiefly paid by the confumers of coarfe and bulky, not by thofe of precious and light commodities. Whatever exigency of the ftate therefore this tax might be intended to fupply, that exigency would be chiefly fupplied at the expence of the poor, not of the rich; at the expence of thofe who are leaft able to fupply it, not of thofe who are moft able.

Thirdly, if government fhould at any time neglect the reparation of the high roads, it would be ftill more difficult, than it is at prefent, to compel the proper application of any part of the turnpike tolls. A large revenue might thus be levied upon the people, without any part of it being applied to the only purpofe to which a revenue levied in this manner ought ever to be applied. If the meannefs and poverty of the truftes of turnpike roads render it fometimes difficult at prefent to oblige them to repair their wrong; their wealth and greatnefs would render it ten times more fo in the cafe which is here fuppofed.

In France, the funds deftined for the reparation of the high roads are under the immediate direction of the executive power. Thofe funds
${ }^{B}$ O O $K$ confift, partly in a certain number of days labeur $\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{V}}$ which the country people are in moft parts of Europe obliged to give to the reparation of the highways; and partly in fuch a portion of the general revenue of the ftate as the king chules to fpare from his other expences.

By the ancient law of France, as well as by that of moft other parts of Europe, the labour of the country people was under the direction of a local or provincial magiftracy, which had no immediate dependency upon the king's council. But by the prefent practice both the labour of the country people, and whatever other fund the king may chufe to affign for the reparation of the high roads in any particular province or generality, are entirely under the management of the intendant; an officer who is appointed and removed by the king's council, who receives his orders from it, and is in conftant correfpondence with it. In the progrefs of defpotifm the authority of the executive power gradually abforbs that of every other power in the ftate, and affumes to itfelf the management of every branch of revenue which is deftined for any public purpofe. In France, however, the great poft-roads, the roads which make the communication between the principal towns of the kingdom, are in general kept in good order; and in fome provinces are even a good deal fuperior to the greater part of the turnpike roads of England. But what we call the crofs roads, that is, the far greater part of the roads in the country, are entirely neglected, and are in many places abfolutely
lutely impaffable for any heavy carriage. In ${ }^{\text {C }}$ н A P. fome places it is even dangerous to travel on horfeback, and mules are the only conveyance which can fafely be trufted. The proud minifter of an oftentatious court may frequently take pleafure in executing a work of fplendour and magnificence, fuch as a great highway, which is frequently feen by the principal nobility, whofe applaufes not only flatter his vanity, but even contribute to fupport his intereft at court. But to execute a great number of little works, in which nothing that can be done can make any great appearance, or excite the fmalleft degree of admiration in any traveller, and which, in Short, have nothing to recommend them but their extreme utility, is a bufinefs which appears in every refpect too mean and paltry to merit the attention of so great a magiftrate. Under fuch an adminiftration, therefore, fuch works are almoft always entirely neglected.

In China, and in feveral other governments of Afia, the executive power charges itfelf both with the reparation of the high roads, and with the maintenance of the navigable canals. In the inftructions which are given to the governor of each province, thofe objects, it is faid, are conitantly recommended to him, and the judgment which the court forms of his conduct is very much regulated by the attention which he appears to have paid to this part of his inftructions. This branch of public police accordingly is faid to be very much attended to in all thofe countries, but particularly in China, where the $\mathrm{H}_{4}$
high
sook high roads, and fill more the navigable canals, it is pretended, exceed very much every thing of the fame kind which is known in Europe. The accounts of thofe works, however, which have been tranfmitted to Europe, have generally been drawn up by weak and wondering travellers; frequently by ftupid and lying mifionaries. If they had been examined by more intelligent eyes, and if the accounts of them had been reported by more faithful witneffes, they would not, perhaps, appear to be fo wonderful. The account which Bernier gives of fome works of this kind in Indoftan, falls very much fhort of what had been reported of them by other travellers, more difpofed to the marvellous than he was. It may too, perhaps, be in thofe countries, as it is in France, where the great roads, the great communications which are likely to be the fubjects of converfation at the court and in the capital, are attended to, and all the reft neglefted. In China, befides, in Indoftan, and in feveral other governments of Afia, the revenue of the fovereign arifes almoft altogether from a land-tax or land-rent, which rifes or falls with the rife and fall of the annual produce of the land. The great intereft of the fovereign, therefore, his revenue, is in fuch countries neceffarily and immediately connected with the cultivation of the land, with the greatnefs of its produce, and with the value of its produce. But in order to render that produce both as great and as valuable as pofible, it is neceflary to procure to it as extenfive a market as poffible, and confequently to
the freeft, the eafieft, and the leaft ex- C FAP. perfive communication betweed all the different parts of the country; which can be done only by means of the beft roads and the beft navigable canals. But the revenue of the fovereign does not, in any part of Europe, arife chiefly from a land-tax or land-rent. in all the great kingdoms of Europe, perhaps, the greater part of it may ultimately depend upon the produce of the land: But that dependency is neither fo immediate, nor fo evident. In Europe, therefore, the fovereign does not feel himfelf fo directly called upon to promote the increafe, both in quantity and value, of the produce of the land, or, by maintaining good roads and canals, to provide the moft extentive market for that produce. Though it hould be true, therefore, what I apprehend is not a little doubtful, that in fome parts of Afia this department of the public police is very properly managed by the executive power, there is not the leaft probability that, during the prefent ftate of things, it could be tolerably managed by that power in any part of Europe.

Even thofe public works which are of fuch a nature that they cannot afford any revenue for maintaining themfelves, but of which the conveniency is nearly confined to fome particular place or diftrict, are always better maintained by 2 local or provincial revenue, under the management of a local and provincial adminiftration, than by the general revenue of the ftate, of which the executive power muft always have the management.
 dighted and paved at the expence of the treafury, is there any probability that they would be fo well lighted and paved as they are at prefent, or even at fo fmall an expence? The expence, befides, inftead of being raifed by a local tax upon the inhabitants of each particular ftreet, parifh, or diftrict in London, would, in this cafe, be defrayed out of the general revenue of the ftate, and would confequently be raifed by a tax upon all the inhabitants of the kingdom, of whom the greater part derive no fort of benefit from the lighting and paving of the ftreets of London.

The abufes which fometimes creep into the local and provincial adminiftration of a local and provincial sevenue, how enormous foever they may appear, are in reality, however, almoft always very trifing, in comparifon of thofe which commonly take place in the adminiftration and expenditure of the revenue of a great empire. They are, befides, much more eafily corrected. Under the local or provincial adminittration of the juftices of the peace in Great Britain, the fix days labour which the country people are obliged to give to the reparation of the highways, is not always perhaps very judicioully applied, but it is fcarce ever exacted with any circumftance of cruelty or oppreffion. In France, under the adminitration of the intendants, the application is not always more judicious, and the exaction is frequently the moft cruel and oppreffive. Such Corvées, as they are called, make one of the principal inftruments of tyranny by which thofe officers
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officers chaftife any parifh or communeauté which c \& a p. has had the misfortune to fall under their dif- $\underbrace{1 .}$ pleafure.

Of the Public Works and Infitutions qubicb are neceffary for facilitating particular Brancbes of Commerce.

THE object of the public works and inftitutions above mentioned is to facilitate commerce in general. But in order to facilitate fome particular branches of it, particular inftitutions are neceffary, which again require a particular and extraordinary expence.

Some particular branches of commerce, which are carried on with, barbarous and uncivilized nations, require extraordinary protection. An ordinary ftore or counting houfe could give little fecurity to the goods of the merchants who trade to the weftern coaft of Africa. To defend them from the barbarous natives, it is neceffary that the place where they are depofited, fhould be, in fome meafure, fortified. The diforders in the government of Indottan have been fuppofed to render a like precaution neceffary even among that mild and gentle people; and it was under pretence of fecuring cheir perfons and property from violence, that both the Englifh and French Eaft India Companies were allowed to erect the firft forts which they poffeffed in that country. Among other nations, whofe vigorous governments will fuffer no ftrangers to poffers

## the Nature and causes of

$K$ any fortified place within their territory, it may be neceffary to maintain fome ambaffador, minifter, or conful, who may both decide, according to their own cuftoms, the differences arifing among his own countrymen; and, in their difputes with the natives, may, by means of his public character, interfere with more authority, and afford them a more powerful protection, than they could expect from any private man. The interefts of commerce have frequently made it neceffary to maintain minifters in foreign countries, where the purpofes, either of war or alliance, would not have required any. The commerce of the Turkey Company firft occafioned the eftablifhment of an ordinary ambaffador at Conftantinople. The firf Engiifh embaffies to Ruffia arofe altogether from commercial interefts. The conftant interference with thofe interefts neceffarily occafioned between the fubjects of the different ftates of Europe, has probably introduced the cuftom of keeping, in all neighbouring countries, ambaffadors or minifters conftantly refident even in the time of peace. This cuftom, unknown to ancient times, feems not to be older than the end of the fifteenth or beginning of the fixteenth century; that is, than the time when commerce firft began to extend itfelf to the greater part of the nations of Europe, and when they firft began to attend to its interefts.

Ir feems not unreafonable, that the extraordinary expence, which the protection of any particular branch of commerce may occafion, fhould be defrayed by a moderate tax upon that particular
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particular branch; by a moderate fine, for example, $c$ to be paid by the traders when they firf enter it, or, what is more equal, by a particular duty of fo much per cent. upon the goods which they either import into, or export out of, the particular countries with which it is carried on. The proof trade in general, from pirates and free$s$, is faid to have given occafion to the firf inflitution of the daties of caftoms. But, if it was thought reafonable to lay a general tax upon trade, in order to defray the expence of protecting trade in geaeral, it fhould feem equally reafonable to lay a particular tax upon a particular branch of trade, in order to defray the extraordinary expence of protecting that branch.

The protection of trade in general has akways been confidered as effential to the defence of the commonwealth, and, upon that account, a neceffary part of the dury of the executive power. The collection and application of the general duties of cuftoms, therefore, have always been left to that power. But the protection of any particular branch of trade is a part of the general protection of trade; a part, therefore, of the duty of that power; and if nations always acted confiftently, the particular duties levied for the purpofes of fuch particular protection, fhould always have been left equally to its difpofal. But in this refpect, as well as in many others, nations have not always afted confiftently; and in the greater part of the commercial ftates of Europe, particular companies of merchants have had the addrefs to perfuade the leginature to entruft to

Book them the performance of this part of the duty of 'the fovereign, together with all the powers which are neceffarily connetted with it.

These companies, though they may, perhaps, have been ufeful for the firt introduction of fome branches of commerce, by making, at their own expence, an experiment which the ftate might not think it prudent to make, have in the longrun proved, univerfally, either burdenfome or ufelefs, and have either mifmanaged or confined the trade.

When thofe companies do not trade upon a joint ftock, but are obliged to admit any perfon, properly qualified, upon paying a certain fine, and agreeing to fubmit to the regulations of the company, each member trading upon his own fock, and at his own rifk, they are called regulated companies. When they trade upon a joint ftock, each member fharing in the common profit or lofs in proportion to his fhare in this ftock, they are called joint ftock companies. Such companies, whether regulated or joint fock, fometimes have, and fometimes have not, exclufive privileges.

Regulated companies refemble, in every refpect, the corporations of trades, fo common in the cities and towns of all the different countries of Europe; and are a fort of enlarged monopolies of the fame kind. As no inhabitant of 2 town can exercife an incorporated trade, without firft obtaining his freedom in the corporation, fo in moft cares no fubject of the fate can lawfully carry on any branch of foreign trade, for which
which a regulated company is eftablifhed, with- $\mathbf{C H}_{\mathrm{f}}^{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{A}$. out firf becoming a member of that company, The monopoly is more or lefs ftrict according as che terms of admiffion are more or lefs difficult; and according as the directors of the company have more or lefs authority, or have it more or lefs in their power to manage in fuch a manner as to confine the greater part of the trade to themfelves and their particular friends. In the moft ancient regulated companies the privileges of apprenticehhip were the fame as in other corporations; and entitled the perfon who had ferved his time to a member of the company, to become himfelf a member, either without paying any fine, or upon paying a much fmaller one than what was exacted of other people. The ufual corporation fpirit, wherever the law does not reftrain it, prevails in all regulated companies. When they have been allowed to act according to their natural genius, they have always, in order to confine the competition to as fmall a number of perfons as pofible, endeavoured to fubject the trade to many burthenfome regulations. When the law has reftrained them from doing this, they have become altogether ufelefs and infignificant.

The regulated companies for foreign commerce, which at prefent fubfift in Great Britain, are, the ancient merchant adventurers company, now commonly called the Hamburgh Company, the Ruffia Company, the Eaftland Company, the Turkey Company, and the African Company.
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Bonk The terms of admifion into the Hamburgh Company, are now faid to be quite eafy; and the directors either have it not in their power to fubject the trade to any burdenfome reftraint or regulations, or, at leaft, have not of late exercifed that power. It has not always been fo. About the middle of the laft century, the fine for admiffion was fifty, and at one time one huadred pounds, and the conduct of the company was faid to be extremely oppreffive. In 1643, in 1645, and in 1661, the clothiers and free traders of the Weft of England complained of them to parliament, as of monopolifts who confined the trade and oppreffed the manufactures of the country. Though thofe complaints produced. no act of parliament, they had probably intimidated the company fo far, as to oblige them to reform their conduct. Since that time, at leaft, there have been no complaints againft them. By the roth and rith of William III. c. 6. the fine for admiffion into the Ruffian Company was reduced to five pounds; and by the 25 th of Chartes II. c. 7. that for admiffion into the Eaftland Company, to forty fhillings, while, at the fame time, Sweden, Denmark and Norway, all the countries on the north fide of the Baltic, were exempted from their exclulive charter. The conduct of thofe companies had probably given occafion to thofe two acts of parliament. Before that time, Sir Jofiah Child had reprefented both thefe and the Hamburgh Company as extremely oppreflive, and imputed to their bad management the low ftate of the trade, which
we at that time carried on to the countries compre- $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{A}$. hended within their refpective charters. But though fuch companies may not, in the prefent times, be very oppreffive, they are certainly altogether ufelefs. To be merely ufelefs, indeed, is perhaps the higheft eulogy which can ever juftly be beitowed upon a regulated company; and all the three compatres above mentioned feem, in their prefent ftate, todeferve this eulogy.

The fine for admiffion into the Turkey Company was formerly twenty-five pounds for all perfons under twenty-fix years of age, and fifty pounds for all perfons above that age. Nobody but mere merchants could be admitted; a reftriction which excluded all fhop-keeepers and retailers. By a bye-law, no Britifh manufactures could be exported to Turkey but in the general fhips of the company; and as thofe fhips failed always from the port of London, this reftriction confined the trade to that expenfive port, and the traders to thofe who lived in London and in its neighbourhood. By another bye law, no perfon living within twenty miles of London, and not free of the city, could be admitted a member; another reftriction, which, joined to the foregoing, neceffarily excluded all but the freemen of London. As the time for the loading and falling of thofe general hips depended altogether upon the directors, they could eafily fill them with their own goods and thofe of their particular friends, to the exclufion of others, who, they might pretend, had made their propofals too late. In this fate of things, there-
bon $\mathbf{v}$. fore, this company was in every refpect a ftrict , and oppreffive monopoly. Thofe abules gave occafion to the act of the 26 th of George II. c. 18. reducing the fine for admifion to twenty pounds for all perfons, without any diftinction of ages, or any reftriction, either to mere merchants, or so the freemen of London; and granting to all fuch perfons the liberty of exporting, from all the ports of Great Britain to any port in Turkey, all Britifh goods of which the exportation was not prohibited; and of importing from thence all Turkin goods, of which the importation was not prohibited, upon paying both the general duties of cuftoms, and the particular duties affeffed for defraying the neceffary expences of the company; and fubmitting, at the fame time, to the lawful authority of the Britifh ambaffador and confuls refident in Turkey, and to the bye-laws of the company duly enacted. To prevent any oppreflion by thofe byelaws, it was by the fame act ordained, that if any feven members of the company conceived themfelves aggrieved by any bye-law which fhould be enacted after the paffing of this aft, they might appeal to the Board of Trade and Plantations (to the authority of which, a committee of the privy council has now fucceeded), provided fuch appeal was brought within twelve months after the bye-law was enacted; and that if any feven members conceived themfelves aggrieved by any bye-law which had been enacted before the paffing of this act, they might bring a like appeal, provided it was within twelve months
months after the day on which this act was to CHA A . take place. The experience of one year, how. ever, may not always be fufficient to difcover to all the members of a great company the pernicious tendency of a particular bye-law; and if feveral of them fhould afterwards difcover it, neither the Board of Trade, nor the committee of council, can afford them any redrefs. The object, befides, of the greater part of the bye-laws of all regulated companies, as well as of all other corporations, is not fo much to opprefs thofe who are already members, as to difcourage others from becoming fo; which may be done, not only by a high fine, but by many other contrivances. The conftant view of fuch companies is always to raife the rate of their own profit as high as they can; to keep the market, both for the goods which they export, and for thofe which chey import, as much underftocked as they can: which can be done only by reftraining the competition, or by difcouraging new adventurers from entering into the trade. A fine even of twenty pounds, befides, though it may not, perhaps, be fufficient to difcourage any man from entering into the Turkey trade, with an intention to continue in it, may be enough to difcourage a fpeculative merchant from hazarding a fingle adventure in it. In all trades, the regular eftablifhed traders, even though not incorporated, naturally combine to raife profits, which are noway fo likely to be kept, at all times, down to their proper level, as by the occafional competition of fpeculative adventurers. The Turkey
${ }^{0} 0 \mathrm{ox}$. trade, though in fome meafure laid open by this act of parliament, is fill confidered by many people as very far from being altogether free. The Turkey Company contribute to mantain an ambaffador,and two or three confuls, who, tike other public minifters, ought to be maintained altogether by the ftate, and the trade laid open to all his majefty's fubjects. The different taxes levied by the company, for this and other corporation purpofes, might afford a revenue much more than fufficient to enable the fate to maintain fuch minifters.

Regulated companies, it was obferved by Sir Jofiah Child, though they had frequently fupported public minifters, had never maintained any forts or garrifons in the countries to which they traded; whereas joint ftock companies frequently had. And in reality the former feem to be much more unfit for this fort of fervice than the latter. Firft, the directors of a regulated company have no particular interef in the profperity of the general trade of the company, for the fake of which, fuch forts and rifons are maintained. The decay of that general trade may even frequently contribute to the advantage of their own private trade; as by diminifhing the number of their competitors, it may enable them both to buy cheaper, and to fell dearer. The directors of a joint fock company, on the contrary, having onty their fhare in the profits which are made upon the common ftock committed to their management, have no private trade of their own, of which the intereft can be
from that of the general trade of the CHAPD.
company. . Their private inteielt is connected with the profperity of the general trade of the company; and with the maintenance of the forts and garrifons which are neceffary for its defence. They are more likely, therefore, to have that continual and careful attention which that maintenance neceffarily requires. . Secondly, The dicectors of a joint ftock company bave always the management of a large capital, the joint ftock of the company, a part of which they may frequently employ, with propriety, in building, repairing, and maintaining fuch neceffary forts and garrifons. But the directors of a regulated company, having the management of no common capital, have no other fund to employ in this way, but the cafual revenue arifing from the admiffion fines, and from the corporation duties impoled upon the trade of the company. Though they had the fame intereft, therefore, to attend to the maintenance of fuch forts and garrifons, they can feldom have the fame ability to render that attention effectual. The maintenance of a public minifter requiring fcarce any attention, and but a moderate and limited expence, is a bufinefs much more futtable both to the temper and abilities of a regulated company.

Long after the time of Sir Joliah Child, however, in 1750 , a regulated company was eftablifhed, the prefent company of merchants trading to Africa, which was exprefsly charged at firlt with the maintenance of all the Brition forts and garrifons that lie between Cape Blanc and

Cape of Good Hope, and afterwards with that of thofe only which lie between Cape Rouge and the Cape of Good Hope. The adt which eftablifhes this company (the 23 d of George IL.c. 3 1.) feems. to have had two diftinct objects in view; firt, to reftrain effectually the oppreffive and monopolizing fpirit which is natural to the directors of a regulated company; and fecondly, to force them, as much as poffible, to give an attention, which is not natural to them, towards the maintenance of forts and garrifons.

For the firt of thefe purpofes, the fine for admiffion is limited to forty fhillings. The company is prohibited from trading in their corporate capacity, or upon a joint ftock; from borrowing money upon common feal, or from laying any. reftraints upon the trade which may be carried on freely from all places, and by all perfons being Britifh fubjects, and paying the fine. The government is in a committet of nine perfons who meet at London, but who are chofen annually by the freemen of the company at London, Briftol and Liverpool; three from each place. No committee-man can be continued in effice for more than three years together. Any committeeman might be removed by the Board of Trade and Plantations; now by a committee of council, after being heard in his own defence. The com. mittee are forbid to export negroes from Africa, or to import any African goods,into Great Brias they are charged with the mainforts and garrifons, they may for that export from Great Britain to Africa,
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goods and ftores of different kinds. Out of the monies which they thall receive from the company, they are allowed a fum not exceeding eight hündred pounds for the falaries of their clerks and agents at London, Briftol and Liverpool, the houfe-rent of their office at London, and all other expences of management, commiffion and agency in England. What remains of this fum, after defraying thefe different expences, they may divide among themfelves, as compenfation for their trouble, in what manner they think proper. By this conftitution, it might have been expected, that the fpirit of monopoly would have been effectually reftrained, and the firft of thefe purpofes fufficiently anfwered. It would feem, however, that it had not. Though by the 4th of George III. c. 20. the fort of Senegal, with all its dependencies, had been vefted in the company of merchants trading to Africa, yet in the year following (by the 5th of George III. c. 44.), not only Senegal and its dependencies, but the whole coaft from the port of Sallee, in fouth Barbary, to Cape Rouge, was exempted from the jurifdiction of that company, was vefted in the crown, and the trade to it declared free to all his majefty's fubjects. The company had been fufpected of reftraining the trade, and of eftablifhing fome fort of improper monopoly, It is not, however, very eafy to conceive how, under the regulations of the 23 d George 1I, they could do fo. In the printed debates of the Houfe of Commons, not always mone authentic records of truth, however, that they have been ace
of this. The members of the committee of nine being all merchants, and the governors and factors in their different forts and fettlements being all dependent upon them, it is not unlikely that the latter might have given peculiar attention to the confignments and comniffions of the former, which would eftablifh a real monopoly.

For the fecond of thefe purpofes, the maintenance of the forts and garrifons, an annual fum has been allotted to them by parliament, generally about $13,000 l$. For the proper application of this fum, the committee is obliged to account annually to the Curfitor Baron of Exchequer; which account is afterwards to be laid before parliament. But parliament, which gives fo little attention to the application of millions, is not likely to give much to that of 33,0001 . a-year; and the Curfitor Baron of Exchequer, from his profeffion and education, is not likely to be profoundly fkilled in the proper expence of forts and garrifons. The captains of his ma-. jefty's navy, indeed, or any other commifioned officers, appointed by the Board of Admiralty, may enquire into the condition of the forts and garrifons, and report their obfervations to that board. But that board feems to have no direct. jurifdiction over the committee, nor any authority to correct thofe whofe conduct it may thus. into; and the captains of his majefty's befides, are not fuppofed to be always deeply learned in the fcience of fortifigtion. Re1 from an office, which can be enjoyed only: for
for the term of three years, and of which the CHAP. lawful emoluments, even during that term, fo very fmall, feems to be the urmoft punifhment to which any committee-man is liable, for any fault, except direct malverfation, or embezzlement, either of the public money, or of that of the company; and the fear of that punifhment can never be a motive of fufficient weight to force a continual and careful attention to a bufinets, to which he has no other intereft to attend. The committee are accufed of having fent out bricks and ftones from England for the reparation of Cape Coalt Caftle on the coalt of Guinea, a bufinefs for which parliament had feveral times granted an extraordinary fum of money. Thefe bricks and ftones too, which had thus been fent upon fo long a voyage, were faid to have been of fo bad a quality, that it was neceffary to rebuild from the foundation the walls which had been repaired with them. The forts and garrifons which lie north of Cape Rouge, are not only maintained at the expence of the ftate, but are under the immediate gowernment of the executive power; and why thofe which lie fouth of that Cape, and which too are, in part at leaft, maintained at the expence of the ftate, fhould be under a different government, it feems not very: eafy even to imagine a good reafon. The pror: the Mediterranean.trade was the orior pretence of the garrifons of. and Minorca, and the maintenance and: thofe garrifons have always been; very properly, committed, not to the. Turkey.
soox Company, but to the executive power. In the extent of its dominion confifts, in a great meafure; the pride and dignity of that power; and it is not very likely to fafl in attention to what is neceffary for the defence of that dominion. The garrifons at Gibraltar and Minorca, accordingly, have never been neglected; though Minorca has been twice taken, and is now probably loft for ever, that difafter was never even imputed to any neglect in the executive power. I would not, however, be underfood to infinuate, that eicher of thofe expenfive garrifons was ever, even in the frralleft degree, neceffary for the purpofe for which they were originally difmembered from the Spanifh monarchy. That difinemberment, perthaps, nèver ferved any other real purpofe than to alienate from England her natural ally the King of Spain, and to unite the two principal branches of the houfe of Bourbon in a much ftricter and more permanent alliance than the ries of bload could ever have united them.

Joint ftock companies, eftablifhed either by charter or by act of parliament, differ in refpects, not only from regulated compa
s, but from private copartneries.
Firist, In a private copartnery, no partner, without the confent of the company, can tranffer his thare to another perfon, or introduce a new member into the company. Each member, may, upon proper warning, withdraw copartnery, and demand payment from his thate of the common fock. In a joint tack company, on the contrary, no member

Can demand payment of his fhare from the com- CHA pany; but each member can, without their con-1 fent, transfer his fhare to another perfon, and thereby introduce a new member. The value of a Share in a joint fock is always the price which it will bring in the market; and this may be either greater or lefs, in any proportion, than the fum which its owner ftands credited for in the fock of the company.

Secondly, In a private copartnery, each partner is bound for the debts contracted by the company to the whole extent of his fortune. In a joint ftock company, on the contrary, each partner is bound only to the extent of his hare.

The trade of a joint ftock company is always managed by a court of directors. This court, indeed, is frequently fubject, in many refpects, to the controul of a general court of proprietors. But the greater part of thefe proprietors feldom pretend to underftand any thing of the bufinefs of: the company; and when the fpirit of faction happens not to prevail among them, give themfelves no trouble about it, but receive contentedly fuch half-yearly or yearly dividend, as the directors think pioper to make to them. This total exemption from trouble and from rifk, beyond a linwited fum, encourages many people to become adventurers in joint fock companies, who would, upon no account, hazard their fortunes in any private copartnery. Such companies, therefore, commonly draw to themfelves much greater focks than any private copartnery can boalt of. The trading ftock of the South Sea
soor Company, at one time, amounted to upwards of thirty-three millions eight hundred thoufand pounds. The divided capital of the Bank of England amounts, at prefent; to ten millions feven hundred and eighty thoufand pounds. The directors of fuch companies, however, being the managers rather of other people's money than of their own, it cannot well be expected, that they fhould watch over it with the fame anxious vigilance with which the partners in a private copartnery frequently watch over their own. Like the ftewards of a rich man, they are apt to confider attention to finall matters as not for their mafter's honour, and very eafily give themfelves a difpenfation from having it. Negligence and profufion, therefore, muft always prevail, more or lefs, in the management of the affairs of fuch a"company. It is upon this account that joint ffock companies for foreign trade have feldom been able to maintain the competition againft private adventurers. They have, accordingly, very feldom fucceeded without an exclufive privilege; and frequently have not fucceeded with one. Without an exclufive privilege they have commonly milmanaged the trade. With an exclufive privilege they have both mifmanaged and confined it.
"The Royal African Company, the predeceffors of the prefent African Company, had an exclufive privilege by charter; but as that charter had not been confirmed by act of parliament, the trade, in confequence of the declaration of rights, was, foon after the revolution, laid open
to all his majefty's fubjects. The Hudfon's Bay $\mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{t}}$. Company are, as to their legal rights; in the fame fituation as the Royal African Company. Their exclufive charter has not been confirmed by aet of parliament. The South Sea Company, as long as they continued to be a trading company, had an exclufive privilege confirmed by act of parliament; as have likewife the prefent United Company of Merchants trading to the Ealt Indies.

The Royal African Company foon found that they could not maintain the competition againft private adventurers, whom, notwithtanding the declaration of rights, they continued for fome time to call interlopers, and to perfecute as fuch. In 1698, however, the private adventurers were fubjected to a duty of ten per cent. upon almoft all the different branches of their trade, to be employed by the company in the maintenance of their forts and garrifons. But, notwithftanding this heavy tax, the company were ftill unable to maintain the competition. Their ftock and credit gradually declined. In 1712, their debts had become fo great, that a particular att of parfiament was thought neceffary, both for their fecurity and for that of their creditors. It was enacted, that the refolution of two-thirds of there creditors' in number and value, thould bind the reft, both with regard to the time which hould be allowed to the company for the payment of their debts; and with tegard to any other agreement which it might be thought proper to make with them concerning thofe debts. In 1730, their

- oox their affairs were in fo great diforder, that they altogether incapable of maintaining their forts and garrifons, the fole purpofe and pretext of their inftitution. From that year, till their final diffolution, the parliament judged it neceffary to allow the annual fum of ten thoufand pounds for that purpofe. In 17.32, after having been for many years lofers by the trade of carrying negroes to the Wieft Indies, they at laft refolved to give it up altogether; to fell to the private traders to America the negroes which shey purchafed upon the coaft; and to employ their fervants in a trade to the inland parts of Africa for gold duft, elephants teeth, dying drugs, \&rc. But their fuccefs in this more confined trade was not greater than in their former extenfive one. Their affairs continued to go gradually so decline, till at laft, being in every refpect a bankrupt company, they were diffolved by act of parliament, and their forts and garsilons vefted in the prefent regulated company of merchants trading to Africa. Before the erection of the Royal African Company, there had been three other joint ftock companies fucceffively eftablifhed, one after another, for the African trade. They were all equally unfuccefsful: They all, however, had exclufive charters, which, though not confirmed by aft of parliament, were in thofe days fuppofed to convey a real exclufive

The Hudfon's Bay Company, before their miffortunes in the late war, had been much more fortunate than the Royal African Company. Their neceffary
neceffary expence is much fmaller. The whole $\mathbf{C H}_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{A}$. . number of people whom they maintain in their . different fettlements and habitations, which they have honoúred with the name of forts, is faid not to exceed a hundred and twenty perfons. This number, however, is fufficient to prepare beforehand the cargo of furs and other goods neceffary for loading their mips, which, on account of the ice, can feldom remain above fix or eight weeks in thofe feas. This advantage of having a cargo ready prepared, could not for feveral years be acquired by private adventurers, and without it there feems to be no poffibility of trading to Hudfon's Bay. The moderate capital of the company, which, it is faid, does not exceed one hundred and ten thoufand pounds, may befides be fufficient to enable them to engrofs the whole, or almoft the whole, trade and furplus produce of the miferable, though extenfive country, comprehended within their charter. No private adventurers, accordingly, have ever attempted to trade to that country in competition with them. This company, therefore, have always enjoyed an exclufive trade in fact, though they may have no right to it in law. Over and above all this, the moderate capital of this company is faid to be divided among a very fmall number of proprie. tors. But a joint ftock company, confifting of a frall number of proprietors, with a moderate capital, approaches very nearly to the nature of a private copartnery, and may be capable of nearly the fame degree of vigilance and attention. It is not to be wondered at, therefore, if,

- o o $\mathrm{K}_{\text {in }}$ confequence of thefe different advantages, the - Hudfon's Bay Company had, before the late war, been able to carry on their trade with a confiderable degree of fuccefs. It does not reem probable, however, that their profits ever approached to what the late Mr. Dobbs imagined them. A much more fober and judicious writer, Mr. Andetfon, author of The Hiftorical and Chronological Deduction of Commerce, very juftly obferves, that upon examining the accounts which Mr. Dobbs himfelf has given for feveral years together, of their exports and imports, and upon making proper allowances for their extraordinary rifk and expence, it does not appear that their profits deferve to be envied, or that they can much, if at all, exceed the ordinary profits of trade.

The South Sea Company never had any forts or garrifons to maintain, and therefore were entirely exempted from one great expence, to which other joint ftock companies for foreign trade are fubject. But they had an immenle capital divided among an immenfe number of proprietors. It was naturally to be expected, therefore, that folly, negligence, and profufion fould prevail in the whole management of their affairs. The knavery and extravagance of their ftock-jobbing projects are fufficiently known; and the explication of them would be foreign to the prefent fubject. Their mercantile projects were not much better condacted. The firf trade which they engaged in was that of fupplying the Spa nifh Weft Indies with hegroes, of which fin con$\therefore \quad-i \quad$ requence
fequence of what was called the Affiento contract $\mathbf{C H} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{A}$ P. granted them by the treaty of Utrecht) they had $\qquad$ the exclufive privilege. But as it was not expetted that much profit could be made by this trade, both the Portuguese and French companies, who had enjoyed it upon the fame terms before them, having been ruined by it, they were allowed, as compenfation, to fend annually a hip of a certain burden to trade directly to the Spaniff Weft Indies. Of the, ten voyages which this annual ship was allowed to make, they are fid to have gained confiderably by one, that of the Royal Caroline in 1731, and to have been lofers, more or left, by almost all the reft. Their ill fuccefs was imputed, by their factors and agents, to the extortion and oppreffion of the Spanifh government; but was, perhaps, erincipaliy owing to the profufion and depredations of thole very factors and agents; forme of whom are laid to have acquired great fortunes even in one year. In ${ }^{1734}$, the company petitioned the king, that they might be allowed to difpofe of the trade and tunnage of their annual hip, on account of the little profit which they made by it, and to accept of fut equivalent as they could obtain from the king of Spain.

In 1714, this company had undertaken the whale finery. Of this; indeed, they had no monopoly; but as long as they carried it on; no other British Subjects appear to have engaged in it. Of the eight voyages which their hips made to Greenland, they were gainers by one, and losers by all the reft. After their eighth and lat voyage, when they had fold their III.
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B O O K fores, and utenfils, they found that their whole lofs, upon this branch, eapital and intereft included, amounted to upwards of two hundred and thirty-feven thoufand pounds.

In 1722, this company petitioned the parlia. ment to be allowed to divide their immenfe capital of more than thirty-three militons eight hundred thoufand pounds, the whole of which had been lent to government, into two equal parts: The one half, or upwards of fixteen millions nine hundred thoufand pounds, to be put upon the fame footing with other government annuities, and not to be fubject to the debts contracted, or loffes incurred, by the directors of the company, in the profecution of their mercantile projects; the other half to remain as before, a trading ftock, and to be fubject to thofe debts and loffes. The petition was too reafonable not to be granted. In 1733, they again petitioned the parliament, that three-fourths of their trading ftock might be turned into annuity ftock, and only one-fourth remain as trading fock, or expofed to the hazards arifing from the bad management of their directors. Both their annuity and trading focks had, by this time, been reduced more than two millions each, by feveral different "payments from government; fo that this fourth amounted only to $3,662,7841.8 \mathrm{~s} .6 \mathrm{~d}$. In 1748 , all the demands of the company upon the king of Spain, in confequence of the Affiento contract, were, by the treaty of Aix-la-Chapelle, given up for what was fuppofed an equivalent. An end was pur to their trade with the Spanila Wett Indies, the remainder of their trading
was turned into an annuity fock, and the $\mathbf{C H} A P$. company ceared in every refpect to be a trading ' company.

It ought to be obferved, that in the trade which the South Sea Company carried on by means of their annual fhip, the only trade by which it ever was expected that they could make any confiderable profir, they were not without competitors, either in the foreign or in the home market. At Carthagena, Porto Bello, and La Vera Cruz, they had to encounter the competition of the Spanifh merchants, who brought from Cadiz, to thofe markets, European goods, of the fame kind with the outward cargo of their Mhip; and in England they had to encounter that of the Englifh merchants, who imported from Cadiz goods of the Spanifh Weft Indies, of the fame kind with the inward cargo. The goods both of the Spanifh and Englim merchants, indeed, were, perhaps, fubject to higher duties. But the lofs occafioned by the negligence, profufion, and malverfation of the fervants of the company, had probably been a tax much heavier than all thofe duties. That a joint ftock company fhould be able to carry on fuccefsfully any branch of foreign trade, when private adventurers can come into any fort of open and fair competition with them, feems contrary to all experience.

The old Englifh Eaft India Company; eftablifhed in 1600 , by a charter from ${ }^{-}$ Elizabech. In the firft twelve voyages which they fitted out for India, they appear to have traded as a regulated company, with feparate ftocks, though
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only

в о о $\quad$ only in the general hips of the company. Inf v. 1612, they united into a joint ftock. Their char ter was exclufive, and though not confirmed by act of parliament, was in thofe days fuppofed to convey a real exclufive privilege. For many years; therefore, they were not much difturbed by interlopers. Their capital, which never exceeded feven hundred and forty-four thoufand pounds, and of which fifty pounds was a hare; was not fo exorbitant, nor their dealings fo extenfive, as to afford either a pretext for grofs negligence and profufion, or a cover to grofs malverfation. Notwithftanding fome extraordinary loffes, occafioned partly by the malice of the Dutch Eaft India Company, and partiy by other accidents, they carried on for many years a fuccefsful trade. But in procefs of time, when the principles of liberty were better underftood; it became every day more and more doubtful how far a royal charter, not confirmed by act of parliament, could convey an exclufive privilege. Upon this queftion the decifions of the courts of juftice were not uniform, but varied with the authority of government and the humours of the times. Interlopers multiplied upon them; and towards the end of the reign of Charles II. through the whole of that of James II. and during a part of that of William III. reduced them to great diftrefs. In 1698, a propofal was made to parliament of advancing two millions to government at eight per cent. provided the fubfcribers were erected into a new Eaft India Company with exclufive privileges. The old Eaft India Company offered feven hundred thoufand
nearly the amount of their capital, at four $C$ H A P. fer cent. upon the fame conditions. But fuch at that time the ftate of public credit, that it was more convenient for governinent to borrow two millions at eight per cent. than leven hundred thoufand pounds at four. The propofal of the new fubfcribers was accepted, and a new India Company eftablifhed in confequence. pld Eaft India Company, however, had a right to continue their trade till r701. They had, at the fame time, in the name of their treafurer, fubfcribed, very artfully, three hundred and fifteen thoufand pounds into the fock of the new. By a negligence in the expreffion of the act of parliament, which vefted the Eaft India trade in the fubfcribers to this loan of two millions, it did not appear evident that they were all obliged to unite into a joint ftock. A few private traders, whofe fubferiptions amounted only to feven thoufand two hundred pounds, infifted upon the privilege of trading feparately upon their own ftocks and at their own rifk. The old Eaft India Company had a right to a feparate trade upon their old ftock till 1701; and they had likewife, both before and after that period, a right, like that of other priyate traders, to a feparate trade upon the three hundred and fifteen thoufand pounds, which they had fubfcribed into the ftock of the new company. The competition of the two companies with the private traders, and with one another, is faid to have well nigh ruined both. Upon a fubfequent occalion, in 1730 , when a propofal was to parliament for putting the trade under K 3

BOOX the management of a regulated company, and thereby laying it in fome meafure open, the Eaft India Company, in oppofition to this propofal, reprefented in very ftrong terms, what had been, at this time, the miferable effeets, as they thought them, of this competition. In India, they faid, it raifed the price of goods fo high, that they were not worth the buying; and in England, by overftocking the market, it funk their price fo low, that no profit could be made by them. That by a more plentiful fupply, to the great advantage and conveniency of the public, it muit have reduced, very much, the price of India goods in the Englifh market, cannot well be doubted; but that it fould have raifed very much their price in the Indian market, feems not very probable, as all the extraordinary demand which that competition could occafion, muft have been but as a drop of water in the immenfe ocean of Indian commerce, The increafe of demand, befides, though in the beginning it may fometimes raife the price of goods, never fails to lower it in the long run. It encoutages production, and thereby increafes the competition of the producers, who, in order to underfell one another, have recourfe to new divifions of Jabour and new improvements of art, which might never otherwife have been thought of. The miferable effects of which the company conplained, were the cheapnefs of confumption and the encouragement given to production, precifely the two effeets which it is the great bufinefs of political deconomy to promote. The they gave
deleful account, had not been allowed to be of $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{A}}$. long continuance. In 1702, the two companies were, in fome meafure, united by an indenture tripartite, to which the queen was the third party; and in 1708, they were, by act of parliament, perfedly confolidated into one company by their prefent name of The United Company of Merchants trading to the Eaft Indies. Into this act it was thought worth while to infert a claufe, allowing the feparate traders to continue their trade till Michaelmas 1711 , but at the fame time empowering the directors, upon three years notice, $\infty$ redeem their little capital of reven thoufand two hundred pounds, and thereby to convert the whole ftock of the company into a joint ftock. By the fame act, the capital of the company, in confequence of a new loan to government, was augmented from two millions to three millions two hundred thoufand pounds. In 1743, the company advanced another million to government. Bur this million being raifed, not by 2 call upon the proprietors, but by felling annuities and contracting bond-debts, it did not augment the ftock upon which the proprietors could claim 2 dividend. It augmented, however, their trading tock, it being equally liable with the other three millions two hundred thoufand pounds to the loffes fuftained, and debts contracted, by the company in profecution of their mercantile projects. From 1708, or at leaft from 1711, this company, being delivered from all competitors, and fully eftablimed in the monopoly of the Englifh commerce to the Eaft Indies, carried on a fucceffful trade, and from their profits made $K_{4}$

B O Q K annually a moderate dividend to their propriea tors. During the French war, which began in 1741, the ambition of Mr. Dupleix, the French governor of Pondicherry, involved them in the wars of the Carnatic, and in the politics of the Indian princes. After many fignal fucceffes, and equally fignal loffes, they at laft loft Madras, at that time their principal fertlement in India. It was reftored to them by the treaty of Aix-laChapelle; and about this time the fpirit of war and conqueft feems to have taken poffeffion of their fervants in India, and never fince to have left them. During the French war which began in 1755, their arms partook of the general good fortune of thofe of Great Britain. They defended Madras, took Pondicherry, recovered Calcutta, and acquired the revenues of a rich and extenfive territory, amounting, it was then faid, to upwards of three millions a-year. They remained for feveral years in quiet poffeffion of this revenue: But in 1767 , adminiftration laid claim to their territorial acquifitions, and the revenue arifing from them, as of right belonging to the crown; and the company, in compenfation for this claim, agreed to pay to government four hundred thoufand pounds a-year. They hàd before this gradually augmented their dividend from about fix to ten per cent.; that is, upon their capital of three millions two hundred thoufand pounds, they had increafed it by a hundred and twenty-eight thoufand pounds, or had raifed it from one hundred and ninety-two thoufand, to three hundred and twenty thoufand pounds a year. They were attempting about
this time to raife it fill further, to twelve and a c н A P. half per cent. which would have made their an-1 nüal payments to their proprietors equal to what they had agreed to pay annually to government, or to four hundred thoufand pounds a-year. But during the two years in which their agreement with government was to take place, they were reftrained from any further increafe of dividend by two fucceffive acts of parliament, of which the ubject was to enable them to make a fpeedier progrefs in the payment of their debts, which were at this time eftimated at upwards of fix or feven millions fterling. In 1769 , they renewed their agreement with government for fre years more, and ftipulated, that during the courle of that period, they fhould be allowed gradually to increa!e their dividend to twelve and a half per cent.; never increafing it, however, more than one per cent. in one year. This increafe of dividend, therefore, when it had rifen to its utmort height, could augment their annual payments, to their proprietors and government together, but by fix hundred and eight thoufand pounds, beyond what they had been before their late territorial acquifitions. What the grofs revenue of thofe territorial acquifitions was fuppofed to amount to, has already been mentioned; and by an account brought by the Cruttenden Eaft Indiaman in 1768 , the nett revenue, clear of all deduetions and military charges, was ftated at two millions forty-eight thoufand feven hundred and forty-feven pounds. They were faid at the fame time to poffers another revenue, arifing partly from lands, but chiefly from the cuftoms eftablifhed
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B O O K eftablifhed at their different fettlements, amounting to four hundred and thirty-nine thoufand pounds. The profits of their trade, roo, according to the evidence of their chairman before the Houfe of Commons, amounted at this time to at leaft four hundred thoufand pounds a-year; according to that of their accomptant, to at leaft five hundred thoufand; according to the loweft account, at leaf equal to the higheft dividend that was to be paid to their proprietors. So great a revenue might certainly have afforded an augmentation of fix hundred and eight thoufand peunds in their annual payments; and at the fame time have left a large finking fund fufficient for the fpeedy reduction of their debts. In 1773, however, their debts, initead of being reduced, were augmented by an arrear to the treafury in the payment of the four hundred thoufand pounds, by another to the cultom houfe for duties unpaid. by a large debt to the bank for money borrowed, and by a fourth for bills drawn upon them from India, and wantonly accepted, to the amount of upwards of twelve hundred thouland pounds. The diftrefs which thefe accumulated claims brought upon them, obliged them not only to reduce all at once their dividend to fix per cent. but to throw themfelyes upon the mercy of government, and to fupplicate, firf, a releafe from the further payment of the ftipulated four hundred thoufand pounds a-year; and, fecondly, a loan of fourteen hundred thoufand, to fave thiem from immediate bankruptcy. The great increafe of their fortune had, it feems, only ferved to furnilh their fervants with a pretext for greater profufion,
fufion, and a cover for greater malverfation, than C H A P. in proportion even to that increafe of fortune. The conduct of their fervants in India, and the general ftate of their affairs both in India and in Europe, became the fubject of a parliamentary inquiry; in confequence of which feveral very important alterations were made in the conftitution of their government, both at home and abroad. In India, their principal fettlements of Madras, Bombay, and Calcutta, which had before been altogether independent of one another, were fubjected to a governor-general, affifted by a council of four affeffors, parliament affuming to itfelf the firft nomination of this governor and council who were to refide at Calcutta; that city having now become, what Madras was before, the molt important of the Englifh fettlements in India. The court of the mayor of Calcutta, originally inftituted for the trial of mercantile caufes, which arofe in the city and neighbourhood, had gradually extended its jurifdiction with the extenfion of the empire. It was now reduced and confined to the original purpofe of its inftitution. Inftead of it a new fupreme court of judicature was eftablifhed, confifting of a chief juftice and three judges to be appointed by the crown. In Europe, the qualification neceffary to entitle a proprietor to vote at their general courts was railed, from five hundred pounds, the original price of a fhare in the ftock of the company, to a thoufand pounds. In order to vote upon this qualification too, it was declared neceffary that he thould have poffeffed it, if acquired by his own purchale, and not by inheritance, for at
o o k leaft one year, inftead of fix months, the requifite before. The court of twenty-four directors had before been chofen annually; but it was now enacted that each director fhould, for the future, be chofen for four years; fix of them, however, to go out of office by rotation every year, and not to be capable of being re-chofen at the election of the fix new directors for the enfuing year. In confecquence of thefe alterations, the courts, both of the proprietors and directors, it was expected, would be likely to act with more dignity and feadine's than they had ufually done before. But it feems impoffible, by any alcerations, to render thofe courts, in any refpect, fit to govern, or even to Mare in the government of a great empire; becaule the greater part of their members mut always have too little intereft in the profperity of that empire, to give any ferious attention to what may promote it. Frequently a man of great, fometimes even a man of fmall fortune, is willing to purchafe a thoufand pounds thate in India ftock, merely for the influence which he expects to acquire by a vote in the court of proprietors. It gives him a hare, though not in the plunder, yet in the appointment of the plunderers of india; the court of directors, though they make that appointment, being neceffarily more or lefs under the influence of the proprietors, who not only elect thofe directors, but fometimes over-rule the appointments of their fervants in India. Provided he can enjoy this influence for a few years, and thereby provide for a certain number of his friends; he frequently cares little about the dividend ${ }^{\text {a }}$
vidend; or even about the value of the fock $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{A} P$. upon which his vote is founded. About the' profperity of the great empire; in the government of which that vote gives him a-fhare, he feldom cares at all. No other fovereigns ever were, or, from the nature of things, ever could be, fo perfectly indifferent about the happinefs or mifery of their fubjects, the improvement or wafte of their dominions, the glory or difgrace of their adminiftration; as, from irrefiftible moral caufes; the greater part of the proprietors of fuch a mercantile company are, and neceflarily muft be. This indifference too was more likely to be increafed than diminifhed by forme of the new regulations which were made in confequence of the parliamentary inquiry. By a refolution of the Houfe of Commons, for example, it was declared, that when the fourteen hundred thoufand pounds lent to the company by government fould be paid, and their bond-debts be reduced to fifteen hundred thoufand pounds, they might then, and not till then, divide eight per cent. upon their capital; and that whatever remained of their revenues and nett profits at home, mould be divided into four parts; three of them to be paid into the exchequer for the ufe of the public, and the fourth to be referved as a fund, either for the further reduction of their bond-debts, or for the difcharge of other contingent exigencies, which the company might labour under: But if the company were bad ftewards, and bad fovereigns, when the whole of their netr revenue and profits belonged to themfelves, and were ar their own difpofal; they were furely not likely to be better,
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three-fourthe of them were to belong to er people, and the other fourth, though to be laid out for the benefit of the company, yet to be fo, under the infpection, and with the approbation, of other people.

It might be more agreeable to the company that their own fervants and dependants fhould have either the pleafure of wafting, or the profit of embezzling whatever furplus might remain, after paying the propofed dividend of eight per cent., than that it fhould come into the hands of a fet of people with whom thofe refolutions could fcarce fail to fet them, in fome meafure, at variance. The intereft of thofe fervants and dependants might fo far predominate in the court of proprietors, as fometimes to difpore it to fupport the authors of depredations which had been committed, in direct violation of its own authority. With the majority of proprietors, the fupport even of the authority of their own court might fometimes be a matter of lefs confequence, than the fupport of thofe who had fet that authority at defiance.

THE regulations of 1773, accordingly, did not put an end to the diforders of the company's government in India. Notwithftanding that, during a momentary fit of good conduct, they had at one time collected, into the treafury of Calcutta, more than three millions fterling; notwithftanding that they had afterwards extended, either their dominion, or their depredations over a vaft acceffion of fome of the richeft and moft fertile countries in India; all was wafted and deftroyed. They found themfelves allogether un-
prepared to ftop or refift the incurfion of Hyder $\mathbf{C H}^{\text {A }}$. . Ali; and, in confequence of thofe diforders, the company is now (1784) in greater diftrefs than ever; and, in order to prevent immediate bankruptcy, is once more reduced to fupplicate the affiftance of government. Different plans have been propofed by the different parties in parliament, for the better management of its affairs. And all thofe plans feem to agree in fuppofing, what was indeed always abundantly evident, that it is altogether unfit to govern its territorial poffeffions. Even the company itfelf feems to be convinced of its own incapacity fo far, and feems, upon that account, willing to give them up to government.

With the right of poffefing forts and garrifons in diftant and barbarous countries, is neceffarily connected the right of making peace and war in thofe countries. The joint fock companies which have had the one right, have conftantly exercifed the other, and have frequently had it exprefsly conferred upon them. How unjuftly, how capricioully, how cruelly they have commonly exercifed it, is too well known from recent experience.

When a company of merchants undertake, at their own rifk and expence, to eftablifh a new trade with fome remote and barbarous nation, it may not be unreafonable to incorporate them into a joint flock company, and to grant them, in cafe of their fuccefs, a monopoly of the trade for a certain number of years. It is the eafieft and moft natural way in which the ftate can recomprenfe them for hazarding a dangerous and ex-
: experiment, of which the public is afterwards to reap the benefit. A temporary monopoly of this kind may be vindicated upon the fame principles upon which a like monopoly of a new machine is granted to its inventor, and that of a new book to its author. But upon the expiration of the term, the monopoly ought certainly to determine; the forts and garrifons, if it was found neceffary to eftablinh any; to be taken into the hands of government; their value to be paid to the company, and the trade to be laid open to all the fubjects of the flate. By a perpetual monopoly, all the other fubjects of the ftate are taxed very abfurdly in two different ways; firft, by the high price of goods, which, in the cafe of a free trade, they could buy much cheaper; and, fecondly, by their total exclufion from a branch of bufinefs which is might be boch convenient and profitable for many of them to carry on. It is for the moft worthlefs of all purpofes too that they are taxed in this manner. It is merely to enable the company to fupport the negligence, profufion, and malverfation of their own fervants, whofe diforderly conduct feldom allows the dividend of the company to exceed the ordinary rate of profit in trades which are altogether free, and very frequently makes it fall even a good deal fhort of that rate. Without a monopoly; howevers a joint ftock company, it would appear from experience, cannot long carry on any branch of foreign trade. To buy in one market, in order to fell, with profits in another, when there are many competitors in both; to watch over, not oflly the occafional
in the demand, but the much greater and $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{A}$. P . -more frequent variations in the competition, or in the fupply which that demand is likely to get from other people, and to fuit with dexterity and judgment boch the quantity and quality of each affortment of goods to all thefe circumftances, is a fpecies of warfare of which the operations are continually changing, and which can fcarce ever be conducted fuccefffully, without fuch an unremitting exertion of vigilance and attention, as cannot long be expected from the directors of a joint flock company. The Eaft India Company, upon the redemption of their funds, and the expiration of their exclufive privilege, have a right, by act of parliament, to continue a corporation with a joint flock, and to trade in their corporate capacity to the Eaft Indies in common with the reft of their fellow-fubjects. But in this fituation, the fuperior vigilance and attention of private adventurers would, in all probability, foon make them weary of the trade.

An eminent French author, of great knowledge in matters of political oeconomy, the Abbé Morellet, gives a lift of fifty-five joint ftock companies for foreign trade, which have been eftablified in different parts of Europe fince the year 1600, and which, according to him, have all failed from mifmanagement, notwithftanding they had exclufive privileges. He has been mifinformed with regard to the hiftory of two or three of them, which were not joint ftock companies, and have not failed. But, in compenfation, there have been feveral joint fock companies which have failed, and which he has omitted.
b O OK The only trades which it feems poffible for a joint ftock company to carry on fuccefsfully, without an exclufive privilege, are thofe, of which all the operations are capable of being reduced to what is called a routine, or to fuch a uniformity of method as admits of little or no variation. Of this kind is, firf, the banking trade; fecondly, the trade of infurance from fire, and from jea rifk and capture in time of war; thirdly, the trade of making and maintaining a navigable cut or canal; and, fourthly, the fimilar trade of bringing water for the fupply of a great city.

Though the principles of the banking trade may appear fomewhat abftrufe, the practice is capable of being reduced to ftrict rules. To depart upon any occafion from thofe rules, in confequence of fome flattering fpeculation of extraordinary gain, is almolt always extremely dangerous, and frequently fatal to the banking company which attempts it. But the conftitution of joint ftock companies renders them in general more tenacious of eftablifhed rules than any private copartnery. Such companies, therefore, feem extremely well fitted for this trade. 'The principal banking companies in Europe, accordingly, are joint ftock companies, many of which manage their trade very fuccefsfully without any exclufive privilege. The bank of England has no other exclufive privilege, except that no other banking company in England fhall confift of more than fix perfons. The two banks of Edinburgh are joint ftock companies without any exclufive privilege.

The value of the rifk, either from fire, or from lofs by fea, or by capture, though it cannot, per-1 haps, be calculated very exaetly, admits, however, of fuch a grofs eftimation as renders it, in fome degree, reducible to ftrict rule and method. The trade of infurance, therefore, may be carried on fuccefffully by a joint ftock company, without any exclufive privilege. Neither the London Affurance, nor the Royal Exchange Affurance companies, have any fuch privilege.

When a navigable cut or canal has been once made, the mariagement of it becomes quite fimple and eafy, and it is reducible to ftrict rule and method. Even the making of it is fo, as it may be contracted for with undertakers at fo much a mile, and fo much a lock. The fame thing may be faid of a canal, an aqueduct, or a great pipe for bringing water to fupply a great city. Such undertakings, therefore, may be, and accordingly frequently are, very fuccefstully managed by joint ftock companies without any exclufive privilege.

To eftablinh a joint ftock company, however, for any undertaking, merely becaufe fuch a company might be capable of managing it fuccefffully; or to exempt a particular fet of dealers from fome of the general laws which take place with regard to all their neighbours, merely becaufe they might be capable of thriving, if they had fuch an exemption, would certainly not be reafonable. To render fuch an eftablifhment perfectly reafonable, with the circumftance of being reducible to ftrict rule and method, two other circumftances ought to concur. Firft, it L 2 ought

B o'o $\begin{gathered}\text { v. ought to appear with the cleareft evidence, that the }\end{gathered}$ undercaking is of greater and more general utility than the greater part of common trades; and fecondly, that it requires a greater capital than can eafily be collected into a private copartnery. If a moderate capital were fufficient, the great utility of the undertaking would not be a fufficient reafon for eftablifing a joint ftock company; becaufe, in this cafe, the demand for what it was to produce, would readily and eafily be fupplied by private adventurers. In the four trades above mentioned, both thofe circumftances concur.

The great and general utility of the banking trade when prudently managed, has been fully explained in the fecond book of this inquiry. But a public bank which is to fupport public credit, and upon particular emergencies to advance to government the whole produce of a tax, to the amount, perhaps, of feveral millions, a year or two before it comes in, requires a greater capital than can eafily be collected into any private copartnery.

The trade of infurance gives great fecurity to the fortunes of private people, and by dividing among a great many that lofs which would ruin an individual, makes it fall light and eafy upon the whole fociety. In order to give this fecurity, however, it is neceffary that the infurers fhould have a very large capital, Before the eftablifhment of the two joint ftock companies for infurance in London, a lift, it is faid, was laid before the attorney-general, of one hundred and fifty private infurers who had failed in the courle of a few years.

That navigable cuts and canals, and the works C HAP. which are fometimes neceffary for fupplying a great, city with water, are of great and general utility, while at the fame time they frequently require a greater expence than fuits the fortunes of private people, is fufficiently obvious.

Except the four trades above mentioned, I have not been able to recollect any other in which all the three circumftances, requifite for rendering reafonable the eftablifhment of a joint ftock company, concur. The Englifh copper company of London, the lead fmelting company, the glafs grinding company, have not even the pretext of any great or fingular utility in the object which they purfue; nor does the purfuit of that object feem to require any expence unfuitable to the fortunes of many private men. Whether the trade which thofe companies carry on, is reducible to fuch ftrict rule and method, as to render it fit for the management of a joint ftock company, or whether they have any reafon to boaft of their extraordinary profits, I do not pretend to know. The mine-adventurers company has been long ago bankrupt. A fhare in the ftock of the Britifh Linen Company of Edinburgh fells, at prefent, very much below par, though lefs fo than it did fome years ago. The joint ftock companies, which are eftablifhed for the public-fpirited purpofe of promoting fome particular manufacture, over and above managing their own affairs ill, to the diminution of the general ftock of the fociety, can in other refpects fcarce ever fail to do more harm than good. Notwithftanding the mof upright intentions, the

$$
\mathrm{L}_{3}
$$ unavoid-

$K$ unavoidable partiality of their directors to parti-- cular branches of the manufacture, of which the undertakers millead and impole upon them, is a real difcouragement to the reft, and neceffarily breaks, more or lefs, that natural proportion which would otherwife eftablifh itfelf between judicious induftry and profit, and which, to the general induftry of the country, is of all encouragements the greateft and the moft effectual.

## Articee II.

Of the Expence of the Inftitutions for the Education of Youtb.
THE inftitutions for the education of the youth may, in the fame manner, furnifh a revenue fufficient for defraying their own expence. The fee or honorary which the fcholar pays to the mafter naturally conftitutes a revenue of this kind.

Even where the reward of the mafter does not arife altogether from this natural revenue, it ftill is not neceffary that it fhould be derived from that general revenue of the fociety, of which the collection and application are, in moft countries, affigned to the executive power. Through the greater part of Eusope, accordingly, the endowment of fchools and colleges makes either no charge upon that general revenue, or but a very fmall one. It every where arifes chiefly from fome local or provincial revenue, from the rent of fome landed eftate, or from the intereft of fome fum of money allotted and put under the management of truftees for this particular purpofe, fometimes by the fovereign himfelf, and fometimes by fome private donor.

Have thofe public endowments contributed in ${ }^{C H}{ }_{1}$ A $P$. general to promote the end of their inftitution? Have they contributed to encourage the diligence, and to improve the abilities of the teachers? Have they directed the courfe of education towards objects more ufeful, both to the individual and to the public, than thofe to which it would naturally have gone of its own accord? It fhould not feem very difficult to give at leaft a a probable anfwer to each of thofe queftions.

In every profeffion, the exertion of the greater part of thofe who exercife it, is always in proportion to the neceffity they are under of making that exertion. This neceffity is greateft with chofe to whom the emoluments of their profeffion are the only fource from which they expect their fortune, or even their ordinary revenue and fubfiftence. In order to acquire this fortune, or even to get this fubfiftence, they muft, in the courfe of a year, execute 2 certain quantity of work of a known value; and, where the competition is free, the rivalhip of competitors, who are all endeavouring to juftle one another out of employment, obliges every man to endeavour to execute his work with a certain degree of exactnefs. The greatnefs of the objects which are to be acquired by fuccefs in fome particular profeffions may, no doubt, fometimes animate the exertion of a few men of extraordinary fpirit and ambition. Great objects, however, are evidently not neceffary in order to occafion the greateft exertions. Rivalhip and emulation render excellency, even in mean profeflions, an object of am-
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B ook bition, and frequently occafion the very greateft exertions. Great objects, on the contrary, alone and unfupported by the neceffity of application, have feldom been fufficient to occafion any confiderable exertion. In England, fuccefs in the profeflion of the law leads to fome very great objects of ambition; and yet how few men, born to eafy fortunes, have ever in this country been eminent in that profeffion ?

The endowments of fchools and colleges have neceffarily diminifhed more or lefs the neceffity of application in the teachers. Their fubliftence, fo far as it arifes from their falaries, is evidently derived from a fund altogether independent of their fuccels and reputation in their particular profeflions.

In fome univerfities the falary makes but a part, and frequently but a fmall part of the emoluments of the teacher, of which the greater part arifes from the honoraries or fees of his pupils. The neceflity of application, though always more or lefs diminimed, is not in this cafe entirely taken away. Reputation in his profeffion is ftill of forme importance to him, and he ftill has fome dependency upon the affection, gratitude, and favourable report of thofe who have attended upon his inftruetions"; and thefe favourable fentiments he is tikely to gain in no way fo well as - by deferving them, that is, by the abilities and diligence with which fie Giftharges every part of other univerfities the teacher is prohibited
receiving any honorary or fee from his pupils,
pils, and his falary conftitutes the whole of the $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{I}}^{\mathrm{A}}$. revenue which he detives from his office. His intereft is, in this cafe, fet as direetly in oppofition to his duty as it is poffible to fet it. It is the intereft of every man to live as much at his eafe as he can; and if his emoluments are to be precifely the fame, whether he does, or does not perform fome very laborious duty, it is certainly his intereft, at leaft as intereft is vulgarly underftood, either to neglect it altogether, or, if he is fubject to fome authority which will not fuffer him to do this, to perform it in as carelefs and fovenly a manner as that authority will permit. If he is naturally active, and a lover of labour, it is his interelt to employ that activity in any way, from which he can derive fome advantage, rather than in the performance of his duty, from which he can derive none.

If the authority to which he is fubject refides in the body corporate, the college, or univerfity, of which he himfelf is a member, and in which the greater part of the other members are, like himfelf, perfons who either are, or ought to be teachers; they are likely to make a common caufe, to be all very indulgent to one another, and every man to confent that his neighbour may neglect tis duty, provided he himelf is allowed to neglect his own. In the univerfity of Oxford, the greater part of the public profeffors have, for thefe many years, given up altogether even the pretence of teaching.

If the authority to which he is fubject refides, not fo much in the body corporate of which he
${ }^{8} \mathbf{o o}_{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{x}_{\text {is }}$ is member, as in fome other extraneous perfons, the bihhop of the diocefe for example; in the governor of the province; or, perhaps, in fome minifter of ftate; it is not indeed in this cafe very likely that he will be fuffered to neglect his duty altogether. All that fuch fuperiors, however, can' force him to do, is to attend upon his pupils a certain number of hours, that is, to give a certain number of lectures in the week, or in the year. What thofe lectures fhall be, muft ftill depend upon the diligence of the teacher; and that diligence is likely to be proportioned to the motives which he has for exerting it. An extraneous jurifdiction of this kind, befides, is liable to be exercifed both ignorantly and capricioully. In its nature it is arbitrary and difcretionary, and the perfons who exercife it, neither attending upon the lectures of the teacher themfelves, nor perhaps underftanding the fciences which it is his bufinefs to teach, are feldom capable of exercifing it with judgment. From the infolence of office too they are frequently indifferent how they exercife it, and are very apt to cenfure or deprive him of his office wantonly, and without any jult caufe. The perfon fubject to fuch jurifdiction is neceffarily degraded by it, and, inftead of being one of the moft refpectable, is rendered one of the meaneft and moft contemptible perfons in the fociety. It is by powerful protection only that he can effectually guard himfelf againft the bad ufage to which he is at all times expofed; and this protection he is moft likely to gain, not by ability
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or diligence in his profeffion, but by obfequiouf- $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathbf{\prime}}$. nefs to the will of his fuperiors, and by being. ready, at all times, to facrifice to that will the rights, the intereft, and the honour of the body corporate of which he is a member. Whoever has attended for any confiderable time to the adminiftration of a French univerfity, muft have had occafion to remark the effects which naturally refult from an arbitrary and extraneous jurifdiction of this kind.

Whatever forces a certain number of ftudents to any college or univerfity, independent of the merit or reputation of the teachers, tends more or lefs to diminifh the neceffity of that merit or reputation.

The privileges of graduates in arts, in law, phyfic, and divinity, when they can be obtained only by refiding a certain number of years in certain univerfities, neceffarily force a certain number of ftudents to fuch univerfities, independent of the merit or reputation of the teachers. The privileges of graduates are a fort of ftatutes of apprenticefhip, which have contributed to the improvement of education, juft as the other ftatutes of apprenticefhip have to that of arts and manufactures.

The charitable foundations of fcholarfhips, exhibitions, burfaries, \&c. neceffarily attach a certain number of ftudents to certain colleges, independent altogether of the merit of thofe particular colleges. Were the ftudents upon fuch charitable foundations left free to chufe what different colleges. A regulation, on the contrary, which prohibited even the independent members of every particular college from leaving it, and going to any other, without leave firt anked and obtained of that which they meant to abandon, would tend very much to extinguifh that emulation.

If in each college the tutor or teacher, who was to inftruct each ftudent in all arts and fciences, fhould not be voluntarily chofen by the ftudent, but appointed by the head of the college; and if , in cafe of neglect, inability, or bad ufage, the ftudent fhould not be allowed to change him for another, without leave firft afked and obtained; fuch a regulation would not only tead very much to extinguifh all emulation among the different tutors of the fame college, but to diminifh very much in all of them the neceffity of diligence and of attention to their refpective pupils. Such teachers, though very well paid by their ftudents, might be as much difpofed to neglect them, as thofe who are not paid by them at all, or who have no other recompence but their falary.

If the teacher happens to be a man of Senfe, it muft be an unpleafant thing to him to be confcious, while he is lecturing his tudents; that he it either fpeaking or reading nonfenfe, or what is very little better than nonfenfe. It muft too be unpleafant to bim to obferve that the greater part. of his ftudents defert his lectures; or perattend upon them with plain enough marks
of aneglect, contempt, and derifion. If he is chapt obliged, therefore, to give a certain number of lectures, thefe motives alone, without any other intereft, might difpofe him to take fome pains to give tolerably good ones. Several different expedients, however, may be fallen upon, which will effectually blunt the edge of all thofe incirements to diligence. The teacher, inftead of explaining to his pupils himfelf the fcience in which he propofes to inftruct them, may read fome book upon it; and if this book is writen in a foreign and dead language, by interpreting it to them into their own; or, what would give him fill lefs trouble, by making them interpret it to him, and by now and then making an occafional remark upon it, he may flatter himfelf that he is giving a lecture. The lighteft degree of knowledge and application will enable him to do this, without expoling himfetf to contempt or derifion, of faying any thing that is really foolifh, abfurd, or ridiculous. The difcipline of the college, at the fame time, may enable him to force all his pupils to the moft regular attendance upon this tham lecture, and to maintain the moft decent and refpectful behaviour during the whole time of the performance.

The difcipline of colleges and univerfities is in general contrived, not for the benefit of the fludents, but for the intereft, or, more properly fpeaking, for the eafe of the mafters. Its object is, in all cafes to maintain the authority of the mafter, and whether he neglects or performs his duty, to oblige the ftudents in all cafes to be-

BOO K have to him as if he performed it with the greateft 'diligence and ability. It feems to prefume perfect wifdom and virtue in the one order, and the greateft weaknefs and folly in the other. Where the mafters, however, really perform their duty, there are no examples, I believe, that the greater part of the ftudents ever neglect theirs. No difcipline is ever requifite to force attendance upon lectures which are really worth the attending, as is well known wherever any fuch lectures are given. Force and reftraint may, no doubt, be in fome degree requifite in order to oblige children, or very young boys, to attend to thofe parts of education which it is thought neceffary for them to acquire during that early period of life; but after twelve or thirteen years of age, provided the mafter does his duty, force or reftraint can fcarce ever be neceffary to carry on any part of education. Such is the generofity of the greater part of young men, that fo far from being difpofed to neglect or defpife the inftructions of their mafter, provided he fhews fome ferious intention of being of ufe to them, they are generally inclined to pardon a great deal of incorrectnefs in the performance of his duty, and fometimes even to conceal from the public a good deal of grofs negligence.

Those parts of education, it is to be obferved, for the teaching of which there are no public inftitutions, are generally the beft taught. When a young man goes to a fencing or a dancing fchool, he does not indeed always learn to fence or to dance very well; but he feldom fails of learning to fence
or to dance. The good effects of the riding $\mathbf{C H}_{1} \mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{P}$. fchool are not commonly fo evident. The pence of a riding fchool is fo great, that in moft places it is a public inftitution. The three moft effential parts of literary education, to read, write, and account, it ftill continues to be more common to acquire in private than in public fchools; and it very feldom happens that any body fails of acquiring them to the degree in which it is neceffary to acquire them.

In England the public fchools are much lefs corrupted than the univerfities. In the fchools the youth are taught, or at leaft may be taught, Greek and Latin; that is, every thing which the mafters pretend to teach, or which, it is expected, they fhould teach. In the univerfities the youth neither are taught, nor always can find any proper means of being taught the fciences, which it is the bufinefs of thofe incorporated bodies to teach. The reward of the fchoolmafter in moft cafes depends principally, in fome cafes almoft entirely, upon the fees or honoraries of his fcholars. Schools have no exclufive privileges. In order to obtain the honours of graduation, it is not neceffary that a perfon fhould bring a certificate of his having ftudied a certain number of years at a public fchool. If upon examination he appears to underftand what is taught there, no queftions are afked about the place where he learnt it.

The parts of education which are commonly taught in univerfities, it may, perhaps, be faid are not very well taught. But had it not been for thofe inftitutions they would not have been commonly

Book commonly taught at all, and both the individual and the public would have fuffered a good deal from the want of thofe important parts of education.

The prefent univerfities of Europe were originally, the greater part of them, coclefialtical corporations; inftituted for the education of churchmen: They were founded by the authority of the pope, and were fo entirely under his immediate protection, that their members, whether mafters or ftudents, had all of them what was then called the benefit of clergy, that is, were exempted from the civil jurifdiction of the countries in which their refpective univerfities were fituated, and were amenable only to the ecclefiaftical tribunals. What was taught in the greater part of thofe univerfities was fuitable to the end of their inflitution, either theology, or fomething that was merely preparatory to theology.

When chriftianity was firft eftablifhed by law, a corrupted Latin had become the common lannguage of all the weftern parts of Europe. The fervice of the church accordingly, and the tranlation of the Bible which was read in churches, were both in that corrupted Latin; that is, in the common language of the country. After the irruption of the barbarous nations who overturned the Roman empire, Latin gradually cealed to be the language of any part of Europe. But the reof the people naturally preferves the eftaforms and ceremonies of religion, long afrer the circumftances which firft introduced and rendered them reafonable are no more. Though

Latin, therefore, was no longer underftood any c н a $\mathbf{P}$. where by the great body of the people, the whole $\underbrace{\text { I. }}$ fervice of the church fill continued to be performed in that language. Two different languages were thus eftablifhed in Europe, in the fame manner as in ancient Egypt; a language of the priefts, and a language of the people; a facred and a prophane; a learned and an unlearned language. But it was neceffary that the priefts fhould underftood fomething of that facred and learned language in which they were to officiate; and the ftudy of the Latin language therefore made, from the beginning, an effential part of univerfity education.

Ir was not fo with that either of the Greek, or of the Hebrew language. The infallible decrees of the church had pronounced the Latin tranflation of the Bible, commonly called the Latin Vulgate, to have been equally dictated by divine infpiration, and therefore of equal authority with the Greek and Hebrew originals. The knowledge of thofe two languages, therefore, not being indifpenfably requifite to a churchman, the ftudy of them did not for a long time make a neceflary part of the common courfe of univerfity education. There are fome Spanifh univerfities, I am affured, in which the ftudy of the Greek language has never yet made any part of that courfe. The firt reformers found the Greek text. of the New Teftament, and even the Hebrew text of the Old, more favourable to their opinions, than the vulgate tranßation, which, as might naturally be fuppofed, had been gradually ac-
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 'tholic church. They fet themfelves, therefore, to expofe the many errors of that trannation, which the Roman catholic clergy were thus put under the neceffity of defending or explaining. But this could not well be done without forme knowledge of the original languages, of which the ftudy was therefore gradually introduced into the greater part of univerfities; both of thofe which embraced, and of thofe which rejected, the doctrines of the reformation. The Greek language was connected with every part of that claffical learning, which, though at firlt principally cultivated by catholics and Italians, happened to come into fafhion much about the fame time that the doctrines of the reformation were fet on foot. In the greater part of univerfities, therefore, that language was taught previous to the ftudy of philofophy, and as foon as the ftudent had made fome progrefs in the Latin. The Hebrew language having no connection with claffical learning, and, except the holy fcriptures, being the language of not a fingle book in any efteem, the ftudy of it did not commonly commence till after that of philofophy, and when the ftudent had entered upon the ftudy of theology.

Originally the firf rudiments both of the Greek and Latin languages were taught in univerfities, and in fome univerfities they ftill continue to be fo. In others it is expected that the ftudent fhould have previoully acquired at leaft the rudiments of one or both of chofe languages, of which
the fudy continues to make every where a very $C_{\text {H }}$ A. $P$. confiderable part of univerfity education.

The ancient Greek philofophy was divided into three great branches; phyfics, or natural philofuphy; ethics, or moral philofophy; and logic. This general divifion feems perfectly agreeable to the nature of things.

The great phenomena of nature, the revolutions of the heavenly bodies, eclipfes, comets; thunder, lightning, and other extraordinary meteors; the generation, the life, growth, and diffolution of plants and animals; are objects which, as they neceffarily excite the wonder, fo they naturally call forth the curiofity, of mankind to enquire into their caufes. Superftition firft attempted to fatisfy this curiofity, by referring all thofe wonderful appearances to the immediate agency of the gods. Philofophy afterwards endeavoured to account for them, from more familiar caufes, or from fuch as mankind were better acquainted with, than the agency of the gods. As thofe great phenomena are the firft objects of human curiofity, fo the fcience which pretends to explain them muft naturally have been the firft branch of philofophy that was cultivated. The firft philofophers, accordingly, of whom hiftory has preferved any account, appear to have been natural philofophers.

In every age and country of the world men mut have attended to the characters, defigns, and actions of one another, and many reputable rules and maxims for the conduct of human life M 2 muit
s o o x mult have been laid down and approved of by common confent. As foon as writing came into fathion, wife men, or thofe who fancied themfelves fuch, would naturally endeavour to increafe the number of thofe eftablifhed and refpected maxims, and to exprefs their own fenfe of what was either proper or improper conduct, fometimes in the more artificial form of apologues, like what are called the fables of 厄fop; and fometimes in the more fimple one of apophthegms, or wife fayings, like the Proverbs of Solomon, the verfes of Theognis and Phocyllides, and fome part of the works of Hefiod. They might continue in this manner for a long time merely to multiply the number of thofe maxims of prudence and morality, without even attempting to arrange them in any very diftinct or methodical order, much lefs to connect them together by one or more general principles, from which they were all deducible, like effects from their natural caufes. The beauty of a fyftematical arrangement of different obfervations connetted by a few common principles, was firtt feen in the rude effays of thofe ancient times towards a fyftem of natural philofophy. Something of the fame kind was afterwards attempted in morals. The maxims of common life were arranged in fome methodical order, and connected together by a few common principles, in the fame manner as they had attempted to arrange and connect the phenomena of nature. The fcience which pretends to inveftigate and explain thofe connecting principles, is what is properly called moral philofophy.

Different authors gave different fyftems both $C$ нap, of natural and moral philofophy. But the argu-1 ments by which they fupported thofe different fyftems, far from being aluays demonftrations, were frquently at beft but very Render probabilicies, and fometimes mere fophifms, which had no other foundation but the inaccuracy and ambiguity of common language. Speculative fyrtems have in all ages of the world been adopted for reafons too frivolous to have determined the judgment of any man of common feare, in a matter of the fmalleft pecuniary intereft. Grofs fophiftry has farce ever bad any influence upon the opinions of mankind, except in matters of philofophy and fpeculation; and in thefe it has frequently had the greateft. The patrons of each fyftem of natural and moral philofophy naturally endeavoured to expofe the weaknefs of the arguments adduced to fupport the fyftems which were oppofite to their own. In examining thofe arguments, they were neceffarily led to confider the difference between a probable and a demonftrafive argument, between a fallacious and a conclufive one; and Logic, or the fcience of the general principles of good and bad reafoning, neceffarity arofe out of the obfervations which a ferutiny of this kind gave occafion to. Though in its origin, polterior both to phyfics and to ethics, it was commonly taught, not indeed in all, but in the greater part of the ancient fchools of philofophy, previounly to either of thofe fciences. The ftudent, it feems to have been chought, ought to underftand well the difference

B oor between good and bad reafoning, before he was led to reafon upon fubjects of fo great importance.

This ancient divifion of philofophy into three parts was, in the greater part of the univerfities of Europe, changed for another into five.

In the ancient philofophy, whatever was taught concerning the nature either of the human mind or of the Deity, made a part of the fyitem of phyfics. Thofe beings, in whatever their effence might be fuppofed to conifit, were parts of the great fyftem of the univerfe, and parts too productive of the moft important efficts. Whatever human reafon could either conclude, or conjecture, concerning them, made, as ir were, two chapters, though no doubt two very important ones, of the fience which pretended to give an account of the origin and revolutions of the great fyttem of the univerfe. But in the univerfitits of Europe, where philofophy was taught only as fubfervient to theology, it was natural to dwell longer upon thefe two chapters than upon any other of the fcience. They were gradually more and more extended, and were divided into many inferior chapters, till at laft the doctrine of fpirits, of which fo little can be known, came to take up as much room in the fyftem of philofophy as the doctrine of bodies, of which fo much can be known. The doctrines concerning thofe two fubjects were confidered as making two diftinct fciences. What are called Metaphyfics or Pneumatics were fet in oppofition to Phyfics, and were cultivated not only as the more fublime,
but, for the purpofes of a particular profeffion, $\mathbf{C} \underset{\text { L A A }}{ }$. as the more ufeful fcience of the two. The proper fubject of experiment and obfervation, a fubject in which a careful attention is capable of making fo many ufeful difcoverres, was almoft entirely neglected. The fubject in which, after a few very fimple and almoft obvious truths, the moft careful attention can difcover nothing but obfcurity and uncertainty, and can confequently produce nothing but fubtleties and fophifins, was grearly culcivated.

When thofe two fciences had thus been fet in oppofition to one another, the comparifon between them naturally gave birth to a third, to what was called Ontology, or the fcience which treated of the qualities and attributes which were common to both the fubjects of the other two friences. But if fubtleties and fophifins compofed the greater part of the Metaphynics or Pneumatics of the fchools, they compofed the whole of this cobweb fcience of Ontology, which was likewife fometimes called Metaphyfics.

Wherein confifted the happinefs and perfection of a man, confidered not only as an individual, but as the member of a family, of a ftate, and of the great fociety of mankind, was the object which the ancient moral philofophy propofed to inveftigate. In that philofophy the duties of human life were treated of as fubfervient to the happinefs and perfection of human life. But when moral, as well as natural philofophy, came to be taught only as fublervient to theology, the duties of human life were treated of as chiefly
${ }^{B} O_{v}$ o $K$ fublervient to the happinefs of a life to come. In the ancient philofophy the perfection of virtiue reprefented as neceffarily productive, to the perfon who pofieffed it, of the moft perfect happinefs in this life. In the modern philofophy it was frequentiy reprefented as generally, or rather as atmoft always inconfiftent with any degree of happinefs in this life; and heaven was to be earned only by penance and mortification, by the aufterities and abafement of a monk; not by the liberal, generous, and fpirited conduct of a man. Cafuiftry and an afcetic morality made up, in moft cafes, the greater part of the moral philofophy of the fchools, By far the moft important of all the different branches of philofophy, became in this manner by far the moft corrupted.

Such, therefore, was the common courfe of philofophical education in the greater part of the univerfities in Europe. Logic was taught firf: Ontology came in the fecond place: Pneumatology, comprehending the doctrine concerning the nature of the human foul and of the Deity, in the third: In the fourth followed a debafed fyftem of moral philofaphy, which was confidered as immediately connected with the doctrines of Pneumatology, with the immortality of the human foul, and with the rewards and punifhments which, from the juftice of the Deity, were to be expected in a life to come : A fhort and fuperficial fyftem of phyfics. ufually concluded the courfe.

The alterations which the univerfities of Europe thus introduced into the ancient courfe of were all meant for the education of ecclefiaftics,
ecclefiatics, and to render it a more proper in- $\mathbf{C}$ н A. $P$. troduction to the ftudy of theology. But the additional quantity of fubtlety and fophiftry; the cafuiftry and the afcetic morality which thofe alteratiots introduced into it, certainly did not render it more proper for the education of gentlemen or men of the world, or more likely either to improve the underftanding, or to mend the heart.

This courfe of philofophy is what fill continues to be taught in the greater part of the univerfities of Europe; with more or lefs diligence, according as the conftitution of each particular univerfity happens to render diligence more or lefs neceffary to the teachers. In fome of the richeft and beft endowed univerfities, the tutors content themfelves with teaching a few unconnected Ihreds and parcels of this corrupted courfe; and even thefe they commonly teach very negligently and fuperficially.

The improvements which, in modern times, have been made in feveral different branches of philofophy, have not, the greater part of them, been made in univerfities; though fome no doubt have. The greater part of univerfities have not even been yery forward to adopt thofe improvements, after they were made; and feveral of thofe learned focieties have chofen to remain, for a long time, the fanctuaries in which exploded fyftems and obfolete prejudices found thelter and protection, after they had been hunted out of every other corner of the world. In general, the richeft and beft endowed univerfities have

B O O K been the floweft in adopting thofe improvements, land the moft averfe to permit any confiderable change in the eftablifhed plan of education. Thofe improvements were more eafily introduced into fome of the poorer univerfities, in which the teachers, depending upon their reputation for the greater part of their fubfiftence, were obliged to pay more attention to the current opinions of the world.

But though the public fchools and uniwerfities of Europe were originally intended only for the education of a particular profeflion, that of churchmen; and though they were not always very diligent in inftructing their pupils even in the fciences which were fuppofed neceffary for that profeffion; yet they gradually drew to themfelves the education of almoft all other people, particularly of almoft all gentlemen and men of fortune. No better method, it feems, could be fallen upon of fpending, with any advantage, the long interval between infancy and that period of life at which men begin to apply in good earneft to the real bufinefs of the world, the bufinefs which is to employ them during the remainder of their days. The greater part of what is taught in fchools and univerfities, however, does not feem to be the moft proper preparation for that bufinefs.

In England, it becomes every day more and more the cuftom to fend young people to travel in foreign countries imınediately upon their leaving fchool, and without rending them to any univerfity. Our young people, it is faid, generally
return home much improved by their travels. young man who goes abroad at feventeen or eighteen, and returns home at one and twenty, returns three or four yea:s older than he was when he went abroad; and at that age it is very difficult not to improve a good deal in three or four years. In the courfe of his travels, he generally acquires fome knowledge of one or two foreign languages; a knowledge, however, which is feldom fufficient to enable him either to fpeak or write them with propriety. In other refpects, he commonly returns home more conceited, more unprincipled, more difipated, and more incapable of any ferious application either to ftudy or to bufinefs, than he could well have become in fo fhort a time, had he lived at home. By travelling fo very young, by fpending in the moft frivolous diffipation the moft precious years of his life, at a diftance from the infpection and controul of his parents and relations, every uleful habit, which the earlier parts of his education might have had fome tendency to form in him, inftead of being rivetted and confirmed, is almoft neceffarily either weakened or effaced. Nothing but the difcredit into which the univerfities are allowing themfelves to fall, could ever have brought into repute fo very abfurd a practice as that of travelling at this early period of life. By fending his fon abroad, a father delivers himfelf, at leaft for fome time, from fo difagreeable an object as that of a fon unemployed, neglected, and going to ruin before his eyes.

в о о $\quad$ Such have been the effects of fome of the modern inftitutions for education.

Different plans and different inftitutions for education feem to have taken place in other ages and nations.

In the republics of ancieat Greece, every free citizen was inftructed, under the direction of the public magiftrate, in gymnaftic exercifes and in mufic. By gymnaftic exercifes, it was intended to harden his body, to fharpen his courage, and to prepare him for the fatigues and dangers of war; and as the Greek militia was, by all accounts, one of the beft that ever was in the world, this part of their public education mult have anfwered completely the purpofe for which it was intended. By the other part, mufic, it was propofed, at leaft by the philofophers and hiftorians who have given us an account of thofe inftitutions, to humanize the mind, to foften the temper, and to difpofe it for performing all the focial and moral duties of public and private life.

In ancient Rome, the exercifes of the Campus Martius anfwered the fame purpofe as thofe of the Gymnazium in ancient Greece, and they feem to have anfwered it equally well. But among the Romans there was nothing which correfponded to the mufical education of the Greeks. The morals of the Romans, however, both in private and public life, feem to have been, not only equal, but, upon the whole; a good deal fuperior to thofe of the Greeks. That they were fuperior in private life, we have the exprefs teftimony of $s$ and of Dionyfius of Halicarnaffus, two
authors well acquainted with both nations; and $\mathbf{C H A}$. . $_{\text {. }}$ the whole tenor of the Greek and Koman hiftory, bears witnefs to the fuperiority of the public morals of the Romans. The good temper and moderation of contending factions feems to be the moft effential circumftance in the public morals of a free people. But the factions of the Greeks were almoft always violent and fanguinary; whereas, till the time of the Gracchi, no blood had ever been thed in any Roman faction; and from the time of the Gracchi, the Roman republic may be confidered as in reality diffolved. Notwithftanding, therefore, the very refpetable authority of Plato, Ariftotle, and Polybius, and notwithttanding the very ingenious reafons by which Mr. Montefquieu endeavours to fupport that authority, it feems probable that the mufical education of the Greeks had no great effect in mending their morals, fince, without any fuch education, thofe of the Romans were upon the whole fuperior. The refpect of thofe ancient fages for the inftitutions of their anceftors, had probably difpofed them to find much political wifdom in what was, perhaps, merely an ancient cuftom, continued, without interruption, from the earlieft period of thofe focieties, to the times in which they had arrived at a confiderable degree of refinement. Mufic and dancing are the great amufements of almoft all barbarous nations, and the great accomplifhments which are fuppofed to fit any man for entertaining his fociety. It is fo at this day among the negroes on the coaft of Africa. It was fo among the
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${ }^{3}$ O O K ancient Celtes, among the ancient Scandinavians, and, as we may learn from Homer, among the ancient Greeks in the times preceding the Trojan war. When the Greek tribes had formed themfelves into little republics, it was natural that the ftudy of thofe accomplifhments fhould, for a long time, make a part of the public and common education of the people.

The mafters who inftructed the young people either in mufic or in military exercifes, do not feem to have been paid, or even appointed by the ftate, either in Rome or even in Athens, the Greek republic of whofe laws and cuftoms we are the beft informed. The fate required that every free citizen fhould fit himfelf for defending it in war, and fhould, upon that account, learn his military exercifes. But it left him to learn them of fuch mafters as he could find, and it feems to have advanced nothing for this purpofe, but a public field or place of exercife, in which he fhould practife and perform them.

In the early ages both of the Greek and Roman republics, the other parts of education feem to have confifted in learning to read, write, and account according to the arithmetic of the times. Thefe accomplifhments the richer citizens feem frequently to have acquired at bome, by the affiftance of fome domeftic pedagogue, who was, generally, either a flave or a freedman; and the poorer citizens, in the fchools of fuch mafters as made a trade of teaching for hire. Such parts of education, however, were abandoned altogether to the care of the parents or
guardians of each individual. It does not appear $\mathbf{C H} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{A}}$ that the ftate ever affumed any infpection or direction of them. By a law of Solon, indeed, the children were acquitted from maintaining thofe parents in their old age, who had neglected to inftruct them in fome profitable trade or bufinefs.

In the progrefs of refinement, when philofophy and rhetoric came into fafhion, the better fort of people ufed to fend their children to the fchools of philofophers and rhetoricians, in order to be inftructed in thefe fafhionable fciences. But thofe fchools were not fupported by the public. They were for a long time barely tolerated by it. The demand for philofophy and rhetoric was for a long time' fo fmall, that the firft profeffed teachers of either could not find conftant employment in any one city, but were obliged to travel about from place to place. In this manner lived Zeno of Elea, Protagoras, Gorgias, Hippias, and many others. As the demand increafed, the fchools both of philofophy and rhetoric became ftationary; firft in Athens, and afterwards in feveral other cities. The ftate, however, feems never to have encouraged them further than by affigning to fome of them a particular place to teach in, which was fometimes done too by private donors. The ftate feems to have affigned the Academy to Plato, the Lyceum to Ariftotle, and the Portico to Zeno of Citta, the founder of the Stoics. But Epicurus bequeathed his gardens to his own fchool. Till about the time of Marcus Antoninus, however, no teacher ap-
${ }^{5} \mathrm{oo} \mathrm{k}$. pears to have had any falary from the public; or to have had any other emoluments, but what arofe from the honoraries or fees of his fcholars. The bounty which that philofophical emperor, as we learn from Lucian, beftowed tupon one of the teachers of philofophy, probably lafted no longer than his own life. There was nothing equivalent to the privileges of graduation, and to have attended any of thofe' fchools was not neceffary, in order to be permitted to practife any particular trade or profeffion. If the opinion of their own utility could not draw fcholars to them, the law neither forced any body to go to them, nor rewarded any body for having gone to them. The teachers had no jurifdiction over their pupils, nor any other authority befides that natural authority, which fuperior virtue and abilities never fail to procure from young people towards thofe who are entrufted with any part of their education.

At Rome, the fudy of the civil law made a part of the education, not of the greater part of the citizens, but of fome particular families. The young people, however, who wifhed to acquire knowledge in the law, had no public fchool to go to, and had no other method of ftudying it, than by frequenting the company of fuch of their relations and friends as were fuppofed to underftand it. It is perhaps worth white to remark, that though the laws of the twelve ${ }^{*}$ tables were, many of them, copied from thofe-of fome ancient Greek republics; yet law never: feems to have grown up to be a fcience in any republic
republic of ancient Greece. In Rome it be-c $\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathrm{I}}$ A $\mathrm{P}_{\text {. }}$ came a fcience very early, and gave a confider-1 able degree of illuftration to thofe citizens who had the reputation of underftanding it. In the republics of ancient Greece, particularly in : Athens, the ordinary courts of juftice confifted of numerous; and therefore diforderly, bodies of people, who frequently decided almoft at random, or as clamour, faction, and party fpirit happened to determine. The ignominy of an unjult decifion, when it was to be divided among five hundred, a thoufand, or fifteen hundred people (for fome of their courts were fo very numerous), could not fall very heavy upon any individual. At Rome, on the contrary, the principal courts of juitice confifted either of a fingle judge, or of a fmall number of judges, whofe characters, efpecially as they deliberated always in public, could not fail to be very much affected by any rafh or unjuft decifion. In doubtful cafes, fuch courts, from their anxiety to avoid blame, would naturally endeavour to fhelter themfelves under the example, or precedent, of the judges who had fat before them, either in the fame, or in fome other court. This attention to practice and precedent, neceffarily formed the Roman law into that regular and orderly fyitem in which it has been delivered down to us; and the like attention has had the like effects upon the laws of every other country where fuch attention has taken place. The fuperiority of character in the Romans over that of the Greeks, fo much remarked by Polybius and Dionyfius of HalicarVol. III,

Boor naffus, was probably more owing to the better conftitution of their courts of juftice, than to any of the circumftances to which thofe authors afcribe it. The Romans are faid to have been particularly diftinguifhed for their fuperior refpect to an oath. But the people who were accuftomed to make oath only before fome diligent and wellinformed court of juftice, would naturally be much more attentive to what they fwore, than they who were accuftomed to do the fame thing before mobbifh and diforderly affemblies.

The abilities, both civil and military, of the Greeks and Romans, will readily be allowed to have been, at leaft, equal to thofe of any modern nation. Our prejudice is perhaps rather to overrate them. But except in what related to military exercifes, the ftate feems to have been at no pains to form thofe great abilities: for I cannot be induced to believe, that the mufical education of the Greeks could be of much confequence in forming them. Mafters, however, had been found, it feems, for inftructing the better fort of people among thofe nations in every art and fcience in which the circumftances of their fociety rendered it neceffary or convenient for them to be inftructed. The demand for fuch inftruction produced, what it always produces, the talent for giving it; and the emulation which an unreftrained competition never fails to excite, appears to have brought that talent to a very high degree of perfection. In the attention which the ancient philofophers excited, in the empire which they acquired over the opinions and principles of their
their auditors; in the faculty which they poffeffed C H A P. of giving a certain tone and character to the con-1 duet and converfation of thofe auditors; they appear to have been much fuperior to any modern teachers. In modern times; the diligence of public teachers is more or lefs corrupted by the circumftances, which render them more or lefs independent of their fuccefs and reputation in their particular profeffions. Their falaries too put the private teacher, who would pretend to come into competition with them, in the fame fate with a merchant who attempts to trade without a bounty, in competition with thofe who trade with a confiderable one. If he fells his goods at nearly the fame price, he cannot have the fame profit, and poverty and beggary at leaft, if not bankruptcy and ruin, will infallibly be his lot. If he attempts to fell them much dearer, he is likely to have fo few cuftomers that his circumftances will not be much mended. The privileges of graduation, befides, are in many countries neceffary, or at leait extremiely convenient to molt men of learned profeffions; that is, to the far greater part of thofe who have occafion for a learned education. But thofe privileges can be obtained only by attending the lectures of the public teachers. The moft careful attendance upon the ablert inftructions of any private teacher, cannot always give any title to demand them. It is from thefe different caufes that the private teacher of any of the fciences, which are commonly taught in univerfities, is in
${ }^{\mathrm{B}}$ O O K modern times generally confidered as in the very l loweft order of men of letters. A man of real abilities can fcarce find out a more humiliating or a more unprofitable employment to turn them to. The endowments of fchools and colleges have, in this manner, not only corrupted the diligence of public teachers, but have rendered it almoft impoffible to have any good private ones.

Were there no public inftitutions for education, no fyftem, no fcience would be taught for which there was not fome demand; or which the circumftances of the times did not render it either neceffary, or convenient, or at leaft fafhionable, to learn. A private teacher could never find his account in teaching either an exploded and antiquated fyftem of a fcience acknowledged to be ufeful, or a fcience univerfally believed to be a mere ufelefs and pedantic heap of fophiftry and nonfenfe. Such fyftems, fuch fciences, can fubfilt no where, but in thofe incorporated focieties for education whofe profperity and revenue are in a great meafure independent of their reputation, and altogether independent of their induftry. Were there no public inftitutions for education, a gentleman, after going through, with application and abilities, the moft complete courfe of education which the circumftances of the times were fuppored to afford, could not come into the world completely ignorant of every thing which is the common fubject of converfation among gentlemen and men of the world.

There are no public inftitutions for the educa- $\mathbf{C}{ }_{\mathrm{I}}^{\mathrm{f}}$ a P . tion of women, and there is accordingly nothing ufelefs, abfurd, or fantaftical in the common courfe of their education. They are taught what theif parents or guardians judge it neceffary or ufeful for them to learn; and they are taught nothing elfe. Every part of their education tends evidently to fome ufeful purpofe; either to improve the natural attractions of their perfon, or to form their mind to referve, to modefty, to chaftity, and to œconomy; to render them both likely to become the miftreffes of a family, and to behave properly when they have become fuch. In every part of her life a woman feels fome conveniency or advantage from every part of her education. It feldom happens that a man, in any part of his life, derives any conveniency or advantage from fome of the moft laborious and troublefome parts of his education.

Ought the public, therefore, to give no attention, it may be anked, to the education of the people? Or if it ought to give any, what are the different parts of education which it ought to attend to in the different orders of the people? and in what manner ought it to attend to them?

In fome cafes the fate of fociety neceffarily places the greater part of individuals in fuch fituations as naturally form in them, without any attention of government, almoft all the abilities. and virtues which that fate requires, or perhaps can admit of. In other cafes the fate of the fociety does not place the greater part of individuals in fuch fituations, and fome attention of

## THE NATURE AND CAUSES OF

${ }^{0}{ }^{K}$ governinent is neceffary in order to prevent the 'almoft entire corruption and degeneracy of the great body of the people.

In the progrefs of the divifion of labour, the employment of the far greater part of thofe who live by labour, that is, of the great body of the people, comes to be confined to a few very fimple operations; frequently to one or two. But the underftandings of the greater part of men are neceffarily formed by their ordinary employments. The man whofe whole life is fpent in performing a few fimple operations, of which the effects too are, perhaps, always the fame, or very nearly the fame, has no occafion to exert his underftanding, or to exercife his invention in finding out expedients for removing difficulties which never occur. He naturally lofes, therefore, the habit of fuch exertion, and generally becomes as ftupid and ignorant as it is poffible for a human creature to become. The torpor of his mind renders him, not only incapable of relifhing or bearing a part in any rational converfation, but of conceiving any generous, noble, or tender fentiment, and confequently of forming any juft judgment concerning many even of the ordinary duties of private life. Of the great and extenfive interefts of his country he is altogether incapable of judging; and unlets very particular pains have been taken to render him otherwife, he is equally incapable of defending his country in war. The uniformity of his ftationary life naturally corrupts the courage of his mind, and makes him regard with abhorrence the irregular, uncertain,
and adventurous life of a foldier. It $\mathrm{CHAP}^{\mathrm{HA}}$. corrupts even the activity of his body, and renders him incapable of exerting his frength with vigour and perfeverance, in any other employment than that to which he has been bred. His dexterity at his own particular trade feems, in this manner, to be acquired at the expence of his intellectual, focial, and martial virtues. But in every improved and civilized fociety this is the fate into which the labouring poor, that is, the great body of the people, muft neceffarily fall, unlefs government takes fome pains to prevent it,

IT is otherwife in the barbarous focieties, as they are commonly called, of hunters, of fhepherds, and even of husbandmen in that rude ftate of hufbandry which precedes the improvement of manufactures, and the extenfion of foreign commerce. In fuch focieties the varied occupations of every man oblige every man to exert his capacity, and to invent expedients for removing difficulties which are continually occurring. Invention is kept alive, and the mind is not fuffered to fall into that drowfy ftupidity, which, in a civilized fociety, feems to benumb the underftanding of almoft all the inferior ranks of penple. In thofe barbarous focieties, as they are called, every man, it has already been obferved, is a warrior. Every man too is in fome meafure a ftatefman, and can form a tolerable judgment concerning the intereft of the fociety, and the conduet of thofe who govern it. How far their chiefs are good judges in peace, or good leaders in war, is obvious to the obfervation of almoft every fingle
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B o o V man among them. In fuch a fociety, indeed, no iman can well acquire that improved and refined underftanding, which a few men fometimes poffers in a more civilized ftate. Though in a rude fociety there is a good deal of variety in the occupations of every individual, there is not a great deal in thofe of the whole fociety. Every man does, or is capable of doing, almoft every thing which any other man does, or is capable of doing. Every man has a confiderable degree of knowledge, ingenuity, and invention; but fcarce any man has a great degree. The degree, however, which is commonly poffeffed, is generally fufficient for conducting the whole fimple bufinefs of the fociety. In a civilized ftate, on the contrary, though there is little variety in the occupations of the greater part of individuals, there is an almoft infinite variety in thofe of the whole fociety. Thefe varied occupations prefent an almoft infinite variety of objects to the contemplation of thofe few, who, being attached to no particular occupation themfelves, have leifure and inclination to examine the occupations of other people. The contemplation of fo great a variety of objects neceffarily exercifes their minds in endlefs comparifons and combinations, and renders their underftandings, in an extraordinary degree, both acute and comprehenfive. Unlefs thofe few, however, happen to be placed in fome very particular fituations, their great abilities, though honourable to themfelves, may contribute very little to the good government or happinefs of their fociety. Notwithftanding the great abi-
 human character may be, in a great obliterated and extinguifhed in the great body of the people.

The education of the common people requires, perhaps, in a civilized and commercial fociety, the attention of the public more than that of people of fome rank and fortune. People of fome rank and fortune are generally eighteen or nineteen years of age before they enter upon that particular bufinefs, profeffion, or trade, by which they propofe to diftinguif themfelves in the world. They have before that full time to acquire, or at leaft to fit themfelves for afterwards acquiring, every accomplifiment which can recommend them to the public efteem, or render them worthy of it. Their parents or guardians are generally fufficiently anxious that they fhould be fo accomplifhed, and are, in moft cafes, willing enough to lay out the expence which is neceflary for that purpofe. If they are not always properly educated, it is feldom from the want of expence laid out upon their education; but from the improper application of that expence. It is feldom from the want of mafters; but from the negligence and incapacity of the mafters who are to be had, and from the difficulty, or rather from the impoffibility which there is, in the prefent flate of things, of finding any better. The employments too in which people of fome rank or fortune fpend the greater part of their lives, are not, like thofe of the common people, fimple and uniform. They are
${ }^{B} 0^{\circ} \mathrm{o}^{\mathrm{K}}$ almolt all of them extremely complicated, and fuch as exercife the head more than the hands. The underftandings of thofe who are engaged in fuch employments can feldom grow torpid for want of exercife. The employments of people of fome rank and fortune, befides, are feldom fuch as harafs them from morning to night. They generally have a good deal of leifure, during which they may perfect themfelves in every branch either of ufeful or ornamental knowledge of which they may have laid the foundation, or for which they may have acquired fome tafte in the earlier part of life.

It is otherwife with the common people. They have little time to fpare for education. Their parents can fcarce afford to maintain them even in infancy. As foon as they are able to work, they muft apply to fome trade by which they can earn their fubfiftence. That trade too is generally fo fimple and uniform as to give little exercife to the undertanding; while, at the fame time, their labour is both fo conftant and fo fevere, that it leaves them little leifure and lefs inclination to apply to, or even to think of any thing elfe.

But though the common people cannot, in any civilized fociety, be fo well inftructed as people of fome rank and forcune, the moft effential parts of education, however, to read, write, and account, can be acquired at fo early a period of life, that the greater part even of thofe who are to be bred to the loweft occupations, have time to acquire them before they can be employed
employed in thofe occupations. For a very fmall $C_{H_{1}}{ }^{A}$. expence the public can facilitate, can encourage, and can even impofe upon alrioft the whole body of the people, the neceflity of acquiring thofe moft effential parts of education.

The public can facilitate this aequifition by eftablifhing in every parih or diftrict a little fchool, where children may be taught for a reward fo moderate, that even a common labourer may afford it; the mafter being partly, but not wholly paid by the public; becaufe, if he was wholly, or even principally paid by it, he would foon learn to neglect his bufinefs. In Scotland the eftablifhment of fuch parifh fchools has taught almoft the whole common people to read, and a very great proportion of them to write and account. In England the eftablifhment of charity fchools has had an effeet of the fame kind, though not fo univerfally, becaufe the eftablifhment is not fo univerfal. If in thofe little fchools the books by which the children are taught to read, were a little more inftructive than they commonly are; and if, inftead of a little fmattering of Latin, which the children of the common people are fometimes taught there, and which can fcarce ever be of any ufe to them; they were inftructed in the elementary parts of geometry and mechanics, the literary education of this rank of people would perhaps be as complete as it can be. There is fcarce a common trade which does not afford fome opportunities of applying to it the principles of geometry and mechanics, and which not therefore gradually exercife and im-

500 k prove the common people in thofe principles, the introduction to the moft fublime as well as to the moft ufeful feiences.

The public can encourage the acquifition of thofe moft effential parts of education by giving fmall premiums, and little badges of diftinction, to the children of the common people who excel in them.

The public can impofe upon almoft the whole body of the people the neceffity of acquiring the moft effential parts of education, by obliging every man to undergo an examination or probation. in them before he can obtain the freedom in any corporation, or be allowed to fet up any trade either in a village or town corporate.

Ir was in this manner, by facilitating the acquifition of their military and gymnaftic exercifes, by encouraging it, and even by impofing upon the whole body of the people the neceffity of learning thofe exercifes, that the Greek and Roman republics maintained the martial fpirit of their refpective citizens. They facilitated the acquifition of thofe exercifes by appointing a certain place for learning and practifing them; and by granting to certain mafters the privilege of teaching in that place. Thofe mafters do not appear to have had either falaries or exclufive privileges of any kind. Their reward confifted altogether in what they got from their fcholars; and a citizen who had learnt his exercifes in the public Gymnafia, had no fort of legal advantage over one who had learnt them privately, provided the latter had learnt them equally well.

Thofe.

## WEALTH OF NATIONSS. "

Thore republics encouraged the acquifition of $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{A}} \mathbf{P}$ thofe exercifes, by beftowing little premiums and badges of diftinction upon thofe who excelled in them. To have gained a prize in the Olympic, Ifthmian or Nemæan games gave illuftration, not only to the perfon who gained it; but to his whole family and kindred. The obligation which every citizen was under to ferve a certain number of years, if called upon, in the armies of the republic, fufficiently impofed the necelfity of learning thofe exercifes without which he could not be fit for that fervice.

That in the progrefs of improvement the practice of military exercifes, unlefs government takes proper pains to fupport it, goes gradually to decay; and, together with it, the martial fpirit of the great body of the people; the example of modern Europe fufficiently demonitrates. But the fecurity of every fociery mult always depend, more or lefs, upon the martial fpirit of the great body of the people. In the prefent times, indeed, that martial fpirit alone, and unfupported by a well-difciplined ftanding army, would not, perhaps, be fufficient for the defence and fecurity of any fociety. But where every citizen had the fpirit of a foldier, a finaller ftanding army would furely be requifite. That fpirit, befides, would neceffarily diminifh very much the dangers to liberty, whether real or imaginary, which are commonly apprehended from a ftanding army. As it would very much facilitate the operations of that army againft a foreign invader, fo it would obftruct them as much if unfortunately
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$K$ they should ever be directed againft the conftitution 'of the ftate.

The ancient inftitutions of Greece and Rome to have been much more effectual, for maintaining the martial firit of the great body of the people, than the eftablifhment of what are called the militias of modern times. They were much more fimple. When they were once eftablifhed, they executed themfelves, and it required little or no attention from government to maintain them in the molt perfect vigour. Whereas to maintain, even in tolerable execution, the complex regulations of any modern militia, requires the continual and painful attention of government, without which they are conftantly falling into total neglect and difufe. The influence, befides, of the ancient inftitutions was much more univerfal. By means of them the whole body of the people was completely inftructed in the ufe of arms. Whereas it is but a very fmall part of them who can ever be fo inftructed by the regulations of any modern militia; except, perhaps, that of Switzerland. But a coward, a man incapable either of defending or of revenging himfelf, evidently wants one of the moft effential parts of the character of a man. He is as much mutilated and deformed in his mind as another is in his body, who is either deprived of fome of its moft effential members, or has loft the ufe of them. He is evidently the more wretched and miferable of the ewo; becaufe happinefs and mifery, which refide alcogether in the mind, mult neceffarily depend more upon the healthful or
the mutilated or entire ftate of the $\mathbf{C} \mathbf{H A P}$. than upon that of the body. Even though $\underbrace{\text { I. }}$ firit of the people were of no ule the defence of the fociety, yet to prevent hat fort of mental mutilation, deformity, and wretchednefs, which cowardice neceffarily involves in in, from fpreading themfelves through the great body of the people, would ftill deferve the moft ferious attention of government; in the fame manner as it would deferve its moft ferious attention to prevent a leprofy or any other loathfome and offenfive difeafe, though neither mortal nor dangerous, from freading itfelf among them; though, perhaps, no other public good might refult from fuch attention befides the prevention of fo great a public evil.

The fame thing may be faid of the grofs ignorance and ftupidity which, in a civilized fociety, feem fo frequently to benumb the underftandings of all the inferior ranks of people. A man without the proper ufe of the intellectual faculties of a man, is, if poffible, more contemptible than even a coward, and feems to be mutilated and deformed in a ftill more effential part of the character of human nature. Though the ftate was to derive no advantage from the inftruction of the inferior ranks of people, it would ftill deferve its attention that they fhould not be altogether uninftructed. The ftate, however, derives no inconfiderable advantage from their inftructions The more they are inftructed, the lefs liable they are to the delufions of enthufiafin and fuperftition, which, among ignorant nations, frequendy
sook frequently occafion the moft dreadful difordert. intructed and intelligent people befides, are always more decent and orderly than an ignownt and ftupid one. They feel themfelves; each $\times$ individually, thore refpectable, and more likely to obtain the refpect of their lawful fuperiors, and they are therefore more difpofed to refpect thofe fuperiors. They are thore difpofed to examine, and more capable of feeing through, the interefted complaints of faction and fedition, and they are, upon that account, lefs apt to be minled into any wanton or unneceffary oppofition to the meafures of government. In free countries, where the fafety of government depends very much upon the favourable judgment which the people may form of its conduct, it muft furely be of the higheft importance that they fhould not be difpofed to judge rahly or capricioully concerning it.

## Article III.

Of the Expence of the Inftitutions for the Infruction of People of all Ages.

THE inftitutions for the inftruction of people of all ages are chielly thofe for religious inftruction: This is a fpecies of inftruction of which the object is not fo much to render the people good citizens in this world, as to prepare them for another and a better world in a life to come. The teachers of the doctrine which contains this inftruction, in the fame manner as other teachers, may either depend altogether for their fubfint-
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ence upon the voluntary contributions of their $G$ H $A$ or they may derive it from fome other, Which the two of their country may enfuch as a landed eftate, a tythe or k) an eftablifhed falary or ftipend. Their ir zeal and induftry are likely to be much gremorvin the former firuation than in the latter: Inwthis refpect the teachers of new religions have always had a confiderable advantage in attacking thofe ancient and eftablifhed fytems; of which the clergy, repofing themfelves upon their benefices, had neglected to keep up the fervour of faith and devotion in the great body of the people; and having given themfelves up to indolence, were become altogether incapable of making any vigorous exertion in defence even of their own eftablifbment. The clergy of an eftablifhed and well-endowed, religion frequently become men of learning and elegance, who poffefs all the virtues of gentlemen, or which can recommend them to the efteem of gentlemen; but they are apt gradually to lofe the qualities, both good and bad, which gave them authority and influence with the inferior ranks of people, and which had perhaps been the original caufes of the fuccefs and eftablifhment of their religion. Such a clergy, when attacked by a fet of popular and bold, though perhaps fupid and ignotant enthuffafts, feel themfelves as perfectly defencelefs as the indolent, effeminate, and full-fed nations of the fouthern parts of Afia, when they were invaded by the active, hardy, and hungry Tartars of the North.

Vol. III.
${ }^{3} \mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{v}}$ or Such a clergy, upon fuch an emergency, have y. no other refource than to call upon the civil magiftrate to perfecute, deftroy, or drive out their adverfaries, as difturbers of the public peace. It was thus that the Roman catholic clergy called upan the civil magiftrate to perfecute the proteflants; and the church of England, to perfecute the diffenters; and that in general every religious fect, when has once enjoyed for a century or two the fecurity of a legal eftablifhment, has found itfelf incapable of making any vigorous defence againt any new fect which chofe to attack its doctrine or difcipline. Upon fuch occafions the advantage in point of learning and good writing may fometimes be on the fide of the eftablifhed church. But the arts of popularity, all the arts of gaining profelytes, are conftantly on the file of its adverfaries. In England thofe arts have been long neglected by the well-endowed clergy of the eftablifhed church, and are at. prefent chiefly cultivated by the diffenters and by the methodifts. The independent provifions, however, which in many places have been made for diffenting teachers, by means of voluntary fubfcriptions, of truft rights, and other evalions of the law, feem very much to have abated the zeal and activity of thofe teachers. They have many of them become very learned, ingenious, and refpectable men; but they have in general ceafed to be very popular preachers. The methodifts, half the learning of the diffenters, in vogue.

In the church of Rome, the induftry and zeal CHAP. of the inferior clergy are kept more alive by the powerful motive of felf-intereft, than perhaps in any eftablifhed proteftant church. The parochial clergy derive, many of them, a very confiderable part of their fubfiftence from the voluntary oblations of the people; a fource of revenue which confeffion gives them many opportunities of improving. The mendicant orders derive their whole fubfiftence from fuch oblations. It is with them, as with the huffars and light infantry of fome armies; no plunder, no pay. The parochial clergy are like thofe teachers whofe reward depends partly upon their falary, and partly upon the fees or honoraries which they get from their pupils; and thefe muft always depend more or lefs upon their induftry and reputation. The mendicant orders are like thofe teachers whofe fubfiftence depends altogether upon their in-duftry. They are obliged, therefore, to ufe every art which can animate the devotion of the common people. 'The eftablifhment of the two' great mendicant orders of St. Dominic and St. Francis, it is oblerved by Machiave!, revived, in the thirteenth and fourteenth centuries, the languifhing faith and devotion of the catholic church. In Roman catholic countries the fpirit of devotion is fupported altogether by the monks and by the poorer parochial clergy. The great dignitaries of the church, with all the accomplifhments of gentlemen and men of the world, and fometimes with thofe of men of learning, are careful enough to maintain the neceffary difci-

Book pline over their inferiors, but feldom give themfelves any trouble about the inftruction of the people.
"Most of the arts and profefions in a ftate," fays by far the mott illuttrious philofopher and hiftorian of the prefent age, " are of fuch a na" ture, that, while they promote the interefts of " the fociety, they are alfo ufeful or agreeable to " fome individuals; and in that cafe, the con" ftant rule of the magiftrate, exceps, perhaps, " on the firft introduction of any art, is, to leave " the profeffion to itfelf, and truft its encourage" ment to the individuals who reap the benefit " of it. The artizans, finding their profits to " rife by the favour of their cuftomers, increafe, as " as much as poffible, their fkill and induftry; and " as matters are not difturbed by any injudicious " tampering, the commodity is always fure to " be at all times nearly proportioned to the de" mand.
" But there are alfo fome callings, which, " though ufeful and even neceffary in a ftate, " bring no advantage or pleafure to any indivi"dual, and the fupreme power is obliged to alter " its conduct with regard to the retainers of thofe " profeffions. It muft give them public encou" ragement in order to their fubfiftence; and it " muft provide againft that negligence to which "they will naturally be fubject, either by annex"ing particular honours to the profeflion, by "eftablihhing a long fubordination of ranks and "s a flrict dependance, or by fome other " dient. The perfons employed in
"f feets, and magittracy, are inftances of this or- $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{A}$. P . "der of men.
" It may naturally be thought, at firf fight, " that the ecclefiaftics belong to the firlt clals, " and that their encouragement, as well as that " of lawyers and phyficians, may fafely be en" trufted to the liberality of individuals, who " are attached to their doctrines, and who find " benefit or confolation from their firitual mi" niftry and affiftance. Their induftry and vi" gilance will, no doubt, be whetted by fuch an " additional motive; and their kill in the pro" feffion, as well as their addrefs in governing " the minds of the people, muft receive daily in"creafe, from their increafing practice, ftudy, " and attention.
"But if we confider the matter more clofely, " we fhall find, that this interefted diligence of " the clergy is what every wife legillator will " ftudy to prevent; becaufe, in every religion " except the true, it is highly pernicious, and it " has even a natural tendency to pervert the true, " by infufing into it a ftrong mixture of fuperfi" tion, folly, and delufion. Each ghoftly prac" titioner; in order to render himfelf more pre"c cious and facred in the eyes of his retainers, " will infpire them with the moft violent abhor" rence of all other fects, and continually en" deavour, by fome novelty, to excite the lan" guid devotion of his audience. No regard " will be paid to truth, morals, or decency, in " the doctrines inculcated. Every tenet will be "adopted that beft fuits the diforderly affections

EOOK " of the human frame. Cuftomers will be drawn to each conventicle by new induftry and addrefs " in practifing on the paffions and credulity of " the propulace. And in the end, the civil ma" giftrate will find, that he has dearly paid for " his pretended frugality, in faving a fixed efta" bliffment for the priefts; and that in reality the * moft decent and advantageous compofition, " which he can make with the fpiritual guides, ${ }^{\text {of }}$ is to bribe their indolence, by affigning ftated "f falaries to their profeffion, and rendering it fu" perfluous for them to be farther active, than " merely to prevent their flock from flraying in " queft of new paftures. And in this manner " ecclefiaftical eftablifhments, though commonly " they arofe at firft from religious views, prove " in the end advantageous to the political inte"refts of fociety."
But whatever may have been the good or bad effects of the independent provifion of the thergy; it has, perhaps, been very feldom beftowed upon them from any view to thofe effects. Times of violent religious controverfy have generally been times of equally violent political faction. Upon fuch occafions, each political party has erther found it, or imagined it, for his intereft, to league itfelf with fome one or other of the contending religious fects. But this could be done only by adopting, or at leaft by favouring, the tenets of that particular fect. The fect which had the go d fortune to be leagued with the conquering party, neceffarily hared in the victory of its ally, by whofe favour and protection it was foon en-
abled
abled in fome degree to filence and fubdue all $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{P}$. its advec解ries. Thofe adverfaries had generally $\underbrace{\text { I. }}$ leagued themfelves with the enemies of the conquering party, and were therefore the enemies of that party. The clergy of this particular fect having thus become complete mafters of the field, and their influence and authority with the great body of the people being in its higheft vigour, they were powerful enough to over-awe the chiefs and leaders of their own party, and to oblige the civil magiftrate to refpect their opinions and inclinations. Their firft demand was generally, that he fhould filence and fubdue all their adverfaries; and their fecond, that he fhould beftow an independent provifion on themfelves. As they had generally contributed a good deal to the victory, it feemed not unreafonable that they fhould have fome fhare in the fpoil. They were weary, befides, of humouring the people, and of depending upon their caprice for a fubfiltence. In making this demand, therefore, they confulted their own eafe and comfort, without troubling themfelves about the effect which it might have in future times upon the influence and authority of their order. The civil magiftrate, who could comply with their demand only by giving them fomething which he would have chofen much rather to take, or to keep to himfelf, was feldom very forward to grant it. Neceffity, however, always forced him to fubmit at laft, though frequently not till after many delays, evafions, and affected excufes.

But if politics had never called in the aid of religion, had the conquering party never adopted
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A 00 . $x$ the teness of one feet more than thofe of another, when it had gained the victory, it would probably have dealt equally and impartially with all the different fects, and have allowed every man to chufe his own prieft and his own religion as he thought proper. There would in this cafe no doubt, have been a great multitude of religious fects. Almoft every different congregation might probably have made a little fect by itfelf, or have entertained fome peculiar renets of its own. Each teacher would no doubt have felt himfelf under the neceflity of making the utmoft exertion, and of ufing every art both to preferve and to increafe the number of his difciples, But as every other teacher would have felt himfelf under the fame neceflity, the fuccefs of no one teacher, or fect of teachers, could have been very great. The interefted and active zeal of religious teachers can be dangerous and troublefome only where there is, either but one fect tolerated in the fociety, or where the whole of a large fociety is divided into two or three great fects; the teachers of each acting by concert, and under a regular difcipline and fubordination. But that zeal mult be altogether innocent, where the fociety is divided into two or three hundred, or perhaps into as many thoufand fmall fects, of which no one could be confiderable enough to difturb the public tranquillity, The teachers of each fect, feeing themfelves furrounded on all fides with more adverfaries than friends, would be obliged to learn that candour and moderation which are fo feldom to be found among the teachers of thofe great fects, whofe
tenets, being fupported by the civil magiftrate, C H A P. are held in veneration by almoft all the inha-bitants of extenfive kingdoms and empires, and who therefore fee nothing round them but followers, difciples, and humble admirers. The teachers of each little fect, finding themfelves almoft alone, would be obliged to refpect thofe of almoft every other fect, and the conceffions which they would mutually find it both convenient and agreeable to make to one another, might in time probably reduce the doctrine of the greater part of them to that pure and rational religion, free from every mixture of abfurdity, impofture, or fanaticifm, fuch as wife men have in all ages of the world wifhed to fee eftablifhed; but fuch as pofitive law has perhaps never yet eftablihhed, and probably never will eftablih in any country : becaufe, with regard to religion, pofitive law always has been, and probably always will be, more or lefs influenced by popular fuperftition and enthufiafm. This plan of ecclefiaftical government, or more properly of no ecclefiaftical government, was what the fect called Independents, a fect no doubt of very wild enthufiafts, propofed to eftablin in England towards the end of the civil war. If it had been eftablifhed, though of a very unphilofophical origin, it would probably by this time have been productive of the moft philofophical good temper and moderation with regard to every fort of seligious principle. It has been eftablifhed in Pennfylvania, where, though the Quakers happen to be the molt numerous, the law in reality fa-
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ra ene fect more than another, and it is there faid to have been productive of this philofophical good temper and moderation.

But though this equality of treatment fhould not be productive of this good temper and moderation in all, or even in the greater part of the religious feets of a particular country; yet provided thofe fects were fufficiently numerous, and each of them confequently too fmall to difturb the public tranquillity, the exceffive zeal of each for its particular tenets, could not well be productive of any very hurtful effects, but, on the contrary, of feveral good ones: and if the government was perfectly decided both to let them all alone, and to oblige them all to let alone one another, there is little danger that they would not of their own accord fubdivide themfelves faft enough, fo as foon to become fufficiently numerous.

In every civilized fociety, in every fociety where the diftinction of ranks has once been completely eftablifhed; there have been always two different fchemes or fyftems of morality cerrent at the fame time; of which the one may be called the ftrict or auftere; the other the liberal, or, if you will, the loofe fyttem. The former is generally admired and revered by the common people: the latter is commonly more efteemed and adopted by what are called people of fafhion. The degree of difapprobation with which we cught to mark the vices of levity, the vices which are apt to arife from great profperity, and from the excefs of gaiety and good humour, feems to
conflitute the principal diftinction between thofe $\mathbf{C H}_{1}$ A. $\mathbf{P}$. two oppofite fchemes or fyftems. In the liberal, or loofe fyftem, luxury, wanton and even diforderly mirth, the purfuit of pleafure to fome degree of intemperance, the breach of chaftity; at leaft in one of the two fexes, \&c. provided they are not accompanied with grofs indecency, and do not lead to falfehood and injuftice, are generally treated with a good deal of indulgence, and are eafily either excufed or pardoned altogether. In the auftere fyttem, on the contrary, thofe exceffes are regarded with the utmort abhorrence and deteftation. The vices of levity are always ruinous to the common people, and a fingle week's thoughtleffnefs and diffipation is often fufficient to undo a poor workman for ever, and to drive him through defpair upon commisting the moft enormous crimes. The wifer and better fort of the common people, therefore, have always the utmoft abhorrence and deteftation of fuch exceffes, which their experience tells them are fo immediately fatal to people of their condition. The diforder and extravagance of feveral years, on the contrary, will not always ruin a man of fathion, and people of that rank are very apt to confider the power of indulging in fome degree of excefs as one of the advantages of their fortune, and the liberty of doing fo without cenfure or reproach, as one of the privileges which belong to their fation. In people of their own ftation, therefore, they regard fuch exceffes with but a fmall degree of difapprobation, and cenfure them either very nightly or not at all.

Book Almost all religious fects have begun among the common people, from whom they have generally drawn their carlieft, as well as their moft numerous profelytes. The aultere fyttem of morality has, accordingly, been adopted by thofe fects almoft conftantly, or with very few exceptions; for there have been fome. It was the fyftem by which they could beft recommend themfelves to that order of people to whom they firft propofed their plan of reformation upon what had been before eftablinhed. Many of them, perhaps the greater part of them, have even endeavoured to gain credit by refining upon this auftere fyftem, and by carrying it to fome degree of folly and extravagance; and this exceffive rigour has frequently recommended them more than any thing elfe to the refpect and veneration of the common people.

A MAN of rank and fortune is by his ftation the diftinguifhed member of a great fociety, who attend to every part of his conduct, and who thereby oblige him to attend to every part of it himfelf. His authoricy and confideration depend very much upon the refpect which this fociety bears to him. He dare not do any thing which would difgrace or difcredit him in it, and he is obliged to a very frict obfervation of that fpecies of morals, whether liberal or auftere, which the general confent of this fociety prefcribes to perfons of his rank and fortune. A man of low condition, on the contrary, is far from being a diftin. guifhed member of any great fociety. While he remains in a country village, his conduet may be
attended to, and he may be obliged to attend to it $\mathrm{C} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}$ himfelf. In this fituation, and in this fituation only, 6 he may have what is called a character to lofe. But as foon as he comes into a great city, he is funk in obfcurity and darknefs. His conduct is obferved and attended to by nobody, and he is cherefore very likely to neglect it himfelf, and to abandon himfelf to every fort of low prolligacy and vice. He never emerges fo effectually from this obfcurity, his conduct never excites fo much the attention of any refpectable fociety, as by his becoming the member of a fmall religious fect. He from that moment acquires a degree of confideration which he never had before. All his brother fectaries are, for the credit of the fect, interefted to obferve his conduct, and if he gives occafion to any fcandal, if he deviates very much from thofe auftere morals which they almoft always require of one another, to punifh him by what is always a very fevere punifhment, even where no evil effects attend it, expulfion or excommunication from the feet. In little religious fects, accordingly, the morals of the common people have been almoft always remarkably regular and orderly; generally much more fo than in the eftablifhed church. The morals of thofe little fects, indeed, have frequently been rather difagreeably rigorous and unfocial.

There are two very eafy and effectual remedies, however, by whofe joint operation the ftate might; without violence, correct whatever was unfocial or difagreeably rigorous in the morals of all the little rects into which the country was divided.
${ }^{3} \mathbf{v}^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ the direction of one man; and they are frequently , too under fuch direction. Their intereft as an incorporated body is never the fame with, wat of the fovereign, and is fometimes arm to it. Their great intereft is to Hintat theit authority with the people; and $\mathrm{H}_{\boldsymbol{H}}$, atuhprity depends upon the fuppofed certainty wimportance of the whole doctrine which theytuculcate; and upon the fuppofed neceffity of adopting every part of it with the moft implicit faith, in order to avoid eternal mifery. Should the fovereign have the imprudence to appear either to deride or doubt himfelf of the moft trifling part of their doctrine, or from humanity attempt to protect thofe who did either the one or the other, the punctilious honour of a clergy who have no fort of dependency upon him, is immediately provoked to profcribe him as a profane perfon, and to employ all the terrors of religion in order to oblige the people to transfer their allegiance to fome more orthodox and obedient prince. Should he oppole any of their pretenfions or ufurpations, the danger is equally great. The princes who have dared in this manner to rebel againtt the church, over and above this crime of rebellion, have generally been charged too with the additional crime of herefy, notwithftanding their folemn proteftations of their faith and humble fubmifion to every tenet which fhe thought proper to prefcribe to them. But the authority of religion is fuperior to every other authority. The fears which it fuggefts conquer all other fears. When the authorifed teachers of religion
ligion propagate through the great body of the $\mathbf{C H A P}$. people doctrines fubverfive of the authority of the, fovereign, it is by violence only, or by the force of tuan ng army, that he can maintain his authoty. Eten a flanding army cannot in this cafe give tim any lafting fecurity; becaufe if the
rs rev not foreigners, which can feldom be the caff, but drawn from the great body of the people, which muft almoft always be the cafe, they are likely to be foon corrupted by thofe very doctrines. The revolutions which the turbulence of the Greek clergy was continually occafioning at Conftantinople, as long as the eaftern empire fubfifted; the convulfions which, during the courfe of feveral centuries, the turbulence of the Roman clergy was continually occafioning in every part of Europe, fufficiently demonftrate how precarious and infecure mutt always be the fituation of the fovereign who has no proper means of influencing the clergy of the eftablifhed and governing religion of his country.

Articles of faith, as well as all ocher fpiritual matters, it is evident enough, are not within the proper department of a temporal fovereign, who, though he may be very well qualified for protecting, is feldom fuppofed to be fo for inftructing the people. With regard to fuch matters, therefore, his authority can feldom be fufficient to counterbalance the united authority of the clergy of the eftablifhed church. The public tranquillity, however, and his own fecurity, may frequently depend upon the doctrines which they may think proper to propagate concerning fuch Voh. III.
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matters. As he can feldom directly oppore their decifion, therefore, with proper weight and authority, it is neceffary that he fhould be able to influence it; and he can influence it only by the fears and expectations which he may excite in the greater part of the individuals of the order. Thofo fears and expectations may confitt in the fear of de-privation or other punifhment, and in the expectation of further preferment.

In all Chriftian churches the benefices of the clergy are a fort of freeholds which they enjoy, not during pleafure, but during life, or good be- , haviour. If they held them by a more precarious tenure, and were liable to be wirned out upon every flight difobligation either of the: fovereign or of his minifters, it would perhape be impoffible for them to maintain their authority with the people, who would then confider them as mercenary dependents upon the court, in the fincerity of whofe inftructions they could no longer have any confidence. But fhould the fovereign attempt irregularly, and by violence, to deprive any number of clergymen of their freeholds, on account, perhaps, of their having propagated, with more than ordinary zeal, fome factious or feditious doctrine, he would only render, by fuch perfecution, both them and their doctrine ten times more popular, and therefore ten times more troublefome and dangerous than they had been before. Fear is in almoft all cafes a wretched inftrument of government, and ought in particular never to be employed againt any order of men who have the fimalleft presenfions to
independency. To attempt to terrify them, ferves C $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}$ a $\mathbf{P}$. only to irritate their bad humour, and to confirm them in an oppofition which more gentle ufage perhaps might eafily induce them, either to foften, or to lay afide altogether. The violence which the French government ufually employed in order to oblige all their parliaments, or fovereign courts of juftice, to enregifter any unpopular edict, very feldom fucceeded. The means commonly employed, however, the imprifonment of all the refractory members, one would think were forcible enough. The princes of the houfe of Stuart fometimes employed the like means in order to influence fome of the members of the parliament of England; and they generally found them equally intractable. The parliament of England is now managed in another manner; and a very fmall experiment, which the duke of Choifeul made about twelve years ago upon the parliament of Paris, demonftrated fufficiently that all the parliaments of France might have been managed ftill more eafily in the fame manner. That experiment was not purfued. For though management and perfuafion are always the eafieft and fafett inftruments of government, as force and violence are the worft and the moft dangerous, yet fuch, it feems, is the natural infolence of man, that he almoft always difdains to ufe the good inftrument, except when he cannot or dare not ufe the bad one. The French government could and durft ufe force, and therefore difdained to ufe management and perfuafion. But there is no order of men, it appears, I believe, from

в о o $K$ the experience of all ages, upon whom it is fo dangerous, or rather fo perfectly ruinous, to employ force and violence, as upon the refpected clergy of an eftablifhed church. The rights, the privileges, the perfonal liberty of every individual eccleffaftic, who is upon good terms with his own order, are, even in the moft defpotic governments, more refpected than thofe of any other perfon of nearly equal rank and fortune. It is fo in every gradation of defpotifim, from that of the gentle and mild government of Paris, to that of the violent and furious government of Conftantinople. But though this order of men can fcarce ever be forced, they may be managed as eafily as any other; and the fecurity of the fovereign, as well as the public tranquillity, feems to depend very much upon the means which he has of managing them; and thofe means feem to confift altogether in the preferment which he has to beftow upon them.

In the ancient conftitution of the Chriftian church, the bifhop of each diocefe was elected by the joint votes of the clergy and of the people of the epifcopal city. The people did not long retain their right of election; and while they did retain it, they almoft always acted under the influence of the cle"gy, who in fuch firitual matters appeared to be their natural guides. The clergy, however, foon grew weary of the trouble of managing them, and found it eafier to elect their own bihhops themfelves. The abbot, in the fame manner, was elected by the monks of the monattery, at leaft in the greater part of abbacies.

All the inferior ecclefiaftical benefices compre- c $\underset{\mathrm{H}}{\mathrm{H}}$ A F . hended within the diocefe were collated by the bifhop, who beftowed them upon fuch ecclefiaftics as he thought proper. All church preferments were in this manner in the difpofal of the church. The fovereign, though he might have fome indirect influence in thofe elections, and though it was fometimes ufual to afk both his confent to elect, and his approbation of the election, yet had no direct or fufficient means of managing the clergy. The ambition of every clergyman naturally led him to pay court, not fo much to his fovereign, as to his own order, from which only he could expect preferment.

Through the greater part of Europe the Pope gradually drew to himiflf firft the collation of almolt all bihhoprics and abbacies, or of what were called Confiftorial benefices, and afterwards, by various machinations and pretences, of the greater part of inferior benefices comprehended within each diocefe; little more being left to the bifhop than what was barely neceffary to give him a decent authority with his own clergy. By this arrangement the condition of the fovereign was ftill worfe than it had been before. The clergy of all the different countries of Europe were thus formed into a fort of ficitual army, difperfed in different quarters, indeed, but of which all the movements and operations could now be directed by one head, and conducted upon one uniform plan. The clergy of each particular country might be confidered as a particular detachment of that army, of which the
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Boo k operations could eafily be fupported and feconded by all the other detachments quartered is the different countries round about. Each detachment was not only independent of the fovereign of the country in which it was quartered, and by which it was maintained, but dependent upon a foreign fovereign, who could at any time turn its. arms againft the fovereign of that particular country, and fupport them by the arms of all the other detachments.

Those arms were the molt formidable that can well be imagined. In the ancient fate of Europe, before the eftablifhment of arts and manufactures, the wealth of the clergy gave them the fame fort of influence over the common people, which that of the great barons gave them over their refpective vaffals, tenants, and retainers, In the great landed eftates, which the miftaken piety both of princes and private perfons had beftowed upon the church, jurifdictions were eftablifhed of the fame kind with thofe of the great barons; and for the fame reafon. In thofe great Manded eftates, the clergy, or their bailiffs, could eafily keep the peace without the fupport or affiftance either of the king or of any other perfon; and neither the king nor any other perfon could keep the peace there without the fupport and affiftance of the clergy. The juridictions of the clergy, therefore, in their particular baronies or manors, were equally independent, and equally exclufive of the authority of the king's courts, as thofe of the great temporal lords. The tenants of the clergy were, like thafe of the

в о о $\quad$. the lay-lords. The former were under a regular difcipline and fubordination to the papal wuthority. The latter were under no regular difcipline or fubordination, but almoft always equally jealous of one another, and of the king. Though, the tenants and retainers of the clergy, therefore, had both together been lefs numerous than thofe of the great lay-lords, and their tenants were probably much lefs numerous, yet their union would have rendered them more formidable. The hofpitality and charity of the clergy too, not only gave them the command of a great temporal force, but increafed very much the weight of their fpiritual weapons. Thofe vitues procured them the higheft refpect and veneration among all the inferior ranks of people, of whom many were conftantly, and almoft all occafionally, fed by them. Every thing belonging or related to fo popular an order, its poffeffions, its privileges, its doctrines, neceflarily appeared facred in the eyes of the common people, and every violation of them, whether real or pretended, the higheft act of facrilegious wickednefs and profanenefs. In this ftate of things, if the fovereign frequently found it difficult to refift the confederacy of a few of the great nobility, we cannot wonder that he fhould find it fill more fo to refift the united force of the clergy of his own dominions, fupported by that of the clergy of all the neighbouring dominions. In fuch circumflances the wonder is, not that he was fometimes obliged to yield, but that he ever was able to refilt.

The privileges of the clergy in thofe ancient ${ }^{C H A P}$. times (which to us who live in the prefent times $\underbrace{\text { ( }}$ appear the moft abfurd), their total exemption from the fecular jurifdiction, for example, or what in England was called the benefit of clergy; were the natural or rather the neceffiary confequences of this ftate of things. How dangerous muft it have been for the fovereign to attempt to punih a clergyman for any crime whatever, if his order were difpofed to protect him, and to reprefent either the proof as infufficient for convicting fo holy a man, or the punifhment as too fevere to be inflicted upon one whofe perfon hiad been rendered facred by religion? The fovereign could, in fuch circumftances, do no better than leave him to be tried by the ecclefiattical courts, who, for the honour of their own order, were interefted to reftrain, as much as poffible, every member of it from committing enormous crimes, or even from giving occafion to fuch grofs fcandal as might difgult the minds of the people.

In the fate in which things were through the greater part of Europe during the tenth, eleventh, twelfth, and thirteenth centuries, and for fome time both before and after that period, the conftitution of the church of Rome may be confidered as the moft formidable combination that ever was formed againft the authority and fecurity of civil government, as well as againft the liberty, reafon, and happinefs of mankind, which can flourifh only where civil government is able to protect them. In that conftitution the groffeft delufions

в о о $\quad$. delufions of fuperftition were fupported in fuch a manner by the private interefts of fo great a number of people as put them out of all danger from any affault of human reafon; because though human reafon might perhaps have been able to unveil, even to the eves of the common peoples fome of the delufions of fuperftition, it could never have diffolved the ties of private interef. Had this conititution been attacked by no other enemies but the feeble efforts of human reafon, it mult have eadured for ever. But that immenfe and well-built fabric, which all the wifdom and virtue of man could never have fhaken, much tefs have overturned, was by the natural courfe et things, firt weakened, and afterwards in part deftroyed, and is now likely, in the courfe of a few centuries more, perhaps, to crumble into ruins altogether.

The gradual improvements of arts, manufactures, and commerce, the fame caufes which deftroyed the power of the great barons, deftroyed in the fame manner, through the greater part of Europe, the whole temporal power of the clergy. In the produce of arts, manufactures, and commerce, the clergy, like the great barons, found fomething for which they could exchange their rude produce, and thereby difcovered the means of fpending their whole revenues upon their own perfons, without giving any confiderable hare of them to other people. Their charity became gradually lefs extenfive, their hofpitality lefs liberal or lefs profufe. Their retainers becane confequently lefs numerous, and by degrees
dwindled away altogether. The clergy too, like CHap. the great barons, wifhed to get a better rent from' their landed eftates, in order to fpend it, in the fame manner, upon the gratification of their own private vanity and folly. But this increafe of reat could be got only by granting leafes to their tenants, who thereby became in a great meafure independent of them. The ties of intereft, which bound the inferior ranks of people to the clergy, were in this manner gradually broken and dif, folved. They were even broken and diffolved fooner than thofe which bound the fame ranks of people to the great barons: becaufe the bener fices of the church being, the greater part of them, much fmaller than the eftates of the great barons, the poffeffor of each benefice was much fooner able to fpend the whole of its revenue upon his own perfon. During the greater part of the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries, the power of the great barons was, through the greater part of Europe, in full vigour. But the temporal power of the clergy, the abfolute command which they had once had over the great body of the people, was very much decayed. The power of the church was by that time very nearly reduced through the greater part of Europe to what arofe from her fpiritual authority; and even that fpiritual authority was much weakened when it ceafed to be fupported by the charity and hofpitality of the clergy. The inferior ranks of people no longer looked upon that order, as they had done before, as the comforters of their diftrefs, and the relievers of their indigence. On the contrary,
${ }^{\text {b o o }}$ v. contrary, they were provoked and difgutted by the vanity, luxury, and expence of the richer clergy, who appeared to fpend upon their own pleafures what had always before been regarded as the patrimony of the poor.

In this fituation of things, the fovereigns in the different ftates of Europe endeavoured to recover the influence which they had once had in the difpofal of the great benefices of the church, by procuring to the deans and chapters of each diocefe the reftoration of their ancient right of electing the bihop, and to the monks of each. abbacy that of electing the abbot. The re-eftablifhing of this ancient order was the object of feveral ftatutes enasted in England during the courfe of the fourteenth century, particularly of what is called the flatute of provifors; and of the Pragmatic fanction eftablifhed in France in the fifteenth century. In order to render the election valid, it was neceffary that the fovereign fhould both confent to it before-hand, and afterwards approve of the perfon elected; and though the election was ftill fuppofed to be free, he had, however, all the indirect means which his fituation neceffarily afforded him, of influencing the clergy in his own dominions. Other regulations of a fimilar tendency were eftablifhed in other parts of Europe. But the power of the pope in the collation of the great benefices of the church feems, before the reformation, to have been nowhere fo effectually and fo univerfally reftrained as in France and England. The Concordat afterwards, in the fixteenth century, gave to the
$\dot{k}$ ings of France the abfolute right of prefenting $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{I}}^{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{P}$. to all the great, or what are called the confiftorial betefices of the Gallican church.

Since the eftablimment of the Pragmatic fanction and of the Concordat, the clergy of France have in general hown leis refpect to the decrees of the papal court than the clergy of any other catholic country. In all the difputes which their fovereign has had with the pope, they have almoft conftantly taken party with the former. This independency of the clergy of France upon the court of Rome, feems to be principally founded upon the Pragmatic fanction and the Concordat. In the earlier periods of the monarchy, the clergy of France appear to have been as much devoted to the pope as thofe of any other country. When Robert, the fecond prince of the Capetian race, was moft unjuftly excommunicated by the court of Rome, his own fervants, it is faid, threw the victuals which came from his table to the dogs, and refufed to tafte any thing themfelves which had been polluted by the contact of a perfon in his fituation. They were taught to do fo, it may very fafely be prefumed, by the clergy of his own dominions.

The claim of collating to the great benefices of the church, a claim in defence of which the court of Rome had frequently thaken, and fometimes overturned the thrones of fome of the greateft fovereigns in Chriftendom, was in this manner either reftrained or modified, or given up altogether, in many different parts of Europe, even before the time of the reformation. As the clergy

B o o $K$ clergy had now no lefs influence over the people, fo the ftate had more influence over the clergy. The clergy therefore had both lefs powar and leis inclination to difturb the ftate.

The authority of the church of Rame was in this ftate of declenfion, when the dideres which gave birth to the reformation, began in fermany, and foon fpread themfelves through every part of Europe. The new doctrines were every where received with a high degree of popular favour. They were propagated with all that enthufiaftic zeal which commonly animates the fpirit of party; when it attacks eftablifhed authority. The teachers of thofe doctrines, though perhaps in other refpects not more learned than many of the divines who defended the eftablifhed church, feem in general to have been better acquainted with ecclefiaftical hiftory, and with the origin and progrefs of that fyftem of opinions upon which the authority of the church was eftablifhed, and they had thereby fome advantage in almoft every difpute. The aufterity of their manners gave them authority with the common people, who contrafted the ftrict regularity of their conduct with the diforderly lives of the greater part of their own clergy. They poffeffed too, in a much higher degree than their adverfaries, all the arts of popularity and of gaining profelytes, arts which the lofty and dignified lons of the church had long neglected, as being to them in a great meafure ufelefs. The reafon of the new doctrines recommended them to fome, their novelty to many; the hatred and contempt of the eftablifhed
clergy to sefthereater number: but the zealous, $\mathbf{c} \boldsymbol{H}_{\mathrm{A}}$ a paffignate, and fanatical, though frequently coarfe. and rufticweloquence with which they were almoft every where inculcated, recommended them to by fas the grequeft number.
Th andes of the new doctrines was almot every whone great, that the princes who at that time happened to be on bad terms with the court of Rome, were by means of them eafily enabled, in their own dominions, to overturn the church, , havi帾 loft the refpect and veneration of inferior ranks of people, could make fcarce any refirtance. The court of Rome had difobliged fome of the fmaller princes in the northern parts of Germany, whom it had probably confidered as too infignificant to be worth the managing. They univerfally, therefore, eftablifhed the reformation in their own dominions. The tyranny of Chriftiern 1I. and of Troll archbihop of Upfal, enabled Guftavus Vafa to expel them both from Sweden. The pope favoured the tyrant and the archbihop, and Guftavus Vafa found no difficulty in eftablihing the reformation in Sweden. Chriftiern II. was afterwards depofed from the throne of Denmark, where his conduct had rendered him as odious as in Sweden. The pope, however, was ftill difpofed to favour him, and Frederic of Holttein, who had mounted the throne in his ftead, revenged himfelf by following the example of Guftavus Vafa. The magiftrates of Berne and Zurich, who had no particular quarrel with the pope, eftablifhed with great eafe the reformation in their refpective
${ }^{\text {b o o }}$ v. k cantons, where juft before fome of the olergy had, by an impofture fomewhat groffethan ordifiary, rendered the whole order both OLoye and contemptible.

In this critical fituation of its uffairs, the papal court was at fufficient pains to, friendhip of the powerful fovere wof France and Spain, of whom the latter was at that time emperor of Germany. With their affiftance is was enabled, though not without great d and much bloodihed, either to fulpt ther, or to obftruct very much, the progrefs of reformation in their dominions. It enough inclined too to be complaifant the the king of England. But from the circumftances' of the times, it could not be fo without giving offence to a ftill greater fovereign, Charles V. king of Spain and emperor of Germany. Henry VIII. accordingly, though he did not embrace himfelf the greater part of the doctrines of the reformation, was yet enabled, by their general prevalence, to fupprefs all the monafteries, and to abolinh the authority of the church of Rome in his dominions. That he fhould go fo far, though he went no further, gave fome fatisfaction to the patrons of the reformation, who having got poffeffion of the government in the reign of his fon and fucceffor, completed without any difficulty the work which Henry VIII. had begun.

In fome countries, as in Scotland, where the government was weak, unpopular, and not very firmly eftablifhed, the reformation was ftrong enough to overturn, not only the church, but
the fate likewife for attempting to fupport the ${ }^{\mathrm{CH}}{ }^{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{P}$. church.

Among the followers of the reformation, difperfed in all the different countries of Europe, there was no general tribunal, which, like that of the court of Rome, or an œcumenical council, could fettle all difputes among them, and with irrefiftible authority prefcribe to all of them the precife lithits of orthodoxy. When the followers of the reformation in one country, therefore, happened to differ from their brethren in another, as they had no common judge to appeal to, the difpute could never be decided; and many fuch difputes arofe among them. Thofe concerning the government of the church, and the right of conferring ecclefiaftical benefices, were perhaps the moft interefting to the peace and welfare of civil fociety. They gave birth accordingly to the two principal parties or feets among the followers of the reformation, the Lutheran and Calviniftic fects, the only fects among them, of which the doctrine and difcipline have ever yet been eftablifhed by law in any part of Europe.

The followers of Luther, together with what is called the church of England, preferved more or lefs of the epifcopal government, eftablifhed furbordination among the clergy, gave the fovereign the difpofal of all the bifhoprics, and other confiftorial benefices within his dominions, and thereby rendered him the real head of the church; and without depriving the bihop of the right of collating to the fmaller benefices within his diocefe, they, even to thofe benefices, not

Vol. III. $Q$ only
${ }^{\text {b o o }}$ v. only admitted, but favoured the right of prefent${ }^{\text {v. }}$ ation both in the fovereign and in all other laypatrons. This fyftem of church government was from the beginning favourable to peace and good order, and to fubmiffion to the civil fovereign. It has never, accordingly, been the occafion of any tumult or civil commotion in any country in which it has once been eftablifhed. The church of England in particular has always valued herfelf, with great reafon, upon the unexceptionable loyalty of her principles. Under fuch a government the clergy naturally endeavour to recommend themfelves to the fovereign, to the court, and to the nobility and gentry of the country, by whofe influence they chielly expect to obtain preferment. They pay court to thofe patrons, fometimes, no doubt, by the vileft flattery and affentation, but frequently too by cultivating all thofe arts which beft deferve, and which are therefore moft likely to gain them the efteem of people of rank and fortune; by their knowledge in all the different branches of ufefut and ornamental learning, by the decent liberality of theis manners, by the focial good humour of their converfation, and by their awowed contempt of thofe abfurd and hypocritical autterities which fanatics inculcate and pretend to practife, in order to draw upon themfelves the veneration, and upon the greater part of men of rank and fortune, who avow that they do not practife them, the abhorrence of the common people. Such a clergy, however, while they pay their court in this manner to the higher ranks of life, are very
apt to neglect altogether the means of maintaining ${ }^{C} H_{A}$ A . their influence and authority with the lower. They are liftened to, efteemed and refpected by their fuperiors; but before their inferiors they are frequently incapable of defending, effectually and to the conviction of fuch hearers, their own fober and moderate doctrines againft the mof ignorant enthufiaft who chufes to attack them.

The followers of Zuinglius, or more properly thofe of Calvin, on the contrary, beftowed upon the people of each parifh, whenever the church became vacant, the right of electing their own paftor; and eftablifhed at the fame time the moft perfect equality among the clergy. The former part of this inftitution, as long as it remained in vigour, feems to have been productive of nothing but diforder and confulion, and to have tended equally to corrupt the morals both of the clergy and of the people. The latter part feems never to have had any effects but what were perfecily agreeable.

As long as the people of each parifh preferved the right of electing their own paftors, they acted almoft always under the influence of the clergy, and generally of the moft factious and fanatical of the order. The clergy, in order to preferve their influence in thofe popular elections, became, or affected to become, many of them, fanatics themfelves, encouraged fanaticifm among the people, and gave the preference almoft always to the moft fanatical candidate. So fmall a matter as the appointment of a parifh prieft almolt always a violent conteft, not only
s ook only in one parih, but in all the neighbouting 'parifhes, who feldom failed to take part in the quarrel. When the parifh happened to be fituated in a great city, it divided all the inhabitants into two parties; and when that city happened cither to conftitute itfelf a little republic, or to be the head and capital of a little republic, as is the care with many of the confiderable cities in Switzerland and Holland, every paltry difpute of this kind, over and above exalperating the animofity of all their other factions, threatened to leave behind it both a new fchilm in the church, and a new faction in the flate. In thofe fmall republics, therefore, the magiftrate very foon found it neceffary, for the fake of preferving the public peace, to affume to himfelf the right of prefenting to all vacant benefices. In Scotland, the moft extenfive country in which this prefbyterian form of church government has ever been eftablifhed, the rights of patronage were in effect abolifhed by the act which eftablinhed prefbytery in the beginning of the reign of William III. That act at leaft put it in the power of certain claffes of people in each parifh, to purchafe, for a very fmall price, the right of electing their own paftor. The conftitution which this act eftablifhed was allowed to fubfift for about two and twenty years, but was abolifhed by the 10 th of queen Anne, ch. I2. on account of the confufions and diforders which this more popular mode of election had almoft every where occafioned. In fo extenfive a country as Scotland, however, a tumult in a remote parifh was
not fo likely to give difturbance to government, $\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{P}$. as in a fmaller ftate. The roth of queen Anne reftored the rights of patronage. But though in Scotland the law gives the benefice without any exception to the perfon prefented by the patron; yet the church requires fometiones (for fhe has not in this refpect been very uniform in her decifions) a certain concurrence of the people, before fhe will confer upon the prefentee what is called the cure of fouls, or the ecclefiaftical jurifdiction in the parif. She fometimes at leaft, from an affected concern for the peace of the parifh, delays the fettlement till this concurrence can be procured. The private tampering of fome of the neigbouring clergy, fometimes to procure, but more frequently to prevent this concurrence, and the popular arts which they cultivate in order to enable them upon fuch occafions to tamper more effectually, are perhaps the caufes which principally keep up whatever remains of the old fanatical fpirit, eicher in the clergy or in the people of Scotland.
$\mathrm{T}_{\text {he }}$ equality which the prefbyterian form of church government eftablifhes among the clergy, confifts, firf, in the equality of authority or ecclefiaftical jurifdiction; and, fecondly, in the equality of benefice. In all prefbyterian churches the equality of authority is perfect: that of benefice is not fo. The difference, however, between one benefice and another, is feldom fo confiderable as commonly to tempt the poffeffor even of the finall one to pay court to his patron, by the vile arts of flattery and affentation, in

в o o $\mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{k}}$ order to get a better. In all the prefbyterian 'churches, where the rights of patronage are thoroughly eftablifhed, it is by nobler and better arts that the eftablifhed clergy in general endeavour to gain the favour of their fuperiors; by their learning, by the irreproachable regularity of their life, and by the faithful and diligent difcharge of their duty. Their patrons even frequently complain of the indepindency of their fpirit, which they are apt to conftrue into ingratitude for paft favours, but which at worft, perhaps, is feldom any more than that indifference which naturally arifes from the confcioufnefs that no further favours of the kind are ever to be expected. There is fcarce perbaps to be found any where in Europe a more learned, decent, independent, and refpectable fet of men, than the greater part of the prefbyterian clergy of Holland, Geneva, Switzerland, and Scotland.

Where the church benefices are all nearly equal, none of them can be very great, and this mediocrity of benefice, though it may no doubt be carried too far, has, however, fome very agreeble effects. Nothing but the moft exemplary morals can give dignity to a man of fmall fortune. The vices of levity and vanity neceffarily render him ridiculous, and are, befides, almoft as ruinous to him as they are to the common people. In his own conduct, therefore, he is obliged to follow that fyftem of morals which the common people refpect the moit. He gains their efteem and affection by that plan of life which his own intereft and fituation would lead him to follow.

The common people look upon him with that ${ }^{C}{ }_{\mathrm{H}}^{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{A} P$. kindnefs with which we naturally regard one who ' approaches fomewhat to our own condition, but who, we think, ought to be in a higher. Their kindnefs naturally provokes his kindnefs. He becomes careful to inftruct them, and attentive to affif and relieve them. He does not even defpife the prejudices of people who are difpofed to be fo favourable to him, and never treats them with thofe contemptuous and arrogant airs which we fo often meet with in the proud dignitaries of opulent and well-endowed churches. The prefbyterian clergy, accordingly, have more influence over the minds of the common people than perhaps the clergy of any other eftablinhed church. It is accordingly in prefbyterian countries only that we ever find the common people converted, without perfecution, completely, and almoft to a man, to the eftablifhed church.

In countries where church benefices are the greater part of them very moderate, a chair in a univerfity is generally a better eftablifhment than a church benefice. The univerfties have, in this cafe, the picking and chufing of their members from all the churchmen of the country, who, in every country, conftitute by far the moft numerous clafs of men of letters. Where church benefices, on the contrary, are many of them very confiderable, the church naturally draws from the univerfities the greater part of their eminent men of letters; who generally find fome patron who does himfelf honour by procuring them church preferment. In the former fituation we
sook are likely to find the univerfities filled with the moft eminent men of letters that are to be found in the country. In the latter we are likeily to find few eminent men among them, and thofe few among the youngeft members of the fociety, who are likely too to be drained away from it, before they can have acquired experience and knowledge enough to be of much ufe to it. It is obferved by Mr. de Voltaire, that farher Porrée, a jefuit of no great eminence in the republic of letters, was the only profeffor they had ever had in France whofe works were worth the reading. In a country which has produced fo many eminent men of letters, it mult appear fomewhat fingular that fcarce one of them fhould have been a profeffor in 2 univerfity. The famous Caffendi was, in the beginning of his life, a profeffor in the univerfity of Aix. Upon the firft dawning of his genius, it was reprefented to him, that by going into the church he could eafily find a much more quict and comfortable fubfiftence, as well as a better fituation for purfuing his ftudies; and he immediately followed the advice. The obfervation of Mr . de Voltaire may be applied, I believe, not only to France, but to all other Roman catholic countries. We very rarely find in any of them, an eminent man of letters who is a profeffor in a univerfity, except, perhaps, in the profeffions of law and phyfic; profeffions from which the church is not fo likely to draw them. After the church of Rome, that of England is by far the richeft and beft endowed church in Chriftendom. In England, accord-
ingly, the church is continually draining the $\mathbf{C H A P}$. univerfities of all their beft and ableft members; and an old college tutor, who is known and diftinguifhed in Europe as an eminent man of letters, is as rarely to be found there as in any Roman catholic country. In Geneva, on the contrary, in the proteftant cantons of Switzerland, in the proteitant countries of Germany, in Holland, in Scotland, in Sweden, and Denmark, the moft eminent men of letters whom thofe countries have produced, have, not all indeed, but the far greater part of them, been profefiors in univerfities. In thofe countries the univerfities are continually draining the church of all its molt eminent men of letters.

Ir may, perhaps, be worth while to remark, that, if we except the poets, a few orators, and a few hiftorians, the far greater part of the other eminent men of letters, both of Greece and Rome, appear to have been either public or private teachers; generally either of philofophy or of rhetoric. This remark will be found to hold true from the days of Lyfias and Ifocrates, of Plato and Ariftotle, down to thofe of Plutarch and EpiAtetus, of Suetonius and Quintilian. To impofe upon any man the neceffity of teaching, year after year, in any particular branch of fcience, feems, in reality, to be the moft effectual method for rendering him completely mafter of it himfelf. By being obliged to go every year over the fame ground, if he is good for any thing, he neceffarily becomes, in a few years, well acquainted with every part of it: and
mon if upon any particular point he fhould form hafty an opinion one year, when he comes in courfe of his lectures to re-confider the fame fubjeet the year thereafter, he is very likely to corredt it. As to be a teacher of fcience is certainly the natural employment of a mere man of letters; fo is it likewife, perhaps, the education which is moft likely to render him a man of folid learning and knowledge. The mediocrity of chureh benefices naturally tends to draw the greater part of men of letters in the country where it takes place, to the employment in which they can be the moft ufeful to the public, and, at the fame time, to give them the beft education, perhaps, they are capable of receiving. It tends to render their learning both as folid as poffible, and as ufeful as poffible.

The revenue of every eftablifhed church, fuch parts of it excepted as may arife from particular lands or manors, is a branch, it ought to be obferved, of the general revenue of the ftate, which is thus diverted to a purpore very different from the defence of the ftate. The tythe, for example, is a real land-tax, which puts it out of the power of the proprietors of land to contribute fo largely towards the defence of the ftate as they otherwife might be able to do. The rent of land, however, is, according to fome, the fole fund, and, according to others, the prineipal fund, from which, in all great monarchies, the exigencies of the ftate muft be uitimately fupplied. The more of this fund that is. given to the church, the lefs, it is evident, can be
fpared
fpared to the fate. It may be laid down at achap. certain maxim, that, all other things being fuppofed equal, the richer the church, the poorer mult neceffarily be, either the fovereign on the one hand, or the people on the other; and, in all cafes, the lefs able muft the ftate be to defend itfelf. In feveral proteftant countries, particularly in all the proteftant cantons of Switzerland, the revenue which anciently belonged to the Roman catholic church, the tythes and church lands, has been found a fund fufficient, not only to afford competent falaries to. the eftablifhed clergy, but to defray, with little or no addition, all the other expences of the ftate. The magiftrates of the powerful canton of Berne, in particular, have accumulated out of the favings from this fund a very large fum, fuppofed to amount to feveral millions, part of which is depofited in a public treafure, and part is placed at intereft in what are called the public funds of the different indebted nations of Europe; chiefly in thofe of France and Great Britain. What may be the amount of the whole expence which the church, either of Berne, or of any other proteftant canton, cofts the ftate, I do not pretend to know. By a very exact account it appears, that, in 1755, the whole revenue of the clergy of the church of Scotland, including their glebe or church lands, and the rent of their manfes or dwelling-houfes, eftimated according to a reafonable valuation, amounted only ta 68,5141 . rs. $5 \mathrm{~d} \cdot \frac{2}{12}$. This very moderate revenue

B o o $k$ venue affords a decent fubfiftence to nine hundred and forty-four minifters. The whole expence of the church, including what is occafionally laid out for the building and reparation of churches, and of the manfes of minifters, cannot well be fuppofed to exceed eighty or eighty-five thoufand pounds a-year. The moft opulent church in Chriftendom does not maintain better the uniformity of faith, the fervour of devotion, the fpirit of order, regularity, and auftere morals in the great body of the people, than this very poorly endowed church of Scotland. All the good effects, both civil and religious, which an eftablifhed church can be fuppofed to produce, are produced by it as completely as by any other. The greater part of the proteftant churches of Switzerland, which in general are not better endowed than the church of Scotland, produce thofe effects. in a ftill higher degree. In the greater part of the proteftant cantons, there is not a fingle perfon to be found who does not profefs himfelf to be of the eftablifhed church. If he profeffes himfelf to be of any other, indeed, the law obliges him to leave the canton. But fo fevere, or rather indeed fo oppreffive a law, could never have been executed in fuch free countries, had not the diligence of the clergy before-hand converted to the eftablifhed church the whole body of the people, with the exception of, perhaps, a few individuals only. In fome parts of Switzerland, accordingly, where, from the accidental union of a proteftant and Roman
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Roman catholic country, the converfion has not $\mathbf{C H} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{P}$. been fo complete, both religions are not only tolerated but eftablifhed by law.

The proper performance of every fervice feems to require that its pay or recompence fhould be, as exactly as poffible, proportioned to the nature of the fervice. If any fervice is very much under-paid, it is very apt to fuffer by the meannefs and incapacity of the greater part of thofe who are employed in it. If it is very much over-paid, it is apt to fuffer, perhaps, ftill more by their negligence and idlenefs. A man of a large revenue, whatever may be his profeffion, thinks he ought to live like other men of large revenues; and to fpend a great part of his time in feftivity, in vanity, and in diffipation. But in a clergyman this train of life not only confumes the time which ought to be employed in the duties of his function, but in the eyes of the common people deftroys almoft entirely that fanctity of character which can alone enable him to perform thofe duties with proper weight and authority.

## PARTIV.

Of the Expence of Jupporting the Dignity of the Sovereign.

0VER and above the expences neceffary for enabling the fovereign to perform his feveral duties, a certain expence is requifite for the fupport of his dignity. This expence varies both
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${ }^{B} O_{V}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ both with the different periods of improvement, 'and with the different forms of government.

In an opulent and improved fociety, where all the different orders of people are growing every day more expenfive in their houfes, in their furniture, in their tables, in their drefs, and in their equipage; it cannot well be expected that the fovereign fhould alone hold out againt the fafhion. He naturally, therefore, or rather neceffarily, becomes more expenfive in all thofe different articles too. His dignity even feems to require that he fhould become fo.

As in point of dignity, a monarch is more raifed above his fubjects than the chief magiftrate of any republic is ever fuppofed to be above his fellow-citizens; fo a greater expence is neceffary for fupporting that higher dignity. We naturally expect more fplendor in the court of a king, than in the manfion-houfe of a doge or burgo-mafter.

## Conclusion.

THE expence of defending the fociety, and that of fupporting the dignity of the chief magiftrate, are both laid out for the general benefit of the whole fociety. It is reafonable, therefore, that they fhould be defrayed by the general contribution of the whole fociety, all the different members contributing, as nearly as poffible, in proportion to their refpective abilities.

The expence of the adminiftration of juftice too, may, no doubt, be confidered as laid out for the benefit of the whole fociety. There is no
impropriety, therefore, in its being defrayed by $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{I}}$ A ${ }_{\mathrm{P}}$. the general: contribution of the whole fociety. $\underbrace{\text { (.) }}$ The perfons, however, who give occafion to this expence are thofe who, by their injuftice in one way or another, make it neceffary to feek redrefs or protection from the courts of juftice. The perfons again moft immediately benefited by this expence, he thole whom the courts of jutice either reftore to their rights, or maintain in their rights. The expence of the adminiftration of jultice, therefore, may very properly be defrayed by the particular contribution of one or other, or both of thofe two different fets of perfons, according as different occalions may require, that is, by the fees of court. It cannot be neceffary to have recourfe to the general contribution of the whole fociety, except for the conviction of thofe criminals who have not themfelves any eftate or fund fufficient for paying thofe fees.

Those local or provincial expences of which the benefit is local or provincial (what is laid out, for example, upon the police of a particular town or diftriet) ought to be defrayed by a local or provincial revenue, and ought to be no burden upon the general revenue of the fociety. It is unjutt that the whole fociety fhould contribute towards an expence of which the benefit is confined to a part of the fociety.

The expence of maintaining good roads and communications is, no doubt, beneficial to the whole fociety, and may, therefore, withoun any injutice, be defrayed by the general contribu-
b O OK tion of the whole fociety. This expence, howis moft immediately and directly beneficial to thofe who travel or carry goods from one place to another, and to thofe who confume fuch goods. The turnpike tolls in England, and the duties called peages in other countries, lay it altogether upon thofe two different fets of people, and thereby difcharge the general reverte of the fociety from a very confiderable burden.
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{HE}}$ expence of the inftitutions for education and religious inftruction, is likewife, no doubt, benefical to the whole fociety, and may, therefore, without injuftice, be defrayed by the general contribution of the whole fociety. This expence, however, might perhape with equal propriety, and even with fome advantage, be defrayed altogether by thofe who receive the immediate benefit of fuch education and inftruction, or by the voluntary contribution of thofe who think they have occafion for either the one or the other.

When the inflitutions or public works which are benefical to the whole fociety, either cannot be maintained altogether, or are not maintained altogether by the contribution of fuch particular members of the fociety as are moft immediately benefited by them, the deficiency muft in moft cafes be made up by the general contribution of the whole fociety. The general revenue of the fociety, over and above defraying the expence of defending the fociety, and of fupporting the dignity of the chief magiftrate; muft make up for
the deficiency of many particular branches of $\mathrm{CHAP}_{\mathrm{A}}$. revenue. The fources of this general or public revenue, I fhall endeavour to explain in the following chapter.

## C H A P. II.

Of the Sources of the general or public Revenue of the Society.

THE revenue which muft defray, not only the expence of defending the fociety and of fupporting the dignity of the chief magiftrate, but all the other neceffary expences of government, for which the conftitution of the ftate has not provided any particular revenus, may be drawn, either, firft, from fome fund which peculiarly belongs to the fovereign or commonwealth, and which is independent of the revenue of the people; or, fecondly, from the revenue of the people.
PAR'Г I.

Of the Funds or Sources of Revenue which may piculiarly belong to the Sovereign or Commonwealth.

THE funds or fources of revenue which may peculiarly belong to the fovereign or commonwealth mult confift, either in Itock, or in land.

R
The

Book The fovereign, like any other owner of ftock, may derive a revenue from it, either by employing it himfelf, or by lending it. His revenue is in the one cafe profit, in the other intereft.

The revenue of a Tartar or Arabian chief confifts in profit. It arifes principally from the milk and increafe of his own herds and flocks, of which he himfelf fuperintends the management, and is the principal fhepherd or herdfman of his own horde or tribe. It is, however, in this earlieft and rudeft ftate of civil government only that profit has ever made the principal part of the public revenue of a monarchical ftate.

Small republics have fometimes derived a confiderable revenue from the profit of mercantile projects. The republic of Hamburgh is faid to do fo from the profits of a public wine cellar and apothecary's fhop*. The ftate cannot be very great of which the fovereign has leifure to carry on the trade of a wine merchant or apothecary. The profit of a public bank has been a fource of revenue to more conifiderable ftates. It has been fo not only to Hamburgh, but to

[^5]Venice and Amfterdam. A revenue of this kind c н a p. has even by fome people been thought not below II. the attention of fo great an empire as that of Great Britain. Reckoning the ordinary dividend of the bank of England at five and a half per cent. and its capital at ten millions feven hundred and eighty thoufand pounds, the neat annual profit, after paying the expence of management, muft amount, it is faid, to five hundred and ninety-two thoufand nine hundred pounds. Government, it is pretended, could borrow this capital at three per cent. intereft, and by taking the management of the bank into its own hands, might make a clear profit of two hundred and fixty-nine thoufand five hundred pounds a-year. The orderly, vigilant, and parfimonious adminiftration of fuch ariftrocracies as thofe of Venice and Amfterdam, is extremely proper, it appears from experience, for the management of a mercantile project of this kind. But whether fuch a government as that of England; which, whatever may be its virtues, has never been famous for good œconomy; which, in time of peace, has generally conducted itfelf with the flothful and negligent profufion that is perhaps natural to monarchies; and in time of war has conftantly acted with all the thoughtlefs extravagance that democracies are apt to fall into; could be fafely trufted with the management of fuch a project, muft at leaft be a good deal more doubtful.

The poft-office is properly a mercantile project. .The government advances the expence of R 2
eftablifhing
i OOK eftablihing the different offices, and of buying or hising the neceffary horfes or carriages, and is repaid with a large profit by the duties upon what is carried. It is perhaps the only mercantile project which has been fuccefffully managed by, I believe, every fort of government. The capital to be advanced is not very confiderable. There is no myftery in the bufinefs. The returns are not only certain, but immediate.

Princes, however, have frequently engaged in many other mercantile projects, and have been willing, like private perfons, to mend their fortunes by becoming adventurers in the common branches of trade. They have fcarce ever fucceeded. The profufion with which the affairs of princes are always managed, renders it almoft impoffible that they fhould. The agents of a prince regard the wealth of their mafter as inexhauftible; are carelefs at what price they buy; are carelefs at what price they fell; are carelefs at what expence they tranfport his goods from one place to another. Thofe agents frequently live with the profufion of princes, and fometimes too, in fpite of that profufion, and by a proper method of making up their accounts, acquire the fortunes of princes. It was thus, as we are told by Machiavel, that the agents of Lorenzo of Medicis, not a prince of mean abilities, carried on his trade. The republic of Florence was feveral times obliged to pay the debt into which their extravagance had involved him. He found it convenient, accordingly, to give up the bufinefs of merchant, the bufinefs
to which his family had originally owed their $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{A}$. P . fortune, and in the latter part of his life to employ both what remained of that fortune, and the revenue of the ftate of which he had the difpofal, in projects and expences more fuitable to his ftation.

No two characters feem more inconfiftent than thofe of trader and fovereign. If the trading fpirit of the Englifh Eaft India company renders them very bad fovereigns; the fpirit of fovereignty feems to have rendered them equally bad traders. While they were traders only they managed their trade fuccefsfully, and were able to pay from their profits a moderate dividend to the proprietors of their ftock. Since they became fovereigns, with a revenue which, it is faid, was originally more than three millions fterling, they have been obliged to beg the ordinary affilance of government in order to avoid immediate bankruptcy. In their former fituation, their fervants in India confidered themfelves as the clerks of merchants: in their prefent fituation, thofe fervants confider themfelves as the minifters of fovereigns.

A state may fometimes derive fome part of its public revenue from-the intereft of money, as well as from the profits of ftock. If it has amafled a "treafure, it may lend a part of that treafure, either to foreign ftates, or to its own fubjects.

The canton of Berne derives a confiderable revenue by lending a part of its treafure to foreign ftates; that is, by placing it in the public funds of the different indebted nations of Eu-
$\mathrm{R}_{3}$
rope,
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B ook rope, chiefly in thofe of France and England. The fecurity of this revenue muft depend, firft, upon the fecurity of the funds in which it is placed, or upon the good faith of the government which has the management of them; and, fecondly, upon the certainty or probability of the continuance of peace with the debtor nation. In the cafe of a war, the very firft act of hoftility, on the part of the debtor nation, might be the forfeiture of the funds of its creditor. This policy of lending money to foreign ftates is, fo far as I know, peculiar to the canton of Berne.

THE city of Hamburgh * has eftablifhed a fort of public pawn-fhop, which lends money to the fubjects of the ftate upon pledges at fix per cent. intereft. This pawn-fhop or Lombard, as it is called, affords a revenue, it is pretended, to the fate of a hundred and fifty thoufand crowns, which, at four-and-fixpence the crown, amounts to 33,750 . fterling.

The government of Pennfylvania, without amaffing any treafure, invented a method of lending, not money indeed, but what is equivalent to money, to its fubjects. By advancing to private people, at interef, and upon land fecurity to double the value, paper bills of credit to be redeemed fifteen years after their date, and on the mean time made transferrable from hand to hand like bank notes, and declared by act of affembly to be a legal tender in all payments from one inhabitant of the province to another, it

[^6]raifed a moderate revenue, which went a сна $\mathbf{\text { a }}$ fiderable way towands defraying an annual ex- $\underbrace{\text { II. }}$ pence of about $4,500 \mathrm{l}$. the whole ordinary expence of that frugal and orderly government. The fuccefs of an expedient of this kind muft have depended upon three different circumftances; firft, upon the demand for fome other inftrument of commerce, befides gold and filver money; or upon the demand for fuch a quantity of confumable ftock; as could not be had without fending abroad the grearer part of their gold and filver money, in order to purchafe it; fecondly, upon the good credit of the government which made ufe of this expedient; and, thirdly, upon the moderation with which it was ufed, the whole value of the paper bills of credit never exceeding that of the gold and filver money which would have been neceffary for carrying on their circulation, had there been no paper bills of credit. The fame expedient was upon different occafions adopted by feveral other American colonies: but, from want of this moderation, it produced, in the greater part of them, much more diforder than conveniency.

The unftable and perifhable nature of ftock and credit, however, render them unfir to be trutted to, as the principal funds of that fure, fteady and permanent revenue, which can alone give fecurity and dignity to government. The government of no great nation, that was advanced beyond the Chepherd ftate, feems ever to have deriyed the greater part of its public revenue from fint fources.
book Land is a fund of a more flable and permanent nature; and the rent of public lands, accordingly, has been the principal fource of the public revcnue of many a great nation that was much advanced beyond the fhepherd flate. From the produce or rent of the public lands, the ancient republics of Greece aad Italy de. rived, for a long time, the greater part of that revenue which defrayed the neceffary expences of the commonwealth. The rent of the crown lands confituted for a long time the greater part of the revenue of the ancient fovereigns of Europe.

War, and the preparation for war, are the two ci cumftances which in modern times occafion the greater part of the neceffary expence of all great tates. But in the ancient republics of Greece and Italy every citizen was a foldier, who both ferved and prepared himfelf for fervice at his own expence. Neither of thofe two circumftances, therefore, could occafion any very confiderable expence to the ftate. The rent of a very moderate landed eftate might be fully fufficient for defraying all the other neceffary expences of government.

In the ancient monarchies of Europe, the manners and cuftoms of the times fufficiently prepared the great body of the people for war; and when they took the field, they were, by the condition of their feudal tenures, to be maintained, either at their own expence, or at that of their immediate lords, without bringing any new charge upon the fovereign. The other ex-
pences of government were, the greater part of ${ }^{C}{ }_{11}{ }_{11}{ }^{\text {A }}$. them, very moderate. The adminiftration of jutice, it has been hown, inftead of being a caufe of expence, was a fource of revenue. The labour of the country people, for three days beíore and for three days after harveft, was thought a fund fufficient for making and maintaining all the bridges, highways, and other public works, which the commerce of the country was fuppoled to require. In thole days the principal expence of the fovereign feems to have confifted in the maintenance of his own family and houfhold. The officers of his houfhold, accordingly, were then the great officers of ftate. The lord treafurer received his rents. The lord fteward and lord chamberlain looked after the expence of his family. The care of his ftables was committed to the lord conftable and the lord marhal. His houfes were all built in the form of caftles, and feem to have been the principal fortreffes which he poffeffed. The keepers of thofe houfes or caftles might be confidered as a fort of military governors. They feem to have been the only military officers whom it was neceffary to maintain in time of peace. In thefe circumftances the rent of a great landed eftate might, upon ordinary occafions, very well defray'all the neceffary expences of government.

In the prefent ftate of the greater part of the civilized monarchies of Europe, the rent of all the lands in the country, managed as they probably would be if they all belonged to one proprietor, would fcarce perhaps amount to the or-
oo K dinary revenue which they levy upon the people leven in peaceable times. The ordinary revenue of Great Britain, for example, including not only what is neceffary for defraying the current expence of the year, but for paying the intereft of the public debts, and for finking a part of the capital of thofe debts, amounts to upwards of ten millions a year. But the land tax, at four fhillings in the pound, falls fhort of two millions a year. This land tax, as it is called, however, is fuppofed to be one-fifth, not only of the rent of all the land, but of that of all the houfes, and of the intereft of all the capital ftock of Great Britain, that part of it only excepted which is either lent to the public, or employed as farming ftock in the cultivation of land. A. very confiderable part of the produce of this tax arifes from the rent of houfes, and the intereft of capital ftock. The land tax of the city of London, for example, at four fhillings in the pound, amounts to $123,399 \mathrm{l} .6 \mathrm{~s} .7 \mathrm{~d}$. That of the city of Weftminfter, to $63,092 \mathrm{l}: 1 \mathrm{~s} .5 \mathrm{~d}$. That of the palaces of Whitehall and St. James's, to 30,754 l. 6 s .3 d . A certain proportion of the land tax is in the fame manner affeffed upon all the other cities and towns corporate in the kingdom, and arifes almolt altogether, either from the rent of houfes; or from what is fuppofed to be the intereft of trading and capital ftock. According to the eftimation, therefore, by which Great Britain is rated to the land-tax, the whole mafs of revenue arifing from the rent of all the lands; from that of all the houles, and from the
intereft of all the capital ftock that part of it C map. only excepted which is either lent to the pub-, lic, or employed in the cultivation of land, does not exceed ten millions fterling a year, the ordinary revenue which government levies upon the people even in peaceable times. The eftimation by which Great Britain is rated to the land tax is, no doubt, taking the whole kingdom at an average, very much below the real value; though in feveral particular counties and diftricts it is faid to be nearly equal to that value. The rent of the lands alone, exclufive of that of houfes, and of the intereft of flock, has by many people been eftimated at twenty millions, an eftimation made in a great meafure at random, and which, I apprehend, is as likely to be above as below the truth. But if the lands of Great Britain, in the prefent fate of their cultivation, do not afford a rent of more than twenty millions a year, they could not well afford the half, moft probably not the fourth part of that rent, if they all belonged to a fingle proprietor, and were put under the negligent, expenfive, and oppreffive management of his factors and agents. The crown lands of Great Britain do not at prefent afford the fourth part of the rent, which could probably be drawn from them if they were the property of private perfons. If the crown lands were more extenfive, it is probable they would be ftill worfe managed.

The revenue which the great body of the people derives from land is in proportion, not
sook to the rent, but to the produce of the land. The whole annual produce of the land of every country, if we except what is referved for feed, is either annually confumed by the great body of the people, or exchanged for fomething elfe that is confumed by them. Whatever keeps down the produce of the land below what it would otherwife rife to, keeps down the revenue of the great body of the people, fill more than it does that of the proprietors of land. The rent of land, that portion of the produce which belongs to the proprietors, is fcarce anywhere in Great Britain fuppofed to be more than a third part of the whole produce. If the land which in one ftate of cultivation affords a rent of ten millions fterling a year, would in another afford a rent of twenty millions; the rent being, in both cales, fuppofed a third part of the produce; the revenue of the proprietors would be lefs than it otherwife might be by ten millions a year only; but the revenue of the great body of the people would be lefs than it otherwife might be by thirty millions a year, deducting only what would be neceffary for feed. The population of the country would be lefs by the number of people which thirty millions a year, deducting always the feed, could maintain, according to the particular mode of living and expence which might take place in the different ranks of men among whom the remainder was diftributed.

Though there is not at prefent, in Europe, any civilized ftate of any kind which derives the
greater part of its public revenue from the rent $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{A} \mathrm{P}^{\text {² }}$ of lands which are the property of the ftate; yer, in all the great monarchies of Europe, there are ftill many large tracts of land which belong to the crown. They are generally foreft; and fometimes foreft where, after travelling feveral miles, you will farce find a fingle tree; a mere wafte and lofs of country in refpect both of produce and population. In every great monarchy of Europe the fale of the crown lands would produce a very large fum of money, which, if applied to the payment of the public debts, would deliver from morgage a much greater revenue than any, which thofe lands have ever afforded to the crown. In countries where lands, improved and culcivated very highly, and yielding at the time of fale as great a rent as can eafily be got from them, commonly fell at thirty years purchafe; the unimproved, uncultivated, and low-rented crown lands might well be expected to fell at forty, fifty, or fixty years purchafe. The crown might immediately enjoy the revenue which this great price would redeem from mortgage. In the courfe of a few years it would probably enjoy another revenue. When the crown lands had become private property, they would, in the courfe of a few years, become well-improved and well-cultivated. The increafe of their produce would increate the population of the country, by augmenting the revenue and confumption of the people. But the revenue which the crown derives from the duties of cuitoms and excile, woud neceffarily increafe

Book increafe with the revenue and confumption of the people.

The revenue, which, in any civilized monarchy, the crown derives from the crown lands $s_{3}$ though it appears to coft nothing to individuals, in reality cofts more to the fociety than perhaps any other equal revenue which the crown enjoys. It would, in all cafes, be for the intereft of the fociety to replace this revenue to the crown by fome other equal revenue, and to divide the lands among the people, which could not well be done better, perhaps, than by expofing them to public fale.

Lands, for the purpofes of pleafure and magnificence, parks, gardens, public walks, \&c. poffeffions which are every where confidered as caufes of expence, not as fources of revenue, feem to be the only lands which, in a great and civilized monarchy, ought to belong to the crown.

Public ftock and public lands, therefore, the two fources of revenue which may peculiarly belong to the fovereign or commonwealth, being both improper and infufficient funds for defraying the neceflary expence of any great and civilized ftate; it remains that this expence muft, the greater part of it, be defrayed by taxes of one kind or another; the people contributing a part of their own private revenue in order to make up a public revenue to the fovereign or commonwealth,

## Of Taxes.

THE private revenue of individuals, it has been fhewn in the firft book of this Inquiry, arifes ultimately from three different fources; Rent, Profit, and Wages. Every tax muft finally be paid from fome one or other of thofe three different forts of revenue, or from all of them indifferently. I fhall endeavour to give the beft account I can, firft, of thofe taxes which, it is intended, fhould fall upon rent; fecondly , of thofe which, it is intended, fhould fall upon profit; thirdly, of thofe which, it is intended, fhould fall upon wages; and, fourthly, of thofe which, it is intended, fhould fall indifferently upon all thofe three different fources of private revenue. The particular confideration of each of thefe four different forts of taxes will divide the fecond part of the prefent chapter into four articles, three of which will require feveral other fubdivifions. Many of thofe taxes, will appear from the following review, are not finally paid from the fund, or fource of revenue, upon which it was intended they fhould fall.

Before I enter upon the examination of particular taxes, it is neceffary to premife the four following maxims with regard to taxes in general.
I. The fubjects of every fate ought to contribute towards the fupport of the government; as nearly as poffible, in proportion to their refpective abilities; that is, in proportion to the

B o o $k$ revenue which they refpectively enjoy under the protection of the ftate. The expence of government to the individuals of a great nation, is like the expence of management to the joint-tenants of a great eftate, who are all obliged to contribute in proportion to their refpective interefts in the eftate. In the obfervation or neglect of this maxim confifts, what is called the equality or inequality of taxation. Every tax, it muft be obferved once for all, which falls finally upon one only of the three forts of revenue abbve mentioned, is neceffarily unequal, in fo far as it does not affect the other two. In the following examination of different taxes I fhall feldom take much further notice of this fort of inequality, but fhall, in moft cafes, confine my obfervations to that inequality which is occafioned by a particular tax falling unequally upon that parof private revenue which is affected
tax which each individual is bound to pay ought to be certain, and not arbitrary. The time of payment, the manner of payment, the quantity to be paid, ought all to be clear and plain to the contributor, and to every other perfon. Where it is otherwife, every perfon fubject to the tax is put more or lefs in the power of the rax-gatherer, who can either aggravate the tax upon any obnoxious contributor, or extort, by the terror of fuch aggravation, fome prefent or perquifite to himfelf. The uncertainty of taxation encourages the infolence and favours the corruption of an order of men who are naturally un-
popular, even where they are neither infolent nor ${ }^{C} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{A}$ corrupt. The certainty of what each individual ought to pay is, in taxation, a matter of fo great importance, that a very confiderable degree of inequality, it appears, I believe, from the experience of all nations, is not near fo great an evil as a very fmall degree of uncertainty.
III. Every tax ought to be levied at the time, or in the manner, in which it is moft likely to be convenient for the contributor to pay it. A tax upon the rent of land or of houfes, payable at the fame term at which fuch rents are ufually paid, is levied at the time when it is moft likely to be convenient for the contributor to pay; or, when he is moft likely to have wherewithal to pay. Taxes upon fuch confumable goods as are articles of luxury, are all finally paid by the confumer, and generally in a manner that is very convenient for him. He pays them by littie and little, as he has occafion to buy the goods. As he is at liberty too, either to buy, or not to buy, as he pleafes, it muft be his own fault if he ever fuffers any confiderable inconveniency from fuch taxes.
IV. Every tax ought to be fo contrived as both to take out and to keep out of the pockets of the people as little as poffible, over and above what it brings into the public treafury of the state. A tax may either take out or keep out of the pockets of the people a great deal more than it brings into the public rreafury, in the four following ways. Firft, the levying of it may require a great number of officers, whofe falaries may eat up the greater part of the produce of the Vol. III. S tax,
oo V tax, and whofe perquifites may impofe another additional tax upon the people. Secondly, it may obftruct the induftry of the people, and difcourage them from applying to certain branches of bulinefs which might give maintenance and employment to great multitudes. While it obliges the people to pay, it may thus diminifh, or perhaps deftroy, fome of the funds which might enable them more eaffly to do fo. Thirdly, by the forfeitures and other penalties which thofe unfortunate individuals incur who attempt unfuccefsfully to evade the tax, it may frequently ruin them, and thereby put an end to the benefit which the community might have received from the employment of their capitals. An injudicious tax offers a great temptation to fmuggling. But the penalties of fmuggling muft rife in proportion to the temptation. The law, contrary to all the ordinary principles of juftice, firf creates the temptation, and then punifhes thofe who yield to it; and it commonly enhances the punifhment too in proportion to the very circumftance which ought certainly to alleviate it, the temptation to commit the crime*. Fourthly, by fubjecting the people to the frequent vifits and the odious examination of the tax-gatherers, it may expofe them to much unneceffary trouble, vexation, and oppreffion; and though vexation is not, ftrictly fpeaking, expence, it is certainly equivalent to the expence at which every man would be willing to redeem himfelf from it. It is in fome one or other of thefe four different ways that taxes

[^7]are frequently fo much more burdenfome to the ${ }^{C H} H^{\text {A }}$. people than they are beneficial to the foversign.

The evident juftice and utility of the foregoing maxims have recommended them more or lefs to the attention of all nations. All nations have endeavoured, to the beft of their judgment, to render their taxes as equal as they could contrive; as certain, as convenient to the contributor, both in the time and in the mode of payment, and in proportion to the revenue which they brought to the prince, as little burdenfome to the people. The following fhort review of fome of the principal taxes which have taken place in different ages and countries will fhow, that the endeavours of all nations have not in this refpect been equally fuccefsful.

> ARTicle I.

Taxes upon Rent. Taxes upon the Rent of Land.
A tax upon the rent of land may either be impofed according to a certain canon, every diltrit being valued at a certain rent, which valuation is not afterwards to be altered; or it may be impofed in fuch a manner as to vary with every variation in the real rent of the land, and to rife or fall with the improvement or declenfion of its cultivation.

A land tax which, like that of Great Britain, is affeffed upon each diftrict according to a certain invariable canon, though it fhould be equal at the time of its firlt eftablifhment, neceffarily becomes unequal in procels of time, according
${ }^{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{0} \mathbf{0} \mathbf{v}$. ${ }^{\mathbf{K}}$ to the unequal degrees of improvement or neglect 'in the cultivation of the different parts of the country. In England, the valuation according to which the different counties and parifhes were affeffed to the land-tax by the 4 th of William and Mary, was very unequal even at its firf eftablifhment. This tax, therefore, fo far offends againft the firft of the four maxims above-mentioned. It is perfectly agreeable to the other three. It is perfectly certain. The time of payment for the tax, being the fame as that for the rent, is as convenient as it can be to the contributor. Though the landlord is in all cafes the real contributor, the tax is commonly advanced by the tenant, to whom the landlord is obliged to allow it in the payment of the rent. This tax is levied by a much fimaller number of officers than any other which affords nearly the fame revenue. As the tax upon each diftrict does not rife with the rife of the rent, the fovereign does not fhare in the profits of the landlord's improve. ments. Thofe improvements fometimes contribute, indeed, to the difcharge of the other landlords of the diffrict. But the aggravation of the tax, which this may fometimes occafion upon a particular eftate, is always fo very fmall, that it never can difcourage thofe improvements, nor keep down the produce of the land below what it would otherwife rife to. As it has no tendency to diminih the quantity, it can have none to raife the price of that produce. It does not obftruct the induftry of the people. It fubjects the landlord to no other inconveniency befides the unavoidable one of paying the tax.

The advantage, however, which the landlord C $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}$ a has derived from the invariable conftancy of the valuation by which all the lands of Great-Britain are rated to the land-tax, has been principally owing to fome circumftances altogether extraneous to the nature of the tax.

Ir has been owing in part to the great profperity of almoft every part of the country, the rents of almoft all the eftates of Great- Britain having, fince the time when this valuation was firf eftablifhed, been continually rifing, and farce any of them having fallen. The landlords, therefore, have almoft all gained the difference between the tax which they would have paid, according to the prefent rent of their eftates, and that which they actually pay according to the ancient valuation. Had the ftate of the country been different, had rents been gradually falling in confequence of the declenfion of cultivation, the landlords would almoft all have loft this difference. In the ftate of things which has happened to take place fince the revolution, the conftancy of the valuation has been advantageous to the landlord and hurtful to the fovereign. In a different ftate of things it might have been advantageous to the fovereign and hurtful to the landlord.

As the tax is made payable in money, fo the valuation of the land is expreffed in money. Since the eftablifhment of this valuation the value of filver has been pretty uniform, and there has been no alteration in the ftandard of the coin either as to weight or finenefs. Had filver rifen confiderably in its value, as it feems to have done

B o o $K$ in the courfe of the two centuries which preceded the difcovery of the mines of America, the conftancy of the valuation might have proved very oppreffive to the landlord. Had filver fallen confiderably in its value, as it certainly did for about a century at lealt after the difcovery of thofe mines, the fame conitancy of valuation would have reduced very much this branch of the revenue of the fovereign. Had any confiderable alteration been made in the ftandard of the money, either by finking the fame quantity of filver to a lower denomination, or by raifing it to a higher; had an ounce of filver, for example, inftead of being coined into five fhillings and twopence, been coined, either into pieces which bore fo low a denomination as two fhillings and fevenpence, or into pieces which bore fo high a one as ten fhillings and fourpence, it would in the one cafe have hurt the revenue of the proprietor, in the other that of the fovereign.

In circumftances, therefore, fomewhat different from thofe which have actually taken place, this conftancy of valuation might have been a very great inconveniency, either to the contributors, or to the commonwealth. In the courte of ages fuch circumftances, however, muft, at fome time or other, happen. But though ernpires, like all the other works of men, have all hitherto proved mortal, yet every empire aims at immortality. Every conftitution, therefore, which it is meant fhould be as permanent as the empire itfelf, ought to be convenient, not in critain circumftances only, but in all circumftances;
or ought to be fuited, not to thofe circumftances $\mathbf{C}$ н $A$ A. which are tranfitory, occafional, or accidental, but to thofe which are neceffary, and therefore always the fame.

A tax upon the rent of land which varies with every variation of the rent, or which rifes and falls according to the improvement or neglect of cultivation, is recommended by that fect of men of letters in France, who call themfelves the œconomifts, as the moft equitable of all taxes. All taxes, they pretend, fall ultimately upon the rent of land, and ought therefore to be impofed equally upon the fund which mult finally pay them. That all taxes ought to fall as equally as poffible upon the fund which mult finally pay them, is certainly true. But without entering into the difagreeable difcuffion of the metaphyfical arguments by which they fupport their very ingenious theory, it will fufficiently appear, from the following review, what are the taxes which fall finally upon the rent of the land, and what are thofe which fall finally upon lome other fund.

In the Venetian territory all the arable lands which are given in leafe to farmers are taxed at a tenth of the rent*. The leafes are recorded in a public regifter which is kept by the officers of revenue in each province or diftrict. When the proprietor cultivates his own lands, they are valued according to an equitable eftimation, and he is allowed a deduction of one-fifth of the tax, fo that for fuch lands he pays only eight inftead of ten per cent. of the fuppofed rent.

* Memoires concernant lee Droíts, p. 240, 24r.

$$
S_{4} \text { ALAND- }
$$

B O O K A LAND-TAX of this kind is certainly more requal than the land-tax of England. It might not, perhaps, be altogether fo certain, and the affeffment of the tax might frequently occafion a good deal more trouble to the landlord. It might too be a good deal more expenfive in the levying.

Such a fyftem of adminiftration, however, might perhaps be contrived as would, in a great meafure, both prevent this uncertainty and moderate this expence.

The landlord and tenant, for example, might jointly be obliged to record their leafe in a public regitter. Proper penalties might be enacted againft concealing or mifreprefenting any of the conditions; and if part of thofe penalties were to be paid to either of the two parties who informed againlt and convicted the other of fuch concealment or mifreprefentation, it would effectually deter them from combining together in order to defraud the public revenue. All the con_ ditions of the leafe might be fufficiently known from fuch a record.

Some landlords, inftead of raifing the rent, take a fine for the renewal of the leafe. This practice is in moft cafes the expedient of a fpendthrift, who for a fum of ready money fells a future revenue of much greater value. It is in moft cafes, therefore, hurtful to the landlord. It is frequently hurtful to the tenant, and it is always hurtful to the community. It frequently takes from the tenant fo great a part of his capital, and thereby diminifhes fo much his ability to cultivate the land, that he finds it more difficult
difficult to pay a fmall rent than it would other- C Hap. wife have been to pay a great one. Whatever diminifhes his ability to cultivate, neceffarily keeps down, below what it would otherwife have been, the moft important part of the revenue of the community. By rendering the tax upon fuch fines a good deal heavier than upon the ordinary rent, this hurtful practice might be difcouraged, to the no fmall advantage of all the different parties concerned, of the landlord, of the tenant, of the fovereign, and of the whole community.

Some leafes prefcribe to the tenant a certain mode of cultivation, and a certain fucceffion of crops during the whole continuance of the lealeThis condition, which is generaily the effect of the landlord's conceit of his own foperior knowledge (a conceit in moft cafes very ill founded), ought always to be confidered as an additional rent, as a rent in fervice inftead of a rent in money. In order to difcourage the practice, which is generally a foolifh one, this fpecies of rent might be valued rather high, and confequently taxed fomewhat higher than common money rents.

Some landlords, inftead of a rent in money, require a rent in kind, in corn, cattle, poultry, wine, oil, \&xc. others again require a rent in fervice. Such rents are always more burtful to the tenant than beneficial to the landlord. They either take more or keep more out of the pocket of the former, than they put into, that of the latter. In every country where they take place,
bon $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{K}$ the tenants are poor and beggarly, pretty much laccording to the degree in which they take place. By valuing, in the fame manner, fuch rents ra. ther high, and confequently taxing them fomewhat higher than common money rents, a practice which is hurtful to the whole community might perhaps be fufficiently dilcouraged.

When the landlord chofe to occupy himfelf a part of his own lands, the rent might be valued according to an equitable arbitration of the farmers and landlords in the neighbourhood, and a moderate abatement of the tax might be granted to him, in the fame manner as in the Venetian territory; provided the rent of the lands which he occupied did not exceed a certain fum. It is of importance that the landlord fhould be encouraged to cultivate a part of his own land. His capital is generally greater than that of the tenant, and with lefs akill he can frequently raife a greater produce. The landlord can afford to try experiments, and is generally difpofed to do fo. His unfuccefsful experiments occafion only a moderate lofs to himfelf. His fuccefsful ones contribute to the improvement and better cultivation of the whole country. It might be of importance, however, that the abatement of the tax fhould encourage him to cultivate to a certain extent only. If the landlords fhould, the greater part of them, be tempted to farm the whole of their own lands, the country (initead of fober and induftrious zenants, who are bound by their own interelt to collivate as well as their capital and fleill will
 fligate bailiffs, whofe abufive management would foon degrade the cultivation, and reduce the annual produce of the land, to the diminution, not only of the revenue of their mafters, but of the moft important part of that of the whole fos ciety.

Such a fyftem of adminiftration might, perhaps, free a tax of this kind from any degree of uncertainty which could occafion either oppreffion or inconveniency to the contributor; and might at the fame time ferve to introduce into the common management of land fuch a plan or policy, as might contribute a good deal to the general improvement and good cultivation of the country.

The expence of levying a land-tax, which varied with every variation of the rent, would no doubt be fomewhat greater than that of levying one which was always rated according to a fixed valuation. Some additional expence would neceffarily be incurred both by the different regifter offices which it would be proper to eftablifh in the different diftricts of the country, and by the different valuations which might occafionally be made of the lands which the proprietor chofe to occupy himfelf. The expence of all this, however, might be very moderate, and much below what is incurred in the levying of many other taxes, which afford a very inconfiderable revenue in comparifon of what might eafly be drawn from a tax of this kind.

## THE NATURE AND CAUSES OF

Book The difcouragement which a variable land -tax of , this kind might give to the improvement of land, feems to be the mof important objection which can be made to it. The landlord would certainly be lefs difpofed to improve, when the fovereign, who contributed nothing to the expence, was to fhare in the profit of the improvement. Even this objection might perhaps be obviated by allowing the landlord, before he began his improvement, to afcertain, in conjunction with the officers of revenue, the actual value of his lands, according to the equitable arbitration of a certain number of landlords and firmers in the neighbourhood, equally chofen by both parties; and by rating him according to this valuation for fuch a number of years, as mighe be folly fufficient for his complete indemnification. To draw the attention of the tuvereign cowards the improvement of the land, from a regard to the increale of his own revenue, is one of the principal advantages propofed by this fecies of land-tax. The term, therefore, allowed for the indemnification of the landlord, ought not to be a great deal longer than what was neceffary for that purpofe; left the remotenefs of the intereft hould difcourage too much this attention. It had better, however, be fomewhat too long than in any refpect too hort. No incitement to the attention of the fovereign can ever counterbalance the fmalleft difcouragement to that of the landlord. The attention of the fovereign can be at beft but a very general and vague confideration of what is likely to contri-
bute to the better cultivation of the greater $\operatorname{part} \mathrm{CH} A \mathrm{P}$. of his dominions. The attention of the landlord is a particular and minute confideration of what is likely to be the moft advantageous application of every inch of ground upon his eftate. The principal attention of the fovereign ought to be to encourage, by every means in his power, the attention both of the landlord and of the farmer; by allowing both to purfue their own intereft in their own way, and according to their own judgment ; by giving to both the moft perfect fecurity that they fhall enjoy the full recompence of their own indultry; and by procuring to both the mof extenfive market for every pare of their produce, in confequence of eftablifhing the eafieft and fafeft communications both by land and by water, through cvery part of his own dominions, as well as the molt unbounded freedom of exportation to the dominions of all other princes.

If by fuch a fyftem of adminiftration a tax of this kind could be fo managed as to give, not only no difcouragement, but, on the contrary, fome encouragement to the improvement of land, it does not appear likely to occalion any other inconveniency to the landlord, cacept always the unavoidable one of being obliged to pry the tax.

In all the variations of the tate of the fociety, in the improvement and in the declenfion of agriculture; in all the variations in the value of filver, and in all thofe in the ftandard of the coin, a tax of this kind would, of its own accord and without any atcention of government, readily fuit

в о о к fuit itelf to the actual fituation of things, and
V. , would be equally juft and equitable in all thofe different changes. It would, therefore, be much more proper to be eftablifhed as a perpetual and unalterable regulation, or as what is called a fundamental law of the commonwealth, than any tax which was always to be levied according to a certain valuation.

Some ftates, inftead of the fimple and obvious expedient of a regifter of leafes, have had recourfe to the laborious and expenfive one of an actual furvey and valuation of all the lands in the country. They have fufpected, probably, that the leffor and leffee, in order to defrand the public revenue, might combine to conceal the real terms of the leafe. Doomfday-book feems to have been the refult of a very accurate furvey of this kind.

Is the ancient dominions of the king of Pruflia, the land-tax is affeffed according to an aftual furvey and valuation, which is reviewed and altered from time to time*. According to that valuation, the lay proprietors pay from twenty to twenty-five per cent. of their revenue. Ecclefiaftics from forty to forty-five per cent. The furvey and valuation of Silefia was made by order of the prefent king; it is faid with great accuracy. According to that valuation, the lands belonging to the bifhop of Breflaw are taxed at twenty-five per cent. of their rent. The

[^8]other revenues of the ecclefiaftics of both rehi- С $\mathbf{C A}$. gions, at fifty per cent. The commanderies of , the Teutonic order, and of that of Malta, at forty per cent. Lands held by a noble tenure, at thirty-eight and one third per cent. Lands held by a bafe tenure, at thirty-five and one-third per cent.

The furvey and valuation of Bohemia is faid to have been the work of more than a hundred years. It was not perfected till after the peace of 1748 , by the orders of the prefent emprefs queen *. The furvey of the dutchy of Milan, which was begun in the time of Charles VI. was not perfected till after 1760 . It is efteemed one of the moft accurate that has ever been made. The furvey of Savoy and Piedmont was executed under the orders of the late king of Sardinia $\dagger$.

In the dominions of the king of Prufia the revenue of the church is taxed much higher than that of lay proprietors. The revenue of the church is, the : greater part of it, a burden upon the rent of land. It feldom happens that any part of it is applied towards the improve. ment of land; or is fo employed as to contribute in any refpect towards increaling the revenue of the great body of the people. His Pruffian majefty had probably, upon that account, thought it reafonable, that it thould contribute a good deal more towards relieving the exigencies

[^9]
## THE NATURE AND CAUSES OF

Bon of the ftate. In fome countries the lands of the church are exempted from all taxes. In others they are taxed more lightly than other lands. In the dutchy of Milan, the lands which the church poffeffed before 1575, are rated to the tax at a third only of their value.

In Silefia, lands held by a noble tenure are taxed three per cent. higher than thofe held by a bafe tenure. The honours and privileges of different kinds annexed to the former, his Pruffian majefty had probably imagined, would fufficiently compenfate to the proprietor a fimall aggravation of the tax; while at the fame time the humiliating inferiority of the latter would be in fome meafure alleviated by being taxed fomewhat more lightly. In other countries, the fyftem of taxation, inftead of afleviating, aggravates this inequality. In the dominions of the king of Sardinia, and in thofe provinces of France which are fubject to what is called the real or predial taille, the tax falls altogether upon the lands held by a bafe tenure. Thofe held by a noble one are exempted.

A land-tax affeffed according to a general furvey and valuation, how equal foever it may be ar firft, muft, in the courfe of a very moderate period of time, become unequal. To prevent its becoming fo, would require the continual and painful attention of government to all the variations in the ftate and produce of every different farm in the country. The governments of Pruffia, of Bohemia, of Sardinia, and of the dutchy of Milan, actually exert an attention of
this kind; an attention fo unfuitable to the na- снA․ ture of government, that it is not likely to be of long continuance, and which, if it is continued, will probably in the long-run occafion much more trouble and vexation than it can poffibly bring re-lief to the contributors.

In 1666, the generality of Montauban was affeffed to the Real or predial tallie according, it is faid, to a very exact furvey and valuation*. By 1727, this affeffment had become altogether unequal. In order to remedy this inconveniency, government has found no better expedient than to impofe upon the whole generality an additional tax of a hundred and twenty thoufand livres. This additional tax is rated upon all the different ditricas fubject to the tallie according to the old affefment. But it is levied only upon thofe which in the actual fate of things are by that affeffment under-taxed, and it is applied to the relief of thofe which by the fame affeiment are over-taxed. Two diftricts, for example, one of which ought in the actual tate of things to be taxed at nine hundred, the other at eleven hundred livres, are by the old affefiment both taxed at a thoufand livres. Both thele diftricts are by the additional tax rated at cleven hundred livres each. But this additional tax is levied only upon the diftri\&t under-charged, and it is applied altogether to the relief of that over-charged, which confequently pays only nine hundred livres. The government neither gains nor lofes by the additional tax, which is applied altogether to re-

[^10]Vol. III. T medy

B O O K medy the inequalities arifing from the old affeffsment. The application is pretty much regulated according to the difcretion of the intendant of the generality, and mult, therefore, be in a great meafure arbitrary.

Taxes wibich are proporticned, not to the Rent, but to the produce of Land.

TAXES upon the produce of land are in reality taxes upon the rent; and though they may be originally advanced by the farmer, are finally paid by the landlord. When a certain portion of the produce is to be paid away for a tax, the farmer computes, as well as he can, what the value of this portion is, one year with another, likely to amoune to, and he makes a proportionable abatement in the rent which he agrees to pay to the landlord. There is no farmer who does not compute beforehand what the church tythe, which is a land-tax of this kind, is, one year with another, likely to amount to.

The tyche, and every other land-tax of this Find, under the appearance of perfect equality, are very unequal taars; a certain portion of the produce being, in different fituations, equivalent to a very different portion of the rent. In fome very rich lands the produce is fo great, that the one half of it is fully fufficient to replace to the farmer his capital employed in cultivation, together with the ordinary profits of farming ftock in the neighbourhood. The other half, or, what comes to the fame thing, the value of the other half, he could affurd to pay as rent to the landlord,
lord, if there was no tythe. But if a tenth of $C$ Ha the produce is taken from him in the way tythe, he mult require an abatement of the fifth part of his rent, otherwife he cannot get back his capital with the ordinary profit. In this cafe the rent of the landlord, inftead of amounting to a half, or five-tenths of the whole produce, will amount only to four-tenths of it. In poorer lands, on the contrary, the produce is fometimes fo fmall, and the expence of cultivation fo great, that it requires four-fifths of the whole produce, to replace to the farmer his capital with the ordinary profit. In this cafe, though there was no tythe, the rent of the landlord could amount to no more than one-fifth or two-tenths of the whole produce. But if the farmer pays one-tenth of the produce in the way of tythe, he mult require an equal abatement of the rent of the landlord, which will thus be reduced to one-tenth only of the whole produce. Upon the rent of rich lands, the tythe may fometimes be a tax of no more than one-fifth part, or four fhillings in the pound; whereas upon that of poorer lands, it may fometimes be a tax of one-half, or of ten fhillings in the pound.

The tythe, as it is frequently a very unequal tax upon the rent, fo it is always a great difcouragement both to the improvements of the landlord and to the cultivation of the farmer. The one cannot venture to make the moft important, which are generally the moft expenfive improvements; por the other to raife the mof valuable, which are generally too the moft expenfive crops;
b o or when the church, which lays out no part of the - expence, is to fhare fo very largely in the profit. The culcivation of madder was for a long time confined by the tythe to the United Provinces, which, being prefbyterian countries, and upon that account exempted from this deftructive tax, enjoyed a fort of monopoly of that ufeful dying drug againft the reft of Europe. The late attempts to introduce the culture of this plant into England, have been made only in confequence of the ftatute which enacted that five hillings an acre fhould be received in lieu of all manner of tythe upon madder.

As through the greater part of Europe, the church, fo in many different countries of Afia, the ftate, is principally fupported by a land-tax, proportioned, not to the rent, but to the produce of the land. In China, the principal revenue of the fovereign confifis in a tenth part of the produce of all the lands of the empire. This tenth part, however, is eltimated fo very moderately, that, in many provinces, it is faid not to exceed a thirtieth part of the ordinary produce. The land-tax or land rent which ufed to be paid to the Mahometan government of Bengal, before that country fell into the hands of the Englifh Eaft India Company, is faid to have amounted to about a fifth part of the produce. The land-tax of ancient Figypt is faid likewife to have amounted to a fifth part.

In Afla, this fort of land-tax is faid to intereft the fovereign in the improvement and cultivatoon of land. The fovereigns of China, thofe
of Bengal while under the Mahometan govern- с н a $P$. ment, and thofe of ancient Egypt, are faid accordingly to have been extremely attentive to the making and maintaining of good roads and navigable canals, in order to increafe, as much as poffible, both the quantity and value of every part of the produce of the land, by procuring to every part of it the moft extenlive market which their own dominions could afford. The tythe of the church is divided into fuch fmall portions, that no one of its proprietors can have any intereft of this kind. The parfon of a parim could never find his account in making a road or canal to a diftant part of the country, in order to extend the market for the produce of his own particular parifh. Such taxes, when deftined for the maintenance of the ftate, have fome advantages which may ferve in fome meafure to balance their inconveniency. When deftined for the maintenance of the church, they are attended with nothing but inconveniency.

Taxes upon the produce of land may be levied, either in kind; or, according to a certain valua. tion, in money.

The parfon of a parifh, or a gentleman of fimall fortune who lives upon his eftate, may fometimes, perhaps, find fome advantage in receiving, the one his tythe, and the other his rent, in kind. The quantity to be collected, and the diftrict within which it is to be collected, are fo fmall, that they both can overfee, with their own eyes, the collection and difpofal of every part of what is due to them. A gentleman of great forT 3 tune,
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${ }^{\text {B O O }}$ O. K tune, who lived in the capital, would be in dan'ger of fuffering much by the neglect, and more by the fraud, of his factors and agents, if the rents of an eftate in a diftant province were to be paid to him in this manner. The lofs of the fovereign, from the abule and depredation of his tax-gatherers, would neceffarily be much greater. The fervants of the moft carelefs private perfon are, perhaps, more under the eye of their mafter than thofe of the moft careful prince; and a public revenue, which was paid in kind, would fuffer fo much from the mifmanagement of the collectors, that a very fmall part of what was levied upon the people would ever arrive at the treafury of the prince. Some part of the public revenue of China, however, is faid to be paid in this manner. The Mandarins and other taxgatherers will, no doubt, find their advantage in continuing the practice of a payment which is fo much more liable to abufe than any payment in money.

A tax upon the produce of land which is le. vied in money, may be levied either according to a valuation which varies with all the variations of the market price; or according to a fixed valuation, a buthel of wheat, for example, being always valued at one and the fame money price, whatever may be the ftate of the market. The produce of a tax levied in the former way, will vary only according to the variations in the real produce of the land according to the improvement or neglect of cultivation. The produce of a tax levied in the latter way, will
not only according to the variations in the produce ${ }^{C}$ H A F . of the land, but according both to thofe in the ${ }^{\text {I }}$ value of the precious metals, and thofe in the quantity of thofe metals which is at different times contained in coin of the fame denomination. The produce of the former will always bear the fame proportion to the value of the real produce of the land. The produce of the latter may, at different times, bear very different proportions to that value.

When, inftead either of a certain portion of the produce of land, or of the price of a certain portion, a certain fum of money is to be paid in full compenfation for all tax or tythe; the tax becomes, in this cafe, exactly of the fame nature with the land-tax of England. It neither rifes nor falls with the rent of the land. It neither encourages nor difcourages improvement. The tythe in the greater part of thofe parifhes which pay what is called a modus in lieu of all other tythe, is a tax of this kind. During the Mahometan government of Bengal, inftead of the payment in kind of the fifth part of the produce, a modus, and, it is faid, a very moderate one, was eftablifhed in the greater part of the diftricts or zemindaries of the country. Some of the fervants of the Eaft India company, under pretence of reftoring the public revenue to its proper value, have, in fome provinces, exchanged this modus for a payment in kind. Under their management this change is likely both to difcourage cultivation, and to give new opportunities for abufe in the collection of the public reT 4 venue,

во о $\boldsymbol{k}$ venue, which has fallen very much below what it was faid to have been, when it firlt fell under the management of the company. The fervants of the company may, perhaps, have profited by this change, but at the expence, it is probable, both of their mafters and of the country.

## Taxes upon the Rent of Houfes.

THE rent of a houfe may be diftinguifhed into two parts, of which the one may very properly be called the Building rent; the other is commonly called the Ground rent.

The building rent is the intereft or profit of the capital expended in building the houfe. In order to put the trade of a builder upon a level with other trades, it is neceffary that this rent fhould be fufficient, firft, to pay him the fame intereft which he would have got for his capital if he had lent it upon good fecurity; and, fecondly, to keep the houfe in conftant repair, or, what comes to the fame thing, to replace, within a certain term of years, the capital which had been employed in building it. The building rent, or the ordinary profit of building, is, therefore, every where regulated by the ordinary intereft of money. Where the market rate of intereft is four per cent. the rent of a houfe which, over and above paying the ground-rent, affords fix or fix and a half per cent. upon the whole expence of building, may perhaps afford a fufficient profit to the builder. Where the market rate of intereft is five per cent., it may perhaps require feven or feven and a half per cent. If,
in proportion to the intereft of money, the trade of с н a р. the builder affords at any time a much greater profit, than this, it will foon draw fo much capital from other trades as will reduce the profit to its proper level. If it affords at any time much lefs than this, other trades will foon draw fo much capital from it as will again raife that profit.

Whatever part of the whole rent of a houfe is over and above what is fufficient for affording this reafonable profit, naturally goes to the ground-rent; and where the owner of the ground and the owner of the building aie two different perfons, is, in moft cafes, completely paid to the former. This furplus rent is the price which the inhabitant of the houfe pays for fome real or fuppofed advantage of the fituation. In country houfes, at a diftance from any great town, where there is plenty of ground to chufe upon, the ground-rent is fcarce any thing, or no more than what the ground which the houfe ftands upon would pay if employed in agriculture. In country villas in the neighbourhood of fome great town, it is fometimes a good deal higher; and the peculiar conveniency or beauty of fituation is there frequently very well paid for. Groundrents are generally higheft in the capital, and in thofe particular parts of it where there happens to be the greateft demand for houfes, whatever be the reafon of that demand, whether for trade and bufinels, for pleafure and fociety, or for mere vanity and fafhion.

A tax upon houfe rent, payable by the tenant proportioned to the whole rent of each houte, could

B O O K could not, for any confiderable time at leaff, v. affect the building rent. If the builder did not get his realonable profir, he would be obliged to quit the trade; which, by raifing the demand for building, would in a fhort time bring back his profit to its proper level with that of other trades. Neither would fuch a tax fall altogether upon the ground-rent; but it would divide itfelf in fuch a manner as to fall partly upon the inhabitant of the houfe and partly upon the owner of the ground.

Let us fuppofe, for example, that a particular perfon judges that he can afford for houfe-rent an expence of fixty pounds a year; and let us fuppofe too that a tax of four fhillings in the pound, or of one-fifth, payable by the inhabitant, is laid upon houfe-rent. A houfe of fixty pounds rent will in this cafe coft him feventytwo pounds a year, which is twelve pounds more than he thinks he can afford. He will, therefore, content himfelf with a worfe houfe, or a houle of fifty pounds rent, which, with the additional ten pounds that he muft pay for the tax, will nake up the fum of fixty pounds a year, the expence which he judges he can afford; and in order to pay the tax he will give up a part of the additional conveniency which he might have had from a houfe of ten pounds a year more rent. He will give up, I fay, a part of this additional conveniency; for he will feldom be obliged to give up the whole, but will, in confequence of the tax, get a better houfe for fifty pounds a than be could have got if there had been
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no tax. For as a tax of this kind, by taking c hap. away this particular competitor, muft diminih the competition for houfes of fixty pounds rent, fo it muft likewife diminifh it for thofe of fifty pounds rent, and in the fame manner for thofe of all other rents, except the loweft rent, for which it would for fome time increafe the competition. But the rents of every clafs of houfes for which the competition was diminifhed, would neceffarily be more or lefs reduced. As no part of this reduction, however, could, for any confiderable time at leaft, affect the building rent; the whole of it muft in the long-run neceffarily fall upon the ground-rent. The final payment of this tax, therefore, would fall, partly upon the inhabitant of the houfe, who, in order to pay his fhare, would be obliged to give up a part of his conveniency; and partly upon the owner of the ground, who, in order to pay his Chare, would be obliged to give up a part of his revenue. In what proportion this final payment would be divided between them, it is not perhaps very ealy to afcertain. The divifion would probably be very different in different circumftances, and a tax of this kind might, according to thofe different circumftances, affect very unequally both the iahabitant of the houfe and the owner of the ground.

The inequality with which a tax of this kind might fall upon the owners of different groundrents, would arife altogether from the accidental inequality of this divifion. But the inequality with which it might fall upon the inhabitants of different houfes, would arife, not only from this,
${ }^{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{O}$ ( O . K but from another caufe. The proportion of the expence of houfe-rent to the whole expence of living, is different in the different degrees of fortune. It is perhaps higheft in the higheft degree, and it diminifhes gradually through the inferior degrees, fo as in general to be loweft in the loweft degree. The neceffaries of life occafion the great expence of the poor. They find it difficult to get food, and the greater part of their little revenue is fpent in getting it. The luxuries and vanities of life occafion the principal expence of the rich; and a magnificent houfe embellifhes and fets off to the beft advantage all the other luxuries and vanities which they poffefs. A tax upon houfe-rents, therefore, would in general fall heavieft upon the rich; and in this fort of inequality there would not, perhaps, be any thing very unreafonable. It is not very unreafonable that the rich fhould contribute to the public expence, not only in proportion to their revenue, but fomething more than in that proportion.

The rent of houfes, though it in fome refpects refembles the rent of land, is in one refpect effentially different from it. The rent of land is paid for the ufe of a productive fubject. The land which pays it produces it. The rent of houfes is paid for the ufe of an unproductive fubject. Neither the houfe nor the ground which it ftands upon produce any thing. The perfon who pays the rent, therefore, muft draw it from fome other fource of revenue, diftinct from and independent of this fubject. A tax upon the
rent of houfes, fo far as it falls upon the inha- $\mathbf{c} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{A}$. bitants, mult be drawn from the fame fource as the rent iffelf, and muft be paid from their revenue, whether derived from the wages of labour, the profits of ftock, or the rent of land. So far as it falls upon the inhabitants, it is one of thofe taxes which fall, not upon one only, but indifferently upon all the three different fources of revenue; and is in every refpect of the fame nature as a tax upon any other fort of confumable commodities. In general there is not, perhaps, any one article of expence or confumption by which the liberality or narrownefs of a man's whole expence can be better judged of, than by his houfe-rent. A proportional tax upon this particular article of expence might, perhaps, produce a more confiderable revenue than any which has hitherto been drawn from it in any part of Europe. If the tax indeed was very high, the greater part of people would endeavour to evade it, as much as they could, by contenting themfelves with fmaller houfes, and by turning the greater part of their expence into fome other channel.

The rent of houfes might eafily be afcertained with fufficient accuracy, by a policy of the fame kind with that which would be neceffary for afcertaining the ordinary rent of land. Houfes not inhabited ought to pay no tax. A tax upon them would fall altogether upon the proprietor, who would thus be taxed for a fubject which afforded him neither conveniency nor revenue. Houfes inhabited by the propriecor ought

Book ought to be rated, not according to the ex'pence which they might have coft in building, but according to the rent which an equitable arbitration might judge them likely to bring, if leafed to a tenant. If rated according to the expence which they may have coft in building, a tax of three or four fhillings in the pound, joined with other taxes, would rein almoft all the rich and great families of this, and, I believe, of every other civilized country. Whoever will examine, with attention, the different town and country houfes of fome of the richeft and greateft familics in this country, will find that, at the rate of only fix and a half, or feven per cent. upon the original expence of building, their houferent is nearly equal to the whole neat rent of their eftates. It is the accumulated expence of feveral fucceffive generations, laid out upon objects of great beauty and magnificence, indeed; but, in proportion to what they coft, of very fmall exchangeable value *.

Ground-rents are a fill more proper fubject of taxation than the rent of houfes. A tax upon ground-rents would not raife the rents of houfes. It would fall aleogether upon the owner of the ground-rent, who acts always as a monopolift, and exacts the greateft rent which can be got for the ufe of his ground. More or lefs can be got for it according as the competitors happen to be richer or poorer, or can afford to gratify their

[^11]fancy for a particular fpot of ground at a greater C HAP. or finaller expence. In every country the greateft' number of rich competitors is in the capital, and it is there accordingly that the higheft ground-rents are always to be found. As the wealth of thofe competitors would in no refpect be increafed by a tax upon ground-rents, they would not probably be difpofed to pay more for the ufe of the ground. Whether the tax was to be advanced by the inhabitant, or by the owner of the ground, would be of little importance. 'The more the inhabitant was obliged to pay for the tax, the lefs he would incline to pay for the ground; fo that the final payment of the tax would fall altogether upon the owner of the ground-rent. The ground-renis of uninhabited houfes ought to pay no tax.

Both ground-rents and the ordinary rent of land are a fpecies of revenue which the owner, in many cafes, enjoys without any care or attention of his own. Though a part of this revenue fhould be taken from him in order to defray the expences of the ftate, no difcourargement will thereby be given to any fort of induftry. The annual produce of the land and labour of the fociety, the real wealth and revenue of the great body of the people, might be the fame after fuch a tax as before. Ground-rents, and the ordinary rent of land, are, therefore, perhaps, the fpecies of revenue which can beft bear to have a peculiar tax impofed upon them.

Ground-rents feem, in this refpect, a more proper fubject of peculiar taxation than even the ordinary
sook ordinary rent of land. The ordinary rent of land is; in many cafes, owing partly at lealt to the attention and good management of the landlord. A very heavy tax might difcourage too much this attention and good management. Ground-rents, fo far as they exceed the ordinary rent of land, are altogether owing to the good government of the fovereign, which, by protecting the induftry either of the whole people, or of the inhabitants of fome particular place, enables them to pay fo much more than its real value for the ground which they build their houfes upon; or to make to its owner fo much more than compenfation for the lofs which he might fuftain by this ufe of it. Nothing can be more reafonable than that a fund which owes its exiftence to the good government of the ftate, fhould be taxed peculiarly, or fhould contribute fomething more than the greater part of other funds, towards the fupport of that government.

Though, in many different countries of Europe, taxes have been impofed upon the rent of houfes, I do not know of any in which groundrents have been confidered as a feparate fubject of taxation. The contrivers of taxes have, probably, found fome difficulty in afcertaining what - part of the rent ought to be confidered as ground. rent, and what part ought to be confidered as building-rent. It hould not, however, feem very difficult to diftinguin thofe two parts of the rent from one, another.

In Great-Britain the rent of houles is fuppofed to be taxed in the fame proportion as the rent
of land, by what is called the annual land-tax. CHAPA. The valuation, according to which each different parifh and diftrict is affeffed to this tax, is always the fame. It was originally extremely unequal, and it ftill continues to be fo. Through the greater part of the kingdom this tax falls ftill more lightly upon the rent of houfes than upon that of land. In fome few diftricts only, which were originally rated high, and in which the rents of houfes have fallen confiderably, the landtax of three or four fhillings in the pound, is faid to amount to an equal proportion of the real rent of houfes. Untenanted houfes, though by law fubject to the tax, are, in moft diftricts, exempted from it by the favour of the affeffors; and this exemption fometimes occafions fome little variation in the rate of particular houfes, though that of the diftrict is always the fame. Improvements of rent, by new buildings, repairs, \&c. go to the difcharge of the diftrict, which occafions fill further variations in the rate of particular houfes.

In the province of Holland * every houfe is raxed at two and a half per cent. of its value, without any regard either to the rent which it actually pays, or to the circumftance of its being tenanted or untenanted. There feems to be a. hardfhip in obliging the proprietor to pay a tax for an untenanted houfe, from which he can derive no revenue, efpecially fo very heavy a tax. In Holland, where the market tate of intereft

[^12]B o o $k$ does not exceed three per cent. two and a half per cent. upon the whole value of the houfe mult, in molt cafes, amount to more than a third of the building-rent, perhaps of the whole rent. The valuation, indeed, according to which the houfes are rated, though very unequal, is faid to be always below the real value. When a houfe is rebuilt, improved or enlarged, there is a new valuation, and the tax is rated accordingly.

The contrivers of the feveral taxes which in England have, at different times, been impofed upon houfes, feem to have imagined that there was fome great difficulty in afcertaining, with tolerable exactnefs, what was the real rent of every houfe. They have regulated their taxes, therefore, according to fome more obvious circumftance, fuch as they had probably imagined would, in moft cafes, bear fome proportion to the rent.

The firft tax of this kind was hearth-money; or a tax of two fhillings upon every hearth. In order to afcertain how many hearths were in the houle, it was neceffary that the tax-gatherer fhould enter every room in it. This odious vifit rendered the tax odious. Soon after the revolution, therefore, it was aboliflred as a badge of navery.

The next tax of this kind was, a tax of two Millings upon every dwelling-houle inhabited. A houfe with ten windows to pay four fhillings more. A houfe with twenty windows and upwards to pay eight fhillings. This tax was afterwards
afterwards fo far altered, that houfes with twenty C н A P. windows, and with lefs than thirty, were ordered to pay ten fhillings, and thofe with thirty windows and upwards to pay twenty fhillings. The number of windows can, in moft cafes, be counted from the outfide, and, in all cafes, without entering every room in the houfe. The vifit of the tax-gatherer, therefore, was lefs offenfive in this tax than in the hearth-money.

This tax was afterwards repealed, and in the room of it was eftablifhed the window-tax, which has undergone two feveral alterations and augmentations. The window-iax, as it ftands at prefent (January, 1775), over and above the duty of three fhillings upon every houfe in England, and of one fhilling upon every houfe in Scotland, lays a duty upon every window, which in England augments gradually from twopence, the loweft rate, upon houfes with not more than feven windows; to two flillings, the higheft rate, upon houfes with twenty-five windows and upwards.

The principal objection to all fuch taxes is their inequality, an inequality of the worft kind, as they mult frequently fall much heavier upon the poor than upon the rich. A houfe of ten pounds rent in a country town may fometimes have more windows than a houfe of five hundred pounds rent in London; and though the inhabitant of the former is likely to be a much poorer man than that of the latter, yet Ho far as his contribution is regulated by the win-dow-tax, he mult contribute more to the fupport
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${ }^{\text {B O O }}$ v. . of the ftate. Such taxes are, therefore, directly contrary to the firft of the four maxims above mentioned. They do not feem to offend much againft any of the other three.

The natural tendency of the wirdow-tax, and of all other taxes upon houfes, is to lower rents. The more a man pays for the tax, the lefs, it is evident, he can afford to pay for the rent. Since the impofition of the window-tax, however, the rents of houfes have upon the whole rifen, more or lefs, in almoft every town and village of Great Britain, with which I am acquainted. Such has been almoft every where the increale of the demand for houfes, that it has raifed the rents more than the window-tax could fink them; one of the many proofs of the great profperity of the country, and of the increafing revenue of its inhabitants. Had it not been for the tax, rents would probably have rifen ftill higher.

## Article II.

Taxes upon Profit, or upon the Revenue arifing from Stock.

THE revenue, or profit arifing from flock naturally divides itfelf into two parts; that which pays the intereft, and which belongs to the owner of the ftock; and that furplus part which is over and above what is neceffary for paying the intereft.

This latter part of profit is evidently a fubject not taxable directly. It is the compenfation,
fation, and in moft cafes it is no more than a ${ }^{C H}$ A. ${ }^{\text {A. }}$ very moderate compenfation, for the rifk and trouble of employing the ftock. The employer muft have this compenfation, otherwife he can not, confiftently with his own intereft, continue the employment. If he was taxed directly, therefore, in proportion to the whole profit, he would be obliged either to raife the rate of his profit, or to charge the táx upon the intereft of money; that is, to pay lefs intereft. If he raifed the rate of his profit in proportion to the tax, the whole tax, though it might be advanced by him, would be finally paid by one or other of two different fets of people, according to the different ways in which he might employ the ftock of which he had the management. If he employed it as a farming fock in the cultivation of land, he could raife the rate of his profit only by retaining a greater portion, or, what comes to the fame thing, the price of a greater portion of the produce of the land; and as this could be done only by a reduction of rent, the final payment of the tax would fall upon the landlord. If he employed it as a mercantile or manufacturing ftock, he could raife the rate of his profit only by raifing the price of his goods; in which cafe the final payment of the tax would fall altogether upon the confumers of thofe goods. If he did not raife the rate of his profit, he would be obliged to charge the whole tax upon that part of it which was allotted for the intereft of money. He could afford lefs intereft for whatever ftock he borrowed, and the whole $\mathrm{U}_{3}$ weight
boon weight of the tax would in this cafe fall ultimately upon the intereft of money. So far as he could not relieve himfelf from the tax in the one way, he would be obliged to relieve himfelf in the other.

The intereft of money feems at firlt fight a fubject equally capable of being taxed directly as the rent of land. Like the rent of land, it is a neat produce which remains after completely compenfating the whole rifk and trouble of employing the fock. As a tax upon the rent of land cannot raife rents; becaufe the neat produce which remains after replacing the ftock of the farmer, together with his realonable profit, cannot be greater after the tax than before it: fo, for the fame reafon, a tax upon the interelt of money could not raife the rate of intereft; the quantity of ftock or money in the country, like the quantity of land, being fuppofed to remain the fame after the tax as before it. The ordinary rate of profit, it has been Shewn in the firt book, is every where regulated by the quantity of ftock to be employed in proportion to the quantity of the employment, or of the bufinefs which muft be done by it. But the quantity of the employment, or of the bufinefs to be done by ftock, could neither be increafed nor diminifhed by any tax upon the intereft of money. If the quantity of the flock to be employed therefore, was neither increafed nor diminifhed by it, the ordinary rate of profit would neceffarily remain the fame. But the porvion of this profit neceffary for compenfating the
rifk and trouble of the employer, would likewife $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{H}}^{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{P}$. remain the fame; that rifk and trouble being in no refpect altered. The refidue, therefore, that portion which belongs to the owner of the ftock, and which pays the intereft of money, would neceffarily remain the fame too. At firft fight, therefore, the intereft of money feems to be a fubject as fit to be taxed directly as the rent of land.

There are, however, two different circumftances which render the intereft of money a much lefs proper fubject of direct taxation than the rent of land.

First, the quantity and value of the land which any man poffeffes can never be a fecret, and can always be afcertained with great exactnefs. But the whole amount of the capital ftock which he poffeffes is almoft always a fecret, and can farce ever be afcertained with tolerable exactnefs. It is liable, befides, to almoft continual variations. A year feldom paffes away, frequently not a month, fometimes fcarce a fingle day, in which it does not rife or fall more or lefs. An inquifition into every man's private circumftances, and an inquifition which, in order to accommodate the tax to them, watched over all the fluctuations of his fortune, would be a fource of fuch continual and endlefs vexation as no people could fupport.

Secondly, land is a fubject which cannot be removed, whereas ftock eafily may. The proprietor. of land is neceffarily a citizen of the particular country in which his eftate. lies. The $\mathrm{U}_{4}$ proprietor
$\mathbf{B O O K}_{\mathbf{V}} \mathbf{K}$ proprietor of fock is properly a citizen of the world, and is not neceffarily attached to any particular country. He would be apt to abandon the country in which he was expofed to a vexatious inquifition, in order to be affeffed to a burdenfome tax, and would remove his ftock to fome other country where be could either carry on his bufinefs, or enjoy his fortune more at his eafe. By removing his ftock he would put an end to all the induftry which it had maintained in the country which he left. Stock cultivates land; ftock employs labour. A tax which tended to drive away ftock from any particular country, would fo far tend to dry up every fource of revenue both to the fovereign and to the fociety. Not only the profits of ftock, but the rent of land and the wages of labour, would neceffarily be more or lefs diminifhed by its removal.

The nations, accordingly, who have attempted to tax the revenue arifing from ftock, inftead of any fevere inquifition of this kind, have been obliged to content themfelves with fome very loofe, and, therefore, more or lefs arbitrary eftimation. The extreme inequality and uncertainty of a tax affeffed in this manner, can be compenfated only by its extreme moderation, in confequence of which every man finds himfelf rated fo very much below his real revenue, that he gives fimfelf little difturbance though his neighbour fhould be rated fomewhat lower.

By what is called the land-tax in England, it was intended that the ftock fhould be taxed in the fame proportion as land. When the tax
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upon land was at four fhillings in the pound, CHAP. or at one-fifth of the fuppofed rent, it was in-1 tended that ftock thould be taxed at one-fifth of the fuppofed intereft. When the prefent annual land-tax was firit impofed, the legal rate of intereft was fix per cent. Every hundred pounds ftock, accordingly, was fuppoled to be taxed at twenty-four fhillings, the fifth part of fix pounds. Since the legal rate of intereft has been reduced to five per cent. every hundred pounds ftock is fuppofed to be taxed at twenty fhillings only. The fum to be raifed, by what is called the land-tax, was divided between the country and the principal towns. The greater part of it was laid upon the country; and of what was laid upon the towns, the greater part was affeffed upon the houfes. What remained to be affeffed upon the ftock or trade of the towns (for the ftock upon the land was not meant to be taxed) was very much below the real value of that fock or trade. Whatever inequalities, therefore, there might be in the original affeffment, gave little difturbance. Every parifh and diftri\&t ftill continues to be rated for its land, its houfes, and its ftock, according to the original affeffment; and the almof univerfal profperity of the country, which in moft places has raifed very much the value of all thefe, has rendered thofe incqualities of ftill lefs importance now. The rate too upon each diftrit continuing always the fame, the uncertainty of this tax, fo far as it might be affeffed upon the ftock of any individual,

в on k vidual, has been very much diminifined, as well as rendered of much lefs confequence. If the greater part of the lands of England are not rated to the land-tax at half their actual value, the greater part of the ftock of England is, perhaps, fcarce rated at the fiftieth part of its actual value. In fome towns the whole land-tax is affefied upon houfes; as in Weftminfter, where ftock and trade are free. It is otherwife in London.

In all countries a fevere inquifition into the circumftances of private perfons has been carefully avoided.

At Hamburgh* every inhabitant is obliged to pay to the flate, one-fourth per cent. of all that he poffeffes; and as the weaith of the people of Hamburgh confifts principally in fock, this tax may be confidered as a tax upon ftock. Every man affeffes himfelf, and, in the prefence of the magitrate, puts annually into the public coffer a certain fum of money, which he declares upon oath to be one-fourth per cent. of all that he poffeffes, but without declaring what it amounts to, or being liable to any examination upon that fubject. This tax is generally fuppofed to be paid with great fidelity. In a fmall republic, where the people have entire confidence in their magiftrates, are convinced of the neceffity of the tax for the fupport of the ftate, and believe that it will be faithfully applied to that purpofe, fuch confcientious and voluntary payment

* Memoires concernant les Dreits, tomc i. p. 74.
 the people of Hamburgh.

The canton of Underwald in Switzerland is frequently ravaged by ftorms and inundations, and it is thereby expofed to extraordinary expences. Upon fuch occafions the people affemble, and every one is faid to declare with the greateft franknefs what he is worth, in order to be taxed accordingly. At Zurich the law orders, that, in cafes of neceffity, every one fhould be taxed in proportion to his revenue; the amount of which, he is obliged to declare upon cath. They have no fufpicion, it is faid, that any of their fellowcitizens will deceive them. At Bafil the principal revenue of the fate arifes from a finall cuftom upon goods exported. All the citizens make oath that they will pay every three months all the taxes impofed by the law. All merchants and even all inn-keepers are trufted with keeping themfelves the account of the goods which they fell either within or without the territory. At the end of every three months they fend this account to the treafurer, with the amount of the tax computed at the bottom of it. It is not furpected that the revenue fuffers by this confidence *.

To oblige every citizen to declare publicly ppon oath the amount of his fortune, muft not, it feems, in thofe Swifs cantons, be reckoned a hardhip. At Hamburgh it would be reckoned the greateft. Merchants engaged in the hazardous

[^13] projects of trade, all tremble at the thoughts of being obliged at all times to expofe the real fate of their cirumftances. The ruin of their credit and the mifcarriage of their projects, they forefee, would too often be the consequence. A fober and parfimonious people, who are ftrangers to all fuch projects, do not feel that they have occafion for any fuch concealment.

In Holland, foo after the exaltation of the late prince of Orange to the ftadtholderhip, a tax of two per cent. or the fiftieth penny, as it was called, was impofed upon the whole fubfrance of every citizen. Every citizen affeffed himfelf and paid his tax in the fame manner as at Hamburgh; and it was in general fuppofed to have been paid with great fidelity. The people had at that time the greateft affection for their new government, which they had jut eftablifhed by a general infurrection. The tax was to be paid but once; in order to relieve the fate in a particular exigency. It was, indeed, too heavy to be permanent. In a country where the market rate of intereft feldom exceeds three per cent., a tax of two per cent. amounts to thirteen Shillings and fourpence in the pound upon the higheft neat revenue which is commonly drawn from flock. It is a tax which very few people could pay without encroaching more or leis upon their capitals. In a particular exigency the people may, from great public zeal, make a great effort, and give up even a part of their capital, in order to relieve the fate. But it is impoffible that they fhould continue to do fo for any confiderable
fiderable time; and if they did, the tax would foon $\mathbf{C H} \boldsymbol{H} \mathbf{A}$. ruin them fo completely as to render them altogether incapable of fupporting the ftate.

The tax upon flock impofed by the land-tax bill in England, though it is proportioned to the capital, is not intended to diminih or take away any part of that capital. It is meant only to be a tax upon the intereft of money proportioned to that upon the rent of land; fo that when the latter is at four fhillings in the pound, the former may be at four fhillings in the pound too. The tax at Hamburgh, and the ftill more moderate taxes of Underwald and Zurich, are meant, in the fame manner, to be taxes, not upon the capital, but upon the intereft or neat revenue of fock. That of Holland was meant to be a tax upon the capital.

## Taxes upon the Profit of particular Employments.

IN fome countries extraordinary taxes are impofed upon the profits of fock ; fometimes when employed in particular branches of trade, and fometimes when employed in agriculture.
$\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{f}}$ the former kind are in England the tax upon hawkers and pedlars, that upon hackney coaches and chairs, and that which the keepers of ale-houfes pay for a licence to retail ale and firituous liquors. During the late war, another tax of the fame kind was propofed upon hops. The wai having been undertaken, it was faid, in defence of the trade of the country, the merchants, who were to profit by it, ought to contribute towards the fupport of it.

A rax,

BOOK A tax, however, upon the profits of ftock employed in any particular branch of trade, can never fall finally upon the dealers (who muft in all ordinary cafes have their reafonable profit, and, where the competition is free, can feldom have more than that profic), but always upon the confumers, who muft be obliged to pay in the price of the goods the tax which the dealer advances; and generally with fome overcharge.

A tax of this kind when it is proportioned to the trade of the dealer, is finally paid by the confumer, and occafions no oppreffion to the dealer. When it is not fo proportioned, but is the fame upon all dealers, though in this cafe too it is finally paid by the confumer, yet it favours the great, and occafions fome oppreffion to the fimall dealer. The tax of five fhillings a week upon every hackney coach, and that of ten fhillings a year upon every hackney chair, fo far as it is advanced by the different keepers of fuch coaches and chairs, is exactly enough proportioned to the extent of their refpective dealings. It neither favours the great, nor oppreffes the fmaller dealer. The tax of twenty fhillings a year for a licence to fell ale; of forty fhillings for a licence to fell fpiritu ius liquors; and of forty fhillings more for a licence to fell wine, being the fame upon all retailers, muft neceffarily give fome advantage to the great, and occafion fome opprefion to the fmall dealers. The former mult find it more eafy to get back the tax in the price of their goods than the latter. The moderation of the tax, however, renders this inequality of lefs importance, and it may to many
 couragement to the multiplication of little ale- $\underbrace{\text { II. }}$ houfes. The tax upon fhops, it was intended, fhould be the fáme upon all hops. It could not well have been otherwife. It would have been impoffible to proportion with tolerable exactnefs the tax upon a mop to the extent of the trade carried on in it, without fuch an inquifition as would have been altogether infupportable in a free country. If the tax had been confiderable, it would have oppreffed the fmall, and forced almoft the whole retail trade into the hands of the great dealers. The competition of the former being taken away, the latter would have enjoyed a monopoly of the trade; and like all other monopolifts would foon have combined to raife their profits much beyond what was neceffary for the payment of the tax. The final payment, inftead of falling upon the fhopkeeper, would have fallen upon the confumer, with a confiderable overcharge to the profit of the fhopkeeper. For there reafons, the project of a tax upon fhops was laid afide, and in the room of it was fubftituted the fubfidy 1759 .

What in France is called the perfonal taille is, perhaps, the moft important tax upon the profits of flock employed in agriculture that is levied in any part of Europe.

Is the diforderly fate of Europe during the prevalence of the feudal government, the fovereign was obliged to content himfelf with taxing thofe who were too weak to refufe to pay saxes. The great lords, though willing to affift

## THE NATURE AND CAESES OF

в o o ${ }^{\text {o }}$ him upon particular emergencies, refufed to $\underbrace{\text { v. fubject themfelves to any conftant tax, and he }}$ was not ftrong enough to force them. The occupiers of land all over Europe were, the greater part of them, originally bond-men. Through the greater part of Europe they were gradually emancipated. Some of them acquired the property of landed eftates which they held by fome bafe or ignoble tenure, fometimes under the king, and fometimes under fome other great lord, like the ancient copy-holders of England. Others, without acquiring the property, obtained leafes for terms of years, of the lands which they occupied under their lord, and thus became lefs dependent upon him. The great lords feem to have beheld the degree of profperity and independency, which this inferior order of men had thus come to enjoy, with a malignant and contemptuous indignation, and willingly confented that the fovereign fhould tax them. In fome countries this tax was confined to the lands which were held in property by an ignoble tenure; and, in this cafe, the taille was faid to be real. The land-tax eftablifhed by the late king of Sardinia, and the taille in the provinces of Languedoc, Provence, Dauphine, and Brittany; in the generality of Montauban, and in the elections of Agen and Condom, as well as in fome other diftricts of France, are taxes upon lands held in property by an ignoble teaure. In other countries the tax was laid upon the fuppofed profits of all thofe who held in farm or leafe lands belonging to other people, whatever might be the tenure
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by which the proprietor held them; and in cafe the taille was faid to be perfonal. In $\underbrace{\text { II. }}$ the greater part of thofe provinces of France, which are called the Countries of Elections, the taille is of this kind. The real taille, as it is impofed only upon a part of the lands of the country, is neceffarily an unequal, but it is not always an arbitrary tax, though it is fo upon fome occafions. The perfonal taille, as it is intended to be proportioned to the profits of a certain clafs of people, which can only be gueffed at, is neceffarily both arbitrary and unequal.

In France the perfonal taille at prefent (1775) annually impofed upon the twenty generalities, called the Countries of Elections, amounts to 40,107,239 livres, 16 fous*. The proportion in which this fum is affeffed upon thofe different provinces, varies from year to year, according to the reports which are made to the king's council concerning the goodnefs or badnefs of the crops, as well as other circumftances, which may either increafe or diminifh their refpective abilities to pay. Each generality is divided into a certain number of elections, and the proportion in which the fum impofed upon the whole generality is divided among thofe different elections, varies likewife from year to year, according to the reports made to the council concerning their refpective abilities. It feems impofible that the council, with the beft intentions, can ever pro-

[^14]$$
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${ }^{\text {B }} \mathbf{0} \mathbf{0}$. K . portion with tolerable exactnefs, either of thofe two affeffments to the real abilities of the province or diftrict upon which they are refpectively laid. Ignorance and mifinformation mult always, more or lefs, minead the moft upright council. The proportion which each parifh ought to fupport of what is affeffed upon the whole election, and that which each individual ought to fupport of what is affeffed upon his particular parih, are both in the fame manner varied, from year to year, according as circumftances are fuppofed to require. Thefe circumflances are judged of, in the one cafe, by the officers of the election; in the other, by thofe of the parifh; and both the one and the other are, more or lefs, under the direction and influence of the intendant. Not only ignorance and mifinformation, but friendfhip, party animofity, and private refentment, are faid frequently to millead fuch affeffors. No man fubject to fuch a tax, it is evident, can ever be certain, before he is affeffed, of what he is to pay. He cannot even be certain after he is affeffed. If any perfon has been taxed who ought to have been exempted; or if any perfon has been taxed beyond his proportion, though both mult pay in the mean time, yet if they complain, and make good their complaints, the whole parih is reimpofed next year in order to reimburfe them. If any of the contributors become bankrupt or infolvent, the collector is obliged to advance his tax, and the whole parifh is reimpofed next year in order to reimburfe the collector. If the collector himfelf
fhould become bankrupt, the parih which elects $\mathrm{CH}^{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{A}$ P. him muft anfwer for his conduct to the receiver-1 general of the election. But, as it might be troublefome for the receiver to profecute the whole parifh, he takes at his choice five or fix of the richeft contributors, and obliges them to make good what had been loft by the infolvency of the collector. The parih is afterwards reimpofed in order to reimburfe thofe five or fix. Such reimpofitions are always over and above the taille of the particular year in which they are laid on.

When a tax is impofed upon the profits of ftock in a particular branch of trade, the traders are all careful to bring no more goods to market than what they can fell at a price fufficient to reimburfe them for advancing the tax. Some of them withdraw a part of their flocks from the trade, and the market is more fparingly fupplied than before. The price of the goods rifes, and the final payment of the tax falls upon the confumer. But when a tax is impofed upan the profits of ftock employed in agriculture, it is not the intereft of the farmers to withdraw any part of their ftock from that employment. Each farmer occupies a certain quantity of land, for which he pays rent. For the proper cultivation of this land a certain quantity of ftock is neceffary; and by withdrawing any part of this neceffary quantity, the farmer is not likely to be more able to pay either the rent or the tax. In order to pay the tax, it can never be his intereft to diminifh the quantity of his produce, nor con$\mathrm{X}_{2}$ fequently

B oor fequently to fupply the market more faringly , than before. The tax, therefore, will never enable him to raife the price of his produce, fo as to reimburfe himfelf by throwing the final payment upon the confumer. The farmer, however, muft have his reafonable profit as well as every other dealer, otherwife he mutt give up the trade. After the impofition of $a$ tax of this kind, he can get this reafonable profit only by paying lefs rent to the landlord. The more he is obliged to pay in the way of tax, the lefs he can afford to pay in the way of rent. A tax of this kind impofed during the currency of a leafe may, no doubt, diftrefs or ruin the farmer. Upon the renewal of the leafe it mult always fall upon the landlord.

In the countries where the perfonal taille takes place, the farmer is commonly affeffed in proportion to the ftock which he appears to employ in cultivation. He is, upon this account, frequently afraid to have a good team of horfes or oxen, but endeavours to cultivate with the meaneft and moft wretched inftruments of hufbandry that he can. Such is his diftruft in the juftice of his affeffors, that he counterfeits poverty, and wifhes to appear fcarce able to pay any thing for fear of being obliged to pay too much. By this miferable policy he does not, perhaps, always confult his own intereft in the moft effectual manner; and he probably tofes more by the diminution of his produce than he faves by that of his tax. 'Though, in confequence of this wretched cultivation, the market is, no doubt, fomewhat
worfe fupplied; yet the fmall rife of price which e ${ }^{\text {a a }}$ P. this may occafion, as it is not likely even to indemnify the farmer for the diminution of his produce, it is ftill lefs likely to enable him to pay more rent to the landlord. The public, the farmer, the landlord, all fuffer more or lefs by this degraded cultivation. That the perfonal taille tends, in many different ways, to difcourage cultivation, and confequently to dry up the principal fource of the wealth of every great country, I have already had occafion to obferve in the third book of this Inquiry.

What are called poll-taxes in the fouthern provinces of North America, and in the Weft Indian illands, annual taxes of fo much a head upon every negroe, are properly taxes upon the profits of a certain fpecies of ftock employed in agriculture. As the planters are, the greater part of them, both farmers and landlords, the final payment of the tax falls upon them in their quality of landlords without any retribution.

Taxes of fo much a head upon the bondmen employed in cultivation feem anciently to have been common all over Europe. There fubfifts at prefent a tax of this kind in the empire of Ruffia. It is probably upon this account that poll-taxes of all kinds have often been reprefented as badges of navery. Every tax, however, is to the perfon who pays it a badge, not of flavery, but of liberty. It denotes that he is fubject to government, indeed, but that, as he has fome property, he cannot himfelf be the property of a matter. A poll-tax upon laves is $\mathrm{X}_{3} \quad$ altogether

B o o K . altogether different from a poll-tax upon men. The latter is paid by the perfons upon whom it is impofed; the former by a different fet of perfons. The latter is either altogether arbitrary or altogether unequal, and in moft cafes is both the one and the other; the former, though in fome refpects unequal, different haves being of different values, is in no refpect arbitrary. Every mafter who knows the number of his own llaves, knows exactly what he has to pay. Thole different taxes, however, being called by the fame name, have been confidered as of the fame nature.

The taxes which in Holland are impofed upon men and maid fervants, are taxes, not upon ftock, but upon expence; and fo far refemble the taxes upon confumable commodities. The rax of a guinea a head for every man fervant, which has lately been impofed in Great-Britain, is of the fame kind. It falls heavieft upon the middling rank. A man of two hundred a year may keep a fingle man fervant. A man of ten thoufand a year will not keep fifty. It does not affect the poor.

Taxes upon the profits of ftock in particular employments can never affect the intereft of money. Nobody will lend his money for lefs intereft to thofe who exercife the taxed, than to thofe who exercife the untaxed employments. Taxes upon the revenue arifing from ftock in all employments, where the government attempts to levy them with any degree of exactnefs, will, in cales, fall upon the interef of money.
 is a tax of the fame kind with what is called the land-tax in England, and is affeffed, in the fame manner, upon the revenue arifing from land, houfes, and ftock. So far as it affects ftock it is affeffed, though not with great rigour, yet with much more exactnefs than that part of the landtax of England which is impofed upon the fame fund. It, in many cafes, falls alrogether upon the intereft of money. Money is frequently funk in France upon what are called Contracts for the conftitution of a rent; that is, perpetual annuities redeemable at any time by the debtor upon payment of the fum originally advanced, but of which this redemption is not exigible by the creditor except in particular cafes. The Vingtieme feems not to have raifed the rate of thofe annuities, though it is exactly levied upon them all.

## Appendix to Articies I. and II.

Taxes upon the capital Value of Land, Houfes, and Stock.

WHILE property remains in the poffeffion of the fame perfon, whatever permanent taxes may have been impofed upon it, they have never been intended to diminith or take away any part of its capital value, but only fome part of the revenue arifing from it. But when property changes hands, when it is tranfmitted either from the dead to the living, or from the living to the
${ }^{B}$ o o K living, fuch taxes have frequently been impofed v. upon it as neceffarily take away fome part of its capital value.

The transference of all forts of property from the dead to the living, and that of immoveable property, of lands and houfes, from the living to the living, are tranfactions which are in their nature either public and notorious, or fuch as cannot be long concealed. Such tranfactions, therefore, may be taxed directly. The tranfference of ftock or moveable property, from the living to the living, by the lending of money, is frequently a fecret tranfaction, and may always be made fo. It cannot eafily, therefore, be taxed directly. It has been taxed indirectly in $t$ wo different ways; firt, by requiring that the deed, containing the obligation to repay, fhould be written upon paper or parchment which had paid a certain ftamp-duty, otherwife not to be valid; fecondly, by requiring, under the like penalty of invalidity, that it hould be recorded either in a public or fecret regifter, and by impofing certain duties upon fuch regiftration. Stamp-duties and duties of regiftration have frequently been impofed likewife upon the deeds transfering property of all kinds from the dead to the living, and upon thofe transfering immoveable property from the living to the living, tranfactions which might eafily have been taxed directly.

The Vicefima Hereditatum, the twentieth penny of inheritances, impofed by Auguftus upon the ancient Romans, was a tax upon the
transference of property from the dead to the $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{AP}$. living. Dion Caffius*, the author who writes, concerning it the leaft indiftinctly, fays, that it was impofed upon all fucceffions, legacies, and donations, in cafe of death, except upon thofe to the neareft relations, and to the poor.
$\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{f}}$ the fame kind is the Dutch tax upon fucceffions $\dagger$. Collateral fucceffions are taxed, according to the degree of relation, from five to thirty per cent. upon the whole value of the fucceffion. Teftamentary donations, or legacies to collaterals, are fubject to the like duties. Thofe from hubband to wife, or from wife to hurband, to the fiffieth penny. The Luctuofa Hereditas, the mournful fucceffion of afcendents to defcendents, to the twentieth penny only. Direct fucceffions, or thofe of defcendents to afcendents, pay no tax. The death of a father, to fuch of his children as live in the fame houfe with him, is feldom attended with any increafe, and frequently with a confiderable diminution of revenue; by the lofs of his induftry, of his office, or of fome life-rent eftate, of which he may have been in poffeffion. That tax would be cruel and oppreffive which aggravated their lofs by taking from them any part of his fucceffion. It may, however, fometimes be otherwife with thofe children who, in the language of the Roman

[^15]+ See Memoires concernant les Droits, \&c. tome i. p. 225.
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soon law, are faid to be emancipated; in that of the Scotch law, to be foris-familiated; that is, who have received their portion, have got families of their own, and are fupported by funds feparate and independent of thofe of their father. Whatever part of his fucceffion might come to fuch children would be a real addition to their fortune, and might therefore, perhaps, without more inconveniency than what attends all duties of this kind, be liable to fome tax.

The cafualties of the feudal law were taxes upon the transference of land, both from the dead to the living, and from the living to the living. In ancient times they conftituted in every part of Europe one of the principal branches of the revenue of the crown.

The heir of every immediate vaffal of the crown paid a certain duty, generally a year's rent, upon receiving the inveftiture of the eftate. If the heir was a minor, the whole rents of the eftate, during the continuance of the minority, devolved to the fuperior without any other charge, befides the maintenance of the minor, and the payment of the widow's dower, when there happened to be a dowager upon the land. When the minor came to be of age, another tax, called Relief, was ftill due to the fuperior, which generally amounted likewife to a year's rent. A long minority, which in the prefent times fo frequently difburdens a great eftate of all its incumbrances, and reftores the family to their ancient fplendour, could in thofe times have no fuch effect. The walte, and not the difincum-
brance of the eftate, was the common effect of a $\mathbf{c} \boldsymbol{H}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{P}$. long minority.

By the feudal law the vaffal could not alienate without the confent of his fuperior, who generally extorted a fine or compofition for granting it. This fine, which was at firlt arbitrary, came in many countries to be regulated at a certain portion of the price of the land. In fome countries, where the greater part of the other feudal cuftoms have gone into difufe, this tax upon the alienation of land ftill continues to make a very confiderable branch of the revenue of the fovereign. In the canton of Berne it is fo high as a fixth part of the price of all noble fiefs; and a tenth part of that of all ignoble ones*. In the canton of Lucerne the tax upon the fale of lands is not univerfal, and takes place only in certain diftricts. But if any perfon-fells his land, in order to remove out of the territory, he pays ten per cent. upon the whole price of the fale $f$. Taxes of the fame kind upon the fale either of all lands, or of lands held by certain tenures, take place in many other countries, and make a more or lefs confiderable branch of the revenue of the Sovereign.

Such tranfactions may be taxed indirectly, by means either of ftamp-duties, or of duties upon regiftration; and thofe duties either may or may not be proportioned to the value of the fubject which is transferred.

[^16]book In Great-Britain the ftamp. duties are higher or v. , lower, not fo much according to the value of the property transferred (an eighteen penny or half crown ftamp being fufficient upon a bond for the largeft fum of money) as according to the nature of the deed. The higheft do not exceed fix pounds upon every fheet of paper, or kin of parchment; and thefe high duties fall chiefly upon grants from the crown, and upon certain law proceedings, without any regard to the value of the fubject. There are in Great-Britain no duties on the regiftration of deeds or writings, except the fees of the officers who keep the regifter; and thefe are feldom more than a reafonable recompence for their labour. The crown derives no revenue from them.

In Holland* there are both ftamp-duties and duties upon regiftration; which in fome cafes are, and in fome are not proportioned to the value of the property transferred. All teftaments mult be written upon famped paper of which the price is proportioned to the property difpofed of, fo that there are ftamps which coft from three pence, or three flivers a fheet, to three hundred florins, equal to about twentyfeven pounds ten fhillings of our money. If the ftamp is of an inferior price to what the teftator ought to have made ufe of, his fucceffion is confifcated. This is over and above all their other taxes on fucceffion. Except bills of ex-

[^17]change,
change, and fome other mercantile bills, all CHAP. other deeds, bonds, and contracts, are fubject to. a ftamp-duty. This duty, however, does not rife in proportion to the value of the fubject. All fales of land and of houfes, and all mortgages upon either, muft be regiftered, and, upon regiftration, pay a duty to the ftate of two and a half per cent. upon the amount of the price or of the mortgage. This duty is extended to the fale of all hhips and veffels of more than two tons burthen, whether decked or undecked. Thefe, it feems, are confidered as a fort of houfes upon the water. The fale of moveables, when it is ordered by 2 court of juftice, is fubject to the like duty of two and a half per cent.

In France there are both ftamp-duties and duties upon regiftration. The former are confidered as a branch of the aides or excife, and in the provinces where thofe duties take place, are levied by the excife officers. The latter are confidered as a branch of the domain of the crown, and are levied by a different fet of officers.

Those modes of taxation, by ftamp-duties and by duties upon regiftration, are of very modern invention. In the courfe of little more than a century, however, ftamp-duties have, in Europe, become almoft univerfal, and duties upon regiftration extremely common. There is no art which one government fooner learns of another, than that of draining money from the pockets of the people.

Taxes upon the transference of property from the dead to the living, fall finally as well as imme-

B o o $K$ diately upon the perfons to, whom the property is transferred. Taxes upon the fale of land fall altogether upon the feller. The feller is almoft always under the neceffity of felling, and muft, therefore, take fuch a price as he can get. The buyer is fcarce ever under the neceffity of buying, and will, therefore, only give fuch a price as he likes. He confiders what the land will coft him in tax and price together. The more he is obliged to pay in the way of tax, the lefs he will be difpofed to give in the way of price. Such taxes, therefore, fall almoft always upon a neceffitous perfon, and muft, therefore, be frequently very cruel and oppreffive. Taxes upon the fale of new-built houfes, where the building is fold without the ground, fall generally upon the buyer, becaufe the builder muft generally have his profit; otherwife he muft give up the trade. If he advances the tax, therefore, the buyer mult generally repay it to him. Taxes upon the fale of old houfes, for the fame reaton as thofe upon the fale of land, fall generally upon the feller; whom in moft cafes either conveniency or neceffity obliges to fell. The number of new-built houfes that are annually brought to market, is more or lefs regulated by the demand. Unlefs the demand is fuch as to afford the builder his profit, after paying all expences, he will build no more houfes. The number of old houfes which happen at any time to come to market is regulated by accidents of which the greater part have no relation to the demand. Two or three great bankruptcies in a mercantile
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town, will bring many houfes to fale, which muft C HiA. be fold for what can be got for them. Taxes upon ' the fale of ground-rents fall altogether upon the feller; for the fame reafon as thofe upon the fale of land. Stamp-duties, and duties upon the regiftration of bonds and contracts for borrowed money, fall altogether upon the borrower, and, in fact, are always paid by him. Duties of the fame kind upon law proceedings fall upon the fuitors. They reduce to both the capital value of the fubject in difpute. The more it cofts to acquire any property, the lefs muft be the neat value of it when acquired.

All taxes upon the transference of property of every kind, fo far as they diminifh the capital value of that property, tend to diminifh the funds deftined for the maintenance of productive labour. They are all more or lefs unthrify taxes that increafe the revenue of the fovereign, which feldom maintains any but unproductive labourers; at the expence of the capital of the people, which maintains none but productive.

Such taxes, even when they are proportioned to the value of the property transferred, are ftill unequal ; the frequency of transference not being always equal in property of equal value. When they are not proportioned to this value, which is the cafe with the greater part of the ftampduties, and duties of regiftration, they are ftill more fo. They are in no refpect arbitrary, but are or may be in all cafes perfectly clear and certain. Though they fometimes fall upon the perfon who is not very able to pay; the time of
${ }^{K}$ payment is in moft cafes fufficiently convenient for him. When the payment becomes due, he muft in moft cafes have the money to pay. They are levied at very little expence, and in general fubject the contributors to no other inconveniency befides always the unavoidable one of paying the tax.

In France the flamp-duties are not much complained of. Thofe of regiftration, which they call the Contrôle, are. They give occafion, it is pretended, to much extortion in the officers of the farmers-general who collect the tax, which is in a great meafure arbitrary and uncertain. In the greater part of the libels which have been written againft the prefent fyftem of finances in France, the abufes of the Contrôle make a principal article. Uncertainty, however, does not feem to be neceffarily inherent in the nature of fuch taxes. If the popular complaints are well founded, the abufe mult arife, not fo much from the nature of the tax, as from the want of precifion and diftinctnefs in the words of the edicts or laws which impofe it.

The regiftration of mortgages, and in general of all rights upon immoveable property, as it gives great fecurity both to creditors and purchafers, is extremely advantageous to the public. That of the greater part of deeds of other kinds is frequently inconvenient and even dangerous to individuals, without any advantage to the public. All regifters which, it is acknowledged, ought to be kept fecret, ought certainly never to exift. The credit of individuals ought certainly never to depend upon fo very Iender a fecu-
rity as the probity and religion of the inferior ${ }^{\mathrm{C}}{ }^{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{A}$. ${ }^{\text {P. }}$ officers of revenue. But where the fees of re-1 giftration have been made a fource of revenue to the fovereign, regifter offices have commonly been multiplied without end, both for the deeds which ought to be regiftered, and for thofe which ought not. In France there are feveral different forts of fecret regifters. This abufe, though not perhaps a neceffary, it muft be acknowledged, is a very natural effect of fuch taxes.

Such famp-duties as thofe in England upon cards and dice, upon news-papers and periodical pamphlets, \&c. are properly taxes upon confumption; the final payment falls upon the perfons who ufe or confume fuch commodities. Such ftamp-duties as thofe upon licences to retail ale, wine, and fpirituous liquors, though intended, perhaps, to fall upon the profits of the retailers, are likewife finally paid by the confumers of thofe liquors. Such taxes, though called by the fame name, and levied by the fame officers and in the fame manner with the flamp-duties above-mentioned upon the transference of property, are however of a quite different nature, and fall upon quite different funds.

## Article ill.

Taxes upon the Wages of Labour.
THE wages of the inferior claffes of workmen, I have endeavoured to fhow in the firt book, are every where neceffarily regulated by $\therefore$ III.

B OOK two different circumftances; the demand for lav. bour, and the ordinary or average price of provifions. The demand for labour, according as it happens to be either increafing, ftationary, or declining; or to require an increafing, ftationary, or declining population, regulates the fubfiltence of the labourer, and determines in what degree it fhall be, either liberal, moderate, or fcanty. The ordinary or average price of provifions determines the quantity of money which muft be paid to the workman in order to enable him, one year with another, to purchafe this liberal, moderate, or fcanty fubfiftence. While the demand for labour and the price of provifions, therefore, remain the fame, a direct tax upon the wages of labour can have no other effect than to raife them fomewhat higher than the tax. Let us fuppofe, for example, that in a particular place the demand for labour and the price of provifions were fuch, as to render ten hillings a week the ordinary wages of labour; and that a tax of one-fifth, or four hillings in the pound, was impoled upon wages. If the demand for labour and the price of provifions remained the fame, it would ftill be neceffary that the labourer fhould in that place earn fuch a fubfiftence as could be bought only for ten fhillings a week, or that after paying the tax he fhould have ten fhillings a week free wages. But in order to leave him fuch free wages aiter paying fuch a tax, the price of labour mult in that place foon rife, not to twelve fhillings a week only, but to twelve and fixpence; that is, in order to enable him to

B O OK of ftock, it would be neceffary that he fhould
v. retain a larger portion, or, what comes to the fame thing, the price of a larger portion, of the produce of the land, and confequently that he fhould pay leis rent to the landlord. The final payment of this rife of wages, therefore, would in this cafe fall upon the landlord, together with the additional profit of the farmer who had advanced it. In all cafes a direct tax upon the wages of labour mult, in the long-run, occalion both a greater reduction in the rent of land, and a greater rife in the price of manufactured goods, than would have followed from the proper affeffment of a fum equal to the produce of the tax, partly upon the rent of land, and partly upon confumable commodities.

If direct taxes upon the wages of labour have not always occafioned a proportionable rife in thofe wages, it is becauie they have generally occafioned a confiderable fall in the demand for labour. The declenfion of induitry, the decreafe of employment for the poor, the diminution of the annual produce of the land and labour of the country, have generally been the effects of fuch taxes. In confequence of them, however, the price of labour muft always be higher than it otherwife would have been in the actual ftate of the demand: and this enhancement of price, together with the profit of thofe who advance it, mult always be finally paid by the landlords and confumers.

A tax upon the wages of country labour does not raife the price of the rude produce of land in proportion to the tax; for the fame reafon that a
tax upon the farmer's profit does not raife that C HAP. price in that proportion.

Absurd and deftructive as fuch taxes are, however, they take place in many countries. In France that part of the taille which is charged upon the induftry of workmen and day-labourers in country villages, is properly a tax of this kind. Their wages are compured according to the common rate of the dintre in which they refide, and that they may be as hitle hable as poffible to any over-charge, their yearly gains are eftimated at no more than two hundred working days in the year*. The tax of each individual is varied from year to year according to different circumitances, of which the coilector or the commiffary, whom the intendant appoints to afint him, are the judges. In Bohemia, in confequence of the alteration in the fyftem of finances which was begun in $1 ; 43$, a very heavy tax is impofed upon the induttry of artificers. They are divided into four claffes. The highelt clafs pay a hundred florins a year; which, at two-and-twenty pence halfpenny a florin, amounts to 9 l .7 s .6 d . The fecond clafs are taxed at feventy; the third at fifty; and the fourth, comprehending artificers in villages, and the loweft clafs of thole in towns, at twenty-five forins $\dagger$.

The recompence of ingenious artilts and of men of liberal profeffions, I have endeavoured to thow in the firft book, neceffarily keeps a certain proportion to the emoluments of inferior

* Memoires concernant les Droits, \&ec. tom. ii. p. 108.
t Id. tom. iii. p. 87.
few others lefs obnoxious to envy, excepted. ${ }^{\text {C H A }}$ A. P. There are in England no other direct taxes upon the wages of labour.
ARticleIV.

Taxes which, it is intended, 乃bould fall indifferently upon every different Species of Revenue.

THE taxes which, it is intended, fhould fall indifferently upon every different fpecies of revenue, are capitation taxes, and taxes upon confumable commodities. Thefe mult be paid indifferently from whatever revenue the contributors may poffefs; from the rent of their land, from the profits of their ftock, or from the wages of their labour.

## Capitation Taxes.

CAPITATION taxes, if it is attempted to proportion them to the fortune or revenue of each contributor, become altogether arbitrary. The ftate of a man's fortune varies from day to day, and without an inquifition more intolerable than any tax, and renewed at leaft once every year, can only be gueffed at. His affeffment, therefore, mult in moft cafes depend upon the good or bad humour of his affeffors, and muft, therefore, be altogether arbitrary and uncertain.

Capitation taxes, if they are proportioned not to the fuppofed fortune, but to the rank of each contributor, become altogether unequal; Y 4 the
${ }^{1} \mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{o}^{\mathrm{K}}$ the degrees of fortune being frequently unequal in the fame degree of rank.

Such taxes, therefore, if it is attempted to render them equal, become altogether arbitrary and uncertain; and if it is attempted to render them certain and not arbitrary, become altogether unequal. Let the tax be light or heavy, uncertainty is always a great grievance. In a light tax a confiderable degree of inequality may be fupported; in a heavy one it is altogether intolerable.

In the different poll-taxes which took place in England during the reign of William III. the contributors were, the greater part of them, affeffed according to the degree of their rank; as dukes, marquiffes, earls, vifcounts, barons, efquires, gentlemen, the eldeft and youngeft fons of peers, $\& c$. All hopkeepers and tradefmen worth more than three hundred pounds, that is, the better fort of them, were fubject to the fame affeffment; how great foever might be the difference in their fortunes. Their rank was more confidered than their fortune. Several of thofe who in the firft poll-tax were rated according to their fuppofed fortune, were afterwards rated according to their rank. Serjeants, attornies, and proctors at law, who in the firt poll-tax were affeffed at three fhillings in the pound of their fuppofed income, were afterwards affeffed as gentlemen. In the affeffment of a tax which was not very heavy, a confiderable degree of inequality had been found Jefs infupportable than any degree of uncertainty.

In the capitation which has been levied inchap. France without any interruption fince the be-1 ginning of the prefent century, the higheft orders of people are rated according to their rank by an invariable tariff; the lower orders of people, according to what is fuppofed to be their fortune, by an affeffment which varies from year to year. The officers of the king's court, the judges and other officers in the fuperior courts of juftice, the officers of the troops, \&xc. are affeffed in the firt manner. The inferior ranks of people in the provinces are affeffed in the fecond. In France the great eafily fubmit to a confiderable degree of inequality in a tax which, fo far as it affeess them, is not a very heavy one; but could not brook the arbitrary affeffment of an intendant. The inferior ranks of people muft, in that country, fuffer patiently the ufage which their fuperiors think proper to give them.

In England the different poll-taxes never produced the fum which had been expected from them, or which, it was fuppofed, they might have produced, had they been exactly levied. In France the capitation always produces the fum expected from it. The mild government of England, when it affeffed the different ranks of people to the poll-tax, contented itfelf with what that affeffment happened to produce; and required no compenfation for the lofs which the ftate might fuftain either by thofe who could not pay, or by thofe who would not pay (for thete were many fuch), and who, by the indulgent

B O O K execution of the law, were not forced to pay. The more fevere government of France affeffes upon each generality a certain fum, which the intendant' muft find as he can. If any province complains of being affeffed too high, it may, in the affeffment of next year, obtain an abatement proportioned to the over-charge of the year before. But it mutt pay in the mean time. The intendant, in order to be fure of finding the fum affeffed upon his generality, was impowered to affels it in a larger fum, that the failure or inability of fume of the contributors might be compenfated by the over-charge of the reft; and till 1755 , the fixation of this furplus affefment was left altogether to his difcretion. In that year indeed the council affumed this power to itfelf. In the capitation of the provinces, it is obferved by the perfectly well-informed author of the Memoirs upon the impofitions in France, the proportion which falls upon the nobility, and upon thofe whofe privileges exempt them from the taille, is the leaft confiderable. The largeft falls upon thore fubject to the taille, who are affeffed to the capitation at fo much a pound of what they pay to that other tax.

Capitation taxes, fo far as they are levied upon the lower ranks of people, are direct taxes upon the wages of labour, and are attended with all the inconveniencies of fuch taxes.

Capitation taxes are levied at little expence; and, where they are rigorounly exacted, afford a very fure revenue to the ftate. It is upon this account that in countries where the eale, com-
fort, and fecurity of the inferior ranks of people $\mathrm{CH}_{\text {IA }} \mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{P}}$. are little attended to, capitation taxes are very, common. It is in general, however, but a fmall part of the public revenue, which, in a great empire, has ever been drawn from fuch taxes; and the greatelt fum which they have ever afforded, might always have been found in fome other way much more convenient to the people.

## Taxes upon confumable Commodities.

THE impoffibility of taxing the people, in proportion to their revenue, by any capitation, feems to have given occafion to the invention of taxes upon confumable commodities. The fate not knowing how to tax, directly and proportionably, the revenue of its fubjects, endeavours to tax it indirectly by taxing their expence, which, it is fuppofed, will in moft cafes be nearly in proportion to their revenue. Their expence is taxed by taxing the confumable commodities upon which it it is laid out.

Consumable commodities are either neceffarics or luxuries.

By neceffaries I underftand, not only the commodities which are indifpenfably neceffary for the fupport of life, but whatever the cuftom of the country renders it indecent for creditable people, even of the loweft order, to be without. A linen fhirt, for example, is, ftrictly fpeaking, not a neceffary of life. The Greeks and Romans
b oo K lived, I fuppole, very comfortably, though they had no linen. But in the prefent times, through the greater part of Europe, a creditable daylabourer would be afhamed to appear in public without a linen fhirt, the want of which would be fuppofed to denote that difgraceful degree of poverty, which, it is prefumed, nobody can well fall into without extreme bad conduct. Cuftom, in the fame manner, has rendered leather fhoes a neceffary of life in England. The pooreft creditable perfon of either fex would be ahnamed to appear in public withour them. In Scotland, cuftom has rendered them a neceffary of life to the lowett order of men; but not to the fame order of women, who may, without any difcredit, walk about bare-footed. In France they are neceffaries neither to men nor to women; the loweft rank of both fexes appearing there publicly without any difcredit, fometimes in wooden fhoes, and fometime barefooted. Under neceffaries, therefore, I comprehend, not only thofe things which nature, but thofe things which the eftablifhed rules of decency have rendered neceffary to the loweft rank of people. All other things I call luxuries; without meaning by this appellation, to throw the fmalleft degree of reproach upon the temperate ufe of them. Beer and ale, for example, in Great-Britain, and wine, even in the wine countries, I call luxuries. A man of any rank may, without any reproach, abitain totally from tafting fuch liquors. Nature does
not render them neceffary for the fupport of life; $\mathrm{CH} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{A}}$ and cuftom nowhere renders it indecent to live without them.

As the wages of labour are every where regulated, partly by the demand for it, and partly by the average price of the neceflary articles of fubfiftence; whatever raifes this average price muft neceffarily raife thofe wages, fo that the labourer may fill be able to purchafe that quantity of thofe neceffary articles which the fate of the demand for labour, whether increafing, ftationary, for declining, requires that he fhould have*. A tax upon thole articles neceffarily raifes their price fomewhat higher than the amount of the tax, becaufe the dealer, who advances the tax, mult generally get it back with a profit. Such a tax mult, therefore, occafion a rite in the wages of labour proportionable to this rife of price.

It is thus that a tax upon the neceflaties of life, operates exactly in the fame manner as a direct tax upon the wages of labour. The labourer, though he may pay it out of his hand, cannot, for any confiderable time at leaft, be properly faid even to advance it. It mut dways in the long-run be advanced to him by his immediate employer in the advanced rate of his wages. His employer, if he is a mannfacturer, will charge upon the price of his goods this rife of wages, together with a profit; fo that the final payment of the tax, together with this over-charge, will fall upon the confumer. If his enployer is a - See Book I. Chap. 8.

в o o $k$ farmer, the final payment, together with a like -charge, will fall upon the rent of the landlord.

It is otherwife with taxes upon what I call luxuries; even upon thofe of the poor. The rife in the price of the taxed commodities, will not neceffarily occafion any rife in the wages of labour. A tax upon tobacco, for example, though a luxury of the poor as well as of the rich, will not raife wages. Though it is taxed in England at three times, and in France at fifteen times its original price, thofe high duties feem to have no effect upon the wages of labour. The fame thing may be faid of the taxes upon tea and fugar ; which in England and Holland have become luxuries of the loweft ranks of people; and of thofe upon chocolate, which in Spain is faid to have become fo. The different taxes which in Great-Britain have in the courfe of the prefent century been impofed upon fpirituous liquors, are not fuppofed to have had any effect upon the wages of labour. The rife in the price of porter, occafioned by an additional tax of three fhillings upon the barrel of ftrong beer, has not raifed the wages of common labour in London. Thefe were about eighteenpence and twenty-pence a day before the tax, and they are not more now.

The high price of fuch commodities does not neceffarily diminifh the ability of the inferior ranks of people to bring up families. Upon the fober and induftrious poor, taxes upon fuch commodities act as fumptuary laws, and difpofe them either to moderate, or to refrain altogether from
the ufe of fuperfluities which they can no longercha eafily afford. Their ability to bring up families,' in confequence of this forced frugality, inftead of being diminifhed, is frequently, perhaps, increafed by the tax. It is the fober and induftrious puor who generally bring up the moft numerous families, and who principally fupply the demand for ufeful labour. All the poor indeed are not fober and induftrious, and the diffolute and diforderly might continue to indulge themfelves in the ufe of fuch commodities after this rife of price in the fame manner as before; without regarding the diftrefs which this indulgence might bring upon their families. Such diforderly perfons, however, feldom rear up numerous families; their children generally perifhing from negleet, mifmanagement, and the fcantinefs or unwholefomenefs of their food. If by the ftrength of their conftitution they furvive the hardfhips to which the bad conduct of their parents expofes them; yet the example of that bad conduct commonly corrupts their morals; fo that, inftead of being ufeful to fociety by their induftry, they become public nuifances by their vices and diforders. Though the advanced price of the luxuries of the poor, therefore, might increafe fomewhat the diftrefs of fuch diforderly families, and thereby diminifh fomewhat their ability to bring up children; it would not probably diminifh much the ufeful population of the country.

Any rife in the average price of neceffaries, unlefs it is compenfated by a proportionable rife in the wages of labour, noun neceffarily diminifh
e ook more or lefs the ability of the poor to bring up inumerous families, and confequently to fupply the demand for ufeful labour; whatever may be the ftate of that demand, whether increafing, ftationary, or declining; or fuch as requires an increaling, ftationary, or declining population.

Taxes upon luxuries have no tendency to raife the price of any other commodities. except that of the commodities taxed. Taxes upon neceffaries, by raifing the wages of labour, neceffarily tend to raife the price of all manufactures, and confequently to diminifh the extent of their fale and confumption. Taxes upon luxuries are finally paid by the confumers of the commodities taxed, without any retribution. They fall indifferently upon every fpecies of revenue, the wages of labour, the profits of ftock, and the rent of land. Taxes upon neceffaries, fo far as they affect the labouring poor, are finally paid, partly by landlords in the diminifhed rent of their lands, and paitly by rich confumers, whether landlords or others, in the advanced price of manufartured goods; and always with a confiderable over-charge. The advanced price of fuch manufactures as are real neceffaries of life, and are deftined ior the confumption of the poor, of coarfe wooilens, for example, muft be compenfated to the poor by a farther advancement of their, wages. The middling and fuperior ranks of people, if they underftood their own intereft, ought always to oppofe all taxes upon the neceffaries of life, as well as all direct taxes upon the wages of labour. The final pay-
ment of both one and the other falls alto- CHAP. gether upon themfelves, and always with a con-1 fiderable over-charge. They fall heavieft upon the landlords, who always pay in a double capacity; in that of landlords, by the reduction of their rent; and in that of rich confumers, by the increafe of their expence. The obfervation of Sir Matthew Decker, that certain taxes are, in the price of certain goods, fometimes repeated and accumulated four or five times, is perfectly juft with regard to taxes upon the neceffaries of life. In the price of leather, for example, you muft pay, not only for the tax upon the leather of your own fhoes, but for a part of that upon thofe of the fhoe-maker and the tanner. You muft pay too for the tax upon the falt, upon the foap, and upon the candles which thofe workmen confume while employed in your fervice, and for the tax upon the leather, which the faltmaker, the foap-maker, and the candle-maker confume while employed in their fervice.

In Great Britain, the principal taxes upon the neceffaries of life are thofe upon the four commodities juft now mentioned, falt, leather, foap, and candles.

Salt is a very ancient and a very univerfal fubject of taxation. It was taxed among the Romans, and it is fo at prefent in, I believe, every part of Europe. The quantity annually confumed by any individual is fo fmall, and may be purchafed fo gradually, that nobody, it feems to have been thought, could feel very fenfibly even 2 pretty heavy tax upon it. It is Vol. III.

Book in England taxed at three fhillings and fourpence a buthel; about three times the original price of the commodity. In fome other countries the tax is ftill higher. Leather is a real neceffary of life. The ufe of linen renders foap fuch. In countries where the winter nights are long, candles are a neceffary inftrument of trade. Leather and foap are in Great Britain taxed at three halfpence a pound; candles at a penny; taxes which, upon the original price of leather, may amount to about eight or ten per cent.; upon that of foap to about twenty or five and twenty per cent.; and upon that of candles to about fourteen or fifteen per cent.; taxes which, though lighter than that upon falt, are ftill very heavy. As all thofe four commodities are real neceffaries of life, fuch heavy taxes upon them muft increafe fomewhat the expence of the fober and induftious poor, and muft confequently raife more or lefs the wages of their labour.

In a country where the winters are fo cold as in Great Britain, fuel is, during that feafon, in the flricteft funfe of the word, a neceffary of life, not only for the purpofe of dreffing victuals, but for the comfortable fubfiftence of many different forts of workmen who work within doors; and coals are the cheapeft of all fuel. The price of fuel has fo important an influence upon that of labour, that ail over Great Britain manufactures have cornfiued themfelves principally to the coal counties; other parts of the country, on account of the high price of this neceffary article, not being able to work fo cheap. In fome manu-
factures, befides, coal is a neceffary inftrument ${ }^{\text {C H }}$ H. ${ }^{\text {A }}$. of trade; as in thofe of glafs, iron, and all other metals If a bounty could in any cafe be reafonable, it might perhaps be fo upon the tranfportation of coals from thofe parts of the country in which they abound, to thofe in which they are wanted. But the legillature, inftead of a bounty, has impofed a tax of three fhillings and three-pence a ton upon coal carried coaftways; which upon moft forts of coal is more than fixty per cent. of the original price at the coal-pit. Coals carried either by land or by inland navigation pay no duty. Where they are naturally cheap, they are confumed duty free: where they are naturally dear, they are loaded with a heavy duty.

Such taxes, though they ralfe the price of fubfiftence, and confequently the wages of labour, yet they afford a confiderable revenue to government, which it might not be eafy to find in any other way. There may, therefore, be good reafons for continuing them. The bounty upon the exportation of corn, fo far at it tends in the aftual ftate of tilliage to raife the price of that neceffary article, produces all the like bad effects; and inftead of affording any revenue, frequently occafions a very great expence to government. The high duties upon the importation of foreign corn, which in years of moderate plenty amount to a prohibition; and the abfolute prohibition of the importation either of live cattle or of falt proviftons, which takes place in the ordinary ftate of the law, and which,
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ox on account of the fearcity, is at prefent fufpended $\rightarrow$ for a limited time with regard to Ireland and the Britih plantations, have all had the bad effects of taxes upon the neceffaries of life, and produce no revenue to government. Nothing feems neceffary for the repeal of fuch regulations, but to convince the public of the futility of that fyftem in confequence of which they have been eftablifhed.

Taxes upon the neceffaries of life are much higher in many other countries than in Great Britain. Duties upon flour and meal when ground at the mill, and upon bread when baked at the oven, take place in many countries. In Holland the money price of the bread confumed in towns is fuppofed to be doubled by means of fuch taxes. In lieu of a part of them, the people who live in the country pay every year fo much a head, according to the fort of bread they are fuppofed to confume. Thofe who confume wheaten bread, pay three guilders fifteen ftivers; about fix fhillings and nine-pence halfpenny. Thefe, and fome other taxes of the fame kind, by raifing the price of labour, are faid to have ruined the greater part of the manufactures of Holland*. Similar taxes, though not quite fo heavy, take place in the Milanefe, in the ftates of Genoa, in the dutchy of Modena, in the dutchies of Parma, Placentia, and Guaftalla, and in the ecclefiaftical flate. A French $\dagger$ author

[^18]of fome note has propofed to reform the finances $\mathbf{C H}_{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{A}$. . of his country, by fubflituting in the room of , the greater part of other taxes, this moft ruinous of all taxes. There is nothing fo abfurd, lays Cicero, which has not fometimes been afferted by fome philofophers.

Taxes upon butchers meat are ftill more common than thofe upon bread. It may indeed be doubted whether butchers meat is any where a neceffary of life. Grain and other vegetables, with the help of milk, cheefe, and butter, or oil, where butter is not to be had, it is known from experience, can, without any butchers meat, afford the moft plentiful, the moft wholefome, the molt nourifhing, and the moft invigorating diet. Decency no where requires that any man fhould eat butchers meat, as it in moft places requires that he fhould wear a linen fhirt or a pair of leather fhoes.

Consumable commodities, whether neceffaries or luxuries, may be taxed in two different ways. The confumer may either pay an annual fum on account of his ufing or confuming goods of a certain kind; or the goods may be taxed while they remain in the hands of the dealer, and before they are delivered to the confumer. The confumable goods which laft a confiderable sime before they are confumed altogether, are moft properly taxed in the one way. Thofe of which the confumption is either immediate or more fpeedy, in the other. The coach-tax plate-tax are examples of the former method
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## THE NATURE AND CAUSES OF

${ }^{B}$ OOK $\mathbf{V}$ of impofing: the greater part of the other duties of excife and cuftoms, of the latter.

A COACH may, with good management, , laft ten or twelve years. It might be taxed, once for all, before it comes out of the hands of the coach-maker. But it is certainly more convenient for the buyer to pay four pounds a year for the privilege of keeping a coach, than to pay all at once forty or forty-eight pounds additional price to the coach-maker; or a fum equivalent to what the tax is likely to coft him during the time he ufes the fame coach. A fervice of plate, in the fame manner, may laft more than a century. It is certainly eafier for the confumer to pay five fhillings a year for every hundred ounces of plate, near one per cent. of the value, than to redeem this long annuity at five and twenty or thircy years purchafe, which would enhance the price at leaft five and twenty or thirty per cent. The different taxes which affect houfes are certainly more conveniently paid by moderate annual payments, than by a heavy tax of equal value upon the firft building or fale of the houfe.

It was the well-known propofal of Sir Matthew Decker, that all commodities, even thofe of which the confumption is either immediate or very fpeedy, fhould be taxed in this manner; the dealer advancing nothing, but the confumer paying a certain annual fum for the licence to confume certain goods. The object of his fcheme was to promote all the different branches.
${ }^{\text {B O }} \mathbf{0}$ O K brewer charges for having advanced them, may - perhaps amount to about three halfpence. If a workman can conveniently fpare thofe three halfpence, he buys a pot of porter. If he cannot, he contents himfelf with a pint, and, as a penny faved is a penny got, he thus gains a farthing by his temperance. He pays the tax piecemeal, as he can afford to pay it, and when he can afford to pay it, and every act of payment is perfectly voluntary, and what he can avoid if he chufes to do fo. Thirdly, fuch taxes would operate lefs as fumptuary laws. When the licence was once purchafed, whether the purchafer drunk much or drunk little, his tax would be the fame. Fourthly, if a workman were to pay all at once, by yearly, half-yearly, or quarterly payments, a tax equal to what he at prefent pays, with little or no inconveniency, upon all the different pots and pints of porter which he drinks in any fuch period of time, the fum might frequently diftrels him very much. This mode of taxation, therefore, it feems evident, coald never, without the moft grievous oppreffion, produce a revenue nearly equal to what is derived from the prefent mode without any oppreffion. In feveral countries, however, commodities of an immediate or very fpeedy confumption are taxed in this manner. In Holland, people pay fo much a head for a licence to drink tea. I have already mentioned a tax upon bread, which, fo far as it is confumed in farmhoufes and country villages, is there levied in the fame manner.

The duties of excife are impofed chiefly upon chap. goods of home produce deftined for home confumption. They are impofed only upon a few forts of goods of the moft general ufe. There can never be any doubt either concerning the goods which are fubject to thofe duties, or concerning the particular duty which each feecies of goods is fubject to. They fall almoft altogether upon what I call luxuries, excepting always the four duties above mentioned, upon falt, foap, leather, candles, and, perhaps, that upon green glafs.

The duties of cuftoms are much more ancient than thofe of excife. They feem to have been called cuftoms, as denoting cuftomary payments which had been in ufe from time immemorial. They appear to have been originally confidered as taxes upon the profits of merchants. During the barbarous times of feudal anarchy, merchants, like all the other inhabitants of burghs, were confidered as little better than emancipated bondmen, whofe perfons were defpifed, and whofe gains were envied. The great nobility, who had confented that the king fhould tallage the profits of their own tenants, were not unwilling that he fhould tallage likewife thofe of an order of men whom it was much lefs their intereft to protect. In thofe ignorant times, it was not underftood, that the profits of merchants are a fubject not taxable directly; or that the final payment of all fuch taxes muft fall, with a confiderable over-charge, upon the confumers.
book The gains of alien merchants were looked upon more unfavourably than thofe of Englifh merchants. It was natural, therefore, that thofe of the former hould be taxed more heavily than thofe of the latter. This diftinction between the duties upon aliens and thofe upon Englifh merchants, which was begun from ignorance, has been continued from the firit of monopoly, or in order to give our own merchants an advantage both in the home and in the foreign market.

With this diftinction the ancient duties of cuftoms were impofed equally upon all forts of goods, neceffaries as well as luxuries, goods exported as well as goods imported. Why fhould the dealers in one fort of goods, feems to have been thought, be more favoured than thofe in another? or why fhould the merchant exporter be more favoured than the merchant importer?

The ancient cuftoms were divided into three branches. The firft, and perhaps the moit ancient of all thofe duties, was that upon wool and leather. It feems to have been chiefly or altogether an exportation duty. When the woollen manufacture came to be eftablifhed in England, left the king fhould lofe any part of his cuftoms upon wool by the exportation of woollen cloths, a like duty was impofed upon them. The other two branches were, firft, a duty upon wine, which, being impofed, at fo much a ton, was called a tonnage; and, fecondly, a duty upon all other goods, which, being impofed at fo. much
much a pound of their fuppofed value, was called C HiAP. a poundage. In the forty feventh year of Ed-1 ward III. a duty of fixpence in the pound was impofed upon all goods exported and imported, except wools, wool-fells, leather, and wines, which were fubject to particular duties. In the fourteenth of Richard II. this dury was raifed to one fhilling in the pound; but three years afterwards, it was again reduced to fixpence. It was raifed to eight-pence in the fecond year of Henry IV.; and in the fourth of the fame prince, to one fhilling. From this time to the ninth year of William III. this duty continued at one fhilling in the pound. The duties of tonnage and poundage were generally granted to the king by one and the fame act of parliament, and were called the Subfidy of Tonnage and Poundage. The fubfidy of poundage having continued for fo long a time at one hilling in the pound, or at five per cent.; a fublidy came, in the language of the cuftoms, to denote a general duty of this kind of five per cent. This fubfidy, which is now called the Old Subfidy, ftill continues to be levied according to the book of rates eftablihed in the twelfth of Charles II. The method of afcertaining, by a book of rates, the value of goods fubject to this duty, is faid to be older than the time of James I. The new fubfidy impofed by the ninth and tenth of William III., was an additional five per cent. upon the greater part of goods. The one-third and the two-third fubfidy made up between them another five per
sook cent. of which they were proportionable parts. The fubfidy of 1747 made a fourth five per cent. upon the greater part of goods; and that of 1759, a fifth upon fome particular forts of goods. Befides thofe five fubfidies, a great variety of other duties have occalionally been impofed upon particular forts of goods, in order fometimes to relieve the exigencies of the ftate, and fometimes to regulate the trade of the country, according to the principles of the mercantile fyftem.

That fyitem has come gradually more and more into farhion. The old fubfidy was impofed indifferently upon exportation as well as importation. The four fubfequent fubfidies, as well as the other duties which have fince been occafionally impofed upon particular forts of goods, have, with a few exceptions, been laid altogether upon importation. The greater part of the ancient duties which had been impofed upon the exportation of the goods of home produce and manufacture, have either been lightened or taken away altogether. In moft cafes they have been taken away. Bounties have even been given upon the exportation of fome of them. Drawbacks too, fometimes of the whole, and, in moft cafes, of a part of the duties which are paid upon the importation of foreign goods, have been granied upon their exportation. Only half the duties impofed by the old fubfidy upon importation, are drawn back upon exportation: but the whole of thofe impofed by the latter fubfidies and other impofts are, upon
 fame manner. This growing favour of export-1 ation, and difcouragement of importation, have fuffered only a few exceptions, which chiefly concern the materials of fome manufactures. Thefe, our merchants and manufacturers are willing fhould come as cheap as poffible to themfeives, and as dear as poffible to their rivals and competitors in other countries. Foreign materials are, upon this account, fometimes allowed to be imported duty free; Spanif wool, for example, flax and raw linen yarn. The exportation of the materials of home produce, and of thofe which are the particular produce of our colonies, has fometimes been prohibited, and fometimes fubjected to higher duties. The exportation of Englifh wool has been prohibited. That of beaver fkins, of beaver wool, and of gum Senega, has been fubjected to higher duties; Great Britain, by the conqueft of Canada and Senegal, having got almoft the monopoly of thofe commodities.

That the mercantile fyftem has not been very favourable to the revenue of the great body of the people, to the annual produce of the land and labour of the country, I have endeavoured to fhew in the fourth book of this Inquiry. It feems not to have been more favourable to the revenue of the fovereign; fo far at leaft as that revenue depends upon the duties of cuftoms.

In confequence of that fyftem, the importation of feveral forts of goods has been prohibited altogether. This prohibition has in fome cafes entirely

Book entirely prevented, and in others has very much diminifhed the importation of thofe commodities, by reducing the importers to the neceffity of fmuggling. It has entirely prevented the importation of foreign woollens; and it has very much diminifhed that of foreign filks and velvets. In both cafes it has entirely annihilated the revenue of cuftoms which might have been levied upon fuch importation.

The high duties which have been impofed upon the importation of many different forts of foreign goods, in order to difcourage their confumption in Great Britain, have in many cafes ferved only to encourage fmuggling, and in all cafes have reduced the revenue of the cultoms below what more moderate duties would have afforded. The faying of Dr. Swift, that in the arithmetic of the cuftoms two and two, inftead of making four, make fometimes only one, holds perfectly true with regard to fuch heavy duties, which never could have been impofed had not the mercantile fyftem taught us, in many cafes, to employ taxation as an inftrument, not of revenue, but of monopoly.

The bounties which are fometimes given upon the exportation of home produce and manufactures, and the drawbacks which are paid upon the re-exportation of the greater part of foreign goods, have given occafion to many frauds, and to a fpecies of fmuggling more deftructive of the public revenue than any other. In order to obtain the bounty or drawback, the goods, it is well known, are fometimes
mipped and fent to fea; but foon afterwards $\mathbf{C H}_{\text {H. }}{ }^{\text {A. }}$. clandeftinely relanded in fome other part of the 1 country. The defalcation of the revenue of cuftoms occafioned by bounties and drawbacks, of which a great part are obtained fraudulently, is very great. The grofs produce of the cuftoms in the year which ended on the $5^{\text {th }} 9 f$ January 1755, amounted to $5,068,000 \mathrm{l}$. The bounties which were paid out of this revenue, though in that year there was no bounty upon corn, amounted to $167,800 \mathrm{l}$. The drawbacks which were paid upon debentures and certificates, to $2,156,800 \mathrm{l}$. Bounties and drawbacks together, amounted to $2,324,600 \mathrm{l}$. In confequence of thefe deductions the revenue of the cuftoms arinunted only to $2,743,400$ l.: from which deduting $237,000 \%$. for the expence of manarement in fuaties and other incidents, the neat revenue of the cuftoms for that year comes out ro be $2,455,502$. The expence of management amourts in this manner to between five and fix per cent. upon the grofs revenue of the cuftoms, and to fomething more than ten per cent. upon what remains of that revenue, after deducting what is paid away in bounties and drawbacks.

Heavy duties being impofed upon almof all goods imported, our merchant importers fmuggle as much, and make entry of as little as they can. Our merchant exporters, on the contrary, make entry of more than they export; fometimes out of vanity, and to pafs for great dealers in goods which pay no duty; and fometimes to gain a bounty

BOO. $K$ bounty or a drawback. Our exports, in confe, -quence of thefe different frauds, appear upon the cuftomhoufe books greatly to overbalance our imports; to the unfpeakable comfort of thofe politicians who meafure the national profperity by what they call the balance of trade.

- All goods imported, unlefs particularly exempted, and fuch exemptions are not very numerous, are liable to fome duties of cuftoms. If any goods are imported not mentioned in the book of rates, they are taxed at 4 s . $9 \frac{9}{2} \delta \mathrm{~d}$. for every twenty fhillings value, according to the oath of the importer, that is, nearly at five fubfidies, or five poundage duties. The book of rates is extremely comprehenfive, and enumerates a great variety of articles, many of them little ufed, and therefore not well known. It is upon this account frequently uncertain under what article a particular fort of goods ought to be claffed, and confequently what duty they ought to pay. Miftakes with regard to this fometimes ruin the cuftomhoufe officer, and frequently occafion much trouble, expence, and vexation to the importer. In point of perfpicuity, precifion, and diftinctnefs, therefore, the duties of cuftoms are much inferior to thofe of excife.
$\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{N}}$ order that the greater part of the members of any fociety fhould contribute to the public revenue in proportion to their refpective expence, it does not feem neceffary that every fingle article of that expence fhould be taxed. The revenue, which is levied by the duties of excife,

Is fuppofed to fall as equally upon the contri- CHAP. butors as that which is levied by the duties of cuftoms; and the duties of excife are impofed upon a few articles only of the moft general ufe and confumption. It has been the opinion of many people, that by proper management, the duties of cuftoms might likewife, without any lofs to the public revenue, and with great ad. vantage to foreign trade, be confined to a few articles only.

The foreign articles, of the moft general ule and confumption in Great-Britain, feem at prefent to confift chiefly in foreign wines and brandies; in fome of the productions of America and the Weft-Indies, fugar, rum, tobacco, cocoanuts, \&re. and in fome of thofe of the Eaft-Indies, tea, coffee, china-ware, fpiceries of all kinds, ícveral forts of piece-goods, \&c. Thele different articles afford, perhaps, at prefent, the greater part of the revenue which is drawn from the duties of cuftoms. The taxes which at prefent fubfint upon foreign manufactures, if you except thofe upon the few contained in the foregoing enumeration, have the greater part of them been impofed for the purpofe, not of revenue, but of monopoly, or to give our own merchants an advantage in the home market. By removing all prohibitions, and by fubjecting all foreign manufactures so fuch moderate taxes, as it was found from experience afforded upon each article the greatelt revenue to the public, our own workmen might ftill have a confiderable odvantage in the home market, and many ar-
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b o o K ticles, fome of which at prefent afford no revenue government, and others a very inconfiderable one, might afford a very great one.

High taxes, fometimes by diminifhing the confumption of the taxed commodities, and fometimes by encouraging fmuggling, frequently afford a fmaller revenue to government than what might be drawn from more moderate taxes.

When the diminution of revenue is the effect of the diminution of confumption, there can be but one remedy, and that is the lowering of the tax.

When the diminution of the revenue is the effect of the encouragement given to finuggling, it may perhaps be remedied in two ways; either by diminining the temptation to fmuggle, or by increafing the difficulty of fmuggling. The temptation to fmuggle can be diminifhed only by the lowering of the tax; and the difficulty of fmuggling can be increafed only by eftablifhing that fyftem of adminiftration which is moft proper for preventing it.

The excife laws, it appears, I believe, from experience, obitruct and embarrafs the operations of the fmuggler much more effectually than thofe of the cuftoms. By introducing into the cuftoms a fyftem of adminiftration as fimilar to that of the excife as the nature of the different duties will admit, the difficulty of fmuggling might be very much increafed. This alteration, it has been fuppofed by many people, might very eafily be brought about.

The importer of commodities liable to any CHAP. duties of cuftoms, it has been faid, might at his option be allowed either to carry them to his own private warehoufe, or to lodge them in a warehoufe provided either at his own expence or at that of the public, but under the key of the cuifomhoufe officer, and never to be opened but in his prefence. If the merchant carried them to his own private warehoufe, the duties to be immediately paid, and never afterwards to be drawn back; and that warehoufe to be at all times fubject to the vifit and examination of the cuftomhoufe officer, in order to afcertain how far the quantity contained in it correfponded with that for which the duty had been paid. If he carried them to the public warehoufe, no duty to be paid till they were taken out for home confumption. If taken out for exportation, to be duty-free; proper fecurity being always given that they fhould be fo exported. The dealers in thofe particular commodities, either by wholefale or retail, to be at all times fubject to the vifit and examination of the cuftomhoufe officer; and to be obliged to juftify by proper certificates the payment of the duty upon the whole quantity contained in their fhops or warehoufes. What are called the excife-duties upon rum imported are at prefent levied in this manner, and the fame fyftem of adminiftration might perhaps be extended to all duties upon goods imported; provided always that thofe duties were, like the duties of excife, confined to a few furts of goods of the moft general ufe and con-
${ }^{\text {B o }}$ o v . fumption. If they were extended to almoft af forts of goods, as at prefent, public warehoufes of fufficient extent could not eafily be provided, and goods of a very delicate nature, or of which the prefervation required much care and attention, could not fafely be truited by the merchant in any warehoufe but his own.

If by fuch a fyftem of adminiftration fmuggling, to any confiderable extent, could be prevented even under pretty high duties; and if every duty was occafionally either heightened or lowered according as it was moft likely, either the one way or the other, to afford the greateft revenue to the Itate ; taxation being always employed as an inftruinent of revenue and never of monopoly; it feems not improbable that a revenue, at leaft equal to the prefent neat revenue of the cultoms, might be drawn from duties upon the importation of only a few forts of goods of the moit general ufe and. confumption; and that the duties of cuftoms might thus be brought to the fame degree of fimplicity, certainty, and precifion, as thofe of excife. What the revenue at prefent lofes, by drawbacks upon the re-exportation of foreign goods which are afterwards relanded and confumed at home, would under this fyftem be faved altogether. If to this faving, which would alone be very confiderable, were added the abolition of all bounties upon the exportation of home-produce; in all cafes in which thofe bounties were not in reality drawbacks. of fome duties of excife which had before been advanced; it cannot well be doubted but that the meat revenue of cuftoms might, after an alteration
of this kind, be fully equal to what it had ever C H A. been before.

If by fuch a change of fyftem the public revenue fuffered no lofs, the trade and manufactures of the country would certainly gain a very confiderable advantage. The trade in the commodities not taxed, by far the greateft number, would be perfecily free, and might be carried on to and from all parts of the world with every poffible advantage. Among thofe commodities would be comprehended all the neceffaries of life, and all the materials of manufacture. So far as the free importation of the neceffaries of life reduced their average money price in the home market, it would reduce the money price of labour, but without reducing in any refpect its real recompence. The value of meney is in proportion to the quantity of the neceffaries of life which it will purchafe. That of the neceffaries of life is altogether independert of the quantity of monev which can be had for them. The reduction in the money price of labour would neceffarily be attended with a proportionable one in that of all home-manufactures, which would thereby gain fome advantage in all foreign markets. The price of fome manufactures would be reduced in a ftill grcater proportion by the free importation of the raw materials. If raw filk could be imported from China and Indoftan duty-free, the filk manufactures in England could greatly underfell thofe of both France and Italy. There would be no occafion to prohibit the importation of foreign filks and velvets. The cheapnefs
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${ }^{B} \mathbf{O}$ O. $K$ of their goods would fecure to our own workmen, not only the poffeffion of the home, but a very great command of the foreign marker. Even the trade in the commodities taxed would be carried on with much more advantage than at prefent. If thofe commodities were delivered out of the public warehoufe for foreign exportation, being in this cafe exempted from all taxes, the trade in them would be perfectly free. The carrying trade in all forts of goods would under this fyftem enjoy every poffible advantage. If thofe commodities were delivered out for homeconfumption, the importer not being obliged to advance the tax till he had an opportunity of felling his goods, either to fome dealer, or to fome confumer, he could always afford to fell them cheaper than if he had been obliged to advance it at the moment of importation. Under the fame taxes, the foreign trade of confumption, even in the taxed commodities, might in this manner be carried on with much more advantage than it can at prefent.

It was the object of the famous excife fcheme of Sir Robert Walpole to eftablifh, with regard to wine and tobacco, a fyftem not very unlike that which is here propofed. But though the bill which was then brought into parliament, comprehended thofe two commodities only; it was generally fuppofed to be meant as an introduction to a more extenfive fcheme of the fame kind. Faction combined with the intereft of fmuggling merchants, raifed fo violent, though fo unjuft, a clamour againft that bill, that the
minifter thought proper to drop it; and from a $\mathbf{C H} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{H}}$ a P . dread of exciting a clamour of the fame kind, none of his fucceffors have dared to refume the project.

The duties upon foreign luxuries imported for home-confumption, though they fometimes fall upon the poor, fall principally upon people of middling or more than middling fortune. Such are, for example, the duties upon foreign wines, upon coffee, chocolate, tea, fugar, \&c.

The duties upon the cheaper luxuries of homeproduce deftined for home-confumption, fall pretty equally upon people of all ranks in proportion to their refpective expence. The poor pay the duties upon malt, hops, beer, and ale, upon their own confumption: The rich, upon both their own confumption and that of their fervants.

The whole confumption of the inferior ranks of people, or of thote below the middling rank, it muft be obferved, is in every country much greater, not only in quantity, but in value, than that of the middling and of thofe above the middling rank. The whole expence of the inferior is much greater than that of the fuperior ranks. In the firft place, almoft the whole capital of every country is annually diftributed among the inferior ranks of people, as the wages of productive labour. Secondly, a great part of the revenue arifing from both the rent of land and the profits of ftock, is annually diftributed among the fame rank, in the wages and maintenance of menial fervants, and other unproduc. tive labourers. Thirdly, fome part of the profits

$$
\mathrm{Aa}_{4} \quad \text { of }
$$
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sook of ftock belongs to the fame rank, as a revenue 'arifing from the employment of their fmall capitals. The amount of the profits annually made by fmall fhopkeepers, tradefmen, and retailers of all kinds, is every where very confiderable, and makes a very confiderable portion of the annual produce. Fourthly and laftly, fome part even of the rent of land belongs to the fame rank; a confidciable part to thofe who are fomewhat below the middling rank, and a finall part even to the loweft rank; common labourers fometimes poffefing in property an acre or two of land. Though the expence of thofe inferior ranks of people, therefore, taking them individually, is very fmall, yet the whole mafs of it, taking them collectively, amounts always to by much the largelt portion of the whole expence of the fociety; what remains, of the annual produce of the land and labour of the country for the confumption of the fuperior ranks, being always much lefs, not only in quantity but in value. The taxes upon expence, therefore, which fall chiefly upon that of the fuperior ranks of people, upon the fmaller portion of the annual produce, are likely to be much lefs productive than either thofe which fall indifferently upon the expence of all ranks, or even thofe which fall chiefly upon that of the inferior ranks; than either thofe which fall indifferently upon the whole annual produce, or thofe which fall chiefly upon the larger portion of it. The excife upon the materials and manufacture of home-made fermented and firituous liquors is accordingly,
of all the different taxes upon expence, by farchap. the moit productive; and this branch of the excife falls very much, perhaps principally, upon the expence of the common people. In the year which ended on the 5 th of July 1775, the grofs produce of this branch of the excife amounted to $3,341,8,37$ l. 9 s .9 d .

It muit always be remembered, however, that it is the luxurious and not the neceflary expence of the inferior ranks of people that ought ever to be taxed. The final payment of any tax upon their necefary expence would fall altogether upon the fuperior ranks of people; upon the fimaller potion of the annoal produce, and not upon the greater. Such a tax muft in all cafes either raife the wages of labour, or leflen the demand for ir. It couid not raife the wages of labour, wihout throwing the final payment of the tax upon the fuperice mank of people. It could not leffen the demand for labour, without leffening the annual prodace of the hand and labour of the county, the fund upon which all taxes muft be finally faid. Whatever might be the fate to which a tax of this kind reduced the demand for labour, it muft always raife wages higher than they otherwife would be in that Itate; and the final payment of this enhancement of wages mult in all cafes fall upon the fuperior ranks of people.

Fermentied liquors brewed, and fpirituous liquors difilled, not for fale, but for private ufe, are not in Great Brimin liable to any duties of excife. This exemption, of which the object is to fave private families from the odious vifit and
soor examination of the tax-gatherer, occafions the burden of thofe duties to fall frequently much lighter upon the rich than upon the poor. It is not, indeed, very common to diftil for private ufe, though it is done fometimes. But in the country, many middling and almoft all rich and great families brew their own beer. Their ftrong beer, therefore, cofts them eight fhillings a barrel lefs than it cofts the common brewer, who muft have his profit upon the tax, as well as upon all the other expence which he advances. Such families, therefore, muft drink their beer at leaft nine or ten fhillings a barrel cheaper than any liquor of the fame quality can be drunk by the common people, to whom it is every where more convenient to buy their beer, by little and little, from the brewery or the alehoufe. Malt, in the fame manner, that is made for the ufe of a private family, is not liable to the vifit or examination of the tax-gatherer; but in this cafe the family muft compound at feven fhillings and fixpence a head for the tax. Seven fhillings and fixpence are equal to the excife upon ten bufhels of malt; a quantity fully equal to what all the different members of any fober family, men, women, and children, are at an average likely to confume. But in rich and great families, where country hofpitality is much practifed, the malt liquors confumed by the members of the family make but a fmall part of the confumption of the houfe. Either on account of this compoficion, however, or for other reafons, it is not near fo common to malt as to brew for private ufe. It is difficult to imagine any equitable reafon
reafon why thofe who either brew or diftil for ${ }^{C} H_{\text {H. }} \mathbf{A P}^{\mathbf{P}}$ private ufe, fhould not be fubject to a compo-' fition of the fame kind.

A greater revenue than what is at prefent drawn from all the heavy taxes upon malt, beer, and ale, might be raifed, it has frequently been faid, by a much lighter tax upon malt; the opportunities of defrauding the revenue being much greater in a brewery than in a malt-houfe; and thofe who brew for private ufe being exempted from all duties or compofition for duties, which is not the cafe with thofe who malt for private ufe.

In the porter brewery of London, a quarter of malt is commonly brewed into more than two barrels and a half, fometimes into three barrels of porter. The different taxes upon malt amount to fix fhillings a quarter; thofe upon ftrong beer and ale to eight fhillings a barrel. In the porter brewery, therefore, the different taxes upon malt, beer, and ale, amount to between twenty-fix and thirty fhillings upon the produce of a quarter of malt. In the country brewery for common country fale, a quarter of malt is feldom brewed into lefs than two barrels of ftrong and one barrel of fmall beer; frequently into two barrels and a half of ftrong beer. The different taxes upon fmall beer amount to one fhilling and four-pence a barrel. In the country brewery, therefore, the different taxes upon malt, beer, and ale, feldom amount to lefs than twenty-three fhillings and four-pence, frequently to twenty-fix fhillings, upon the produce of a quarter of malt. Taking the whole kingdom at an average, therefore, the whole amount of the duties upon malt, beer,
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$B \circ \circ \mathrm{~K}$ and ale, cannot be eftimated at lefs than twenty-fous v.
twenty-five fhillings upon the produce of a quarter of malt. But by taking off all the different duties upon beer and ale, and by tripling the malt-tax, or by raifing it from fix to eighteen fhillings upon the quarter of malt, a greater revenue, it is faid, might be raifed by this fingle tax than what is at prefent drawn from all thofe heavier taxes.


The London brewcry - 408,260 $7 \quad 2 \begin{aligned} & \text { 3 }\end{aligned}$
In 1773, the country excife - - 1,245,808 3
The London brewery - $\quad 405,4061710$.
In 1774, the country excife - - 1,246,3:314 5!
The London brewery - $\quad 320,60118-\frac{1}{4}$
In 1775, the country excife - - 1,214,583 6 I
The London brewery - 463,670 7-1

To which adding the avcrage malt-tax, or $958,875 \quad 3$ -
The whole amount of thofe different? taxes comes out to be - - $\}$
$2,595,553 \quad 7 \quad 91$
But by tripling the malt-tax, or by raifing it from fix to cighteen fhillings upon
the quarte; of mal!, that fingle tax would produce
$2,8,76,685 \quad 9$-i
$A$ fum which excceds the foregoing by - 280,832 I $2 \frac{1}{i}$

Under the old malt tax, indeed, is compre- char. hended a tax of four hillings upon the hogfhead of cyder, and another of ten hillings upon the barrel of mum. In 1774 the tax upon cyder produced only 3083 l .6 s . 8 d . It probably fell fomewhat fhort of its ufual amount; all the different taxes upon cyder having, that year, produced lefs than ordinary. The tax upon mum, though much heavier, is ftill lefs produtive, on account of the fmaller confumption of that liquor. But to balance whatever may be the ordinary amount of thofe two taxes; there is comprehended under what is called The country excife, firlt the old excife of fix fhillings and eightpence upon the hoghead of cyder; fecondly, a like tax of fix fhillings and eight-pence upon the hoghead of verjuice; thirdly, ancther of eighe thillings and nine-pence upon the hoghead of vinegar; and, laftly, a fourth tax of elevenpence upon the gallon of mead or metheglin: the produce of thofe different taxes will probably much more than counterbalance that of the duties impofed, by what is called The annual malt tax upon cyder and mum.

Malt is confumed not only in the brewery of beer and ale, but in the manufature of low wines and fpirits. If the malt tax were to be raifed to eighteen fhillings upon the quarter, it might be neceflary to make fome abatemens in the different excifes which are impofed upon thofe particular forts of low wines and fpirits of which malt makes any part of the raterials. In what are called malt ¢pirits $_{3}$ it makes commonly
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e ook but a third part of the materials; the other two thirds being either raw barley, or one-thitd barley and one-third wheat. In the diftillery of malt. fpirits, both the opportunity and the temptation to fmuggle, are much greater than either in a brewery or in a malt-houfe; the opportunity, on account of the fmaller bulk and greater value of the commodity; and the temptation, on account of the fuperior height of the duties, which amount to 3 s. $10^{2}$ d.* upon the gallon of fpirits. By increafing the duties upon malt, and reducing thofe upon the diftillery, both the opportunities and the temptation to fmuggle would be diminifhed, which might occafion a ftill further augmentation of revenue.

It has for fome time paft been the policy of Great Britain to difcourage the confumption of fpirituous liquors, on account of their fuppofed tendency to ruin the healch and to corrupt the morals of the common people. According to this policy, the abatement of the taxes upon the diftillery ought not to be fo great as to reduce, in any refpect, the price of thole liquors. Spirituous liquors might remain as dear as ever; while at the fame time the wholefome and invigorating liquors of beer and ale might be confiderably reduced in their price. The people might thus be in part relieved from one of the

[^19]burdens of which they at prefent complain the $\mathbf{C H} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{P}$. moft; while at the fame time the revenue might be confiderably augmented.

The objections of Dr. Davenant to this alteration in the prefent fyftem of excife duties, feem to be without foundation. Thofe objections are, that the tax, inftead of dividing itfelf as at prefent pretty equally upon the profit of the maltfter, upon that of the brewer, and upon that of the retailer, would, fo far as it affected profit, fall altogether upon that of the maltter; that the maltfter could not fo eafily get back the amount of the tax in the advanced price of his malt, as the brewer and retailer in the advanced price of their liquor; and that to heavy a tax upon malt might reduce the rent and profit of barley land.

No tax can ever reduce, for any confiderable time, the rate of profit in any particular trade, which muft always keep its level with other trades in the neighbourhood. 'The prefent duties upon malt, beer, and ale, do not affet the profits of the dealers in thofe commodities, who all get back the tax with an additional profit, in the enhanced price of their goods. A tax indeed may render the goods upon which it is impofed fo dear as to diminifh the confumption of them. But the confumption of malt is in malt liquors; and a tax of eighteen hillings upon the quarter of malt could not well reader thofe liquors dearer than the different taxes, amounting to twenty-four or twenty-five fhillings, do at prefent. Thofe liquors, on the contraty, would probably become cheaper, and the confunption
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B o o K of them would be more likely to increafe than to diminifh.

It is not very eary to underftand why it fhould be more difficult for the maltiter to get back eighteen fhillings in the advanced price of his malt, than it is at prefent for the brewer to get back twenty four or twenty-five, fometimes thirty millings, in that of his liquor. The maltfter, indeed, inftead of a tax of fix fhillings, would be obliged to advance one of eighteen fhillings upon every quarter of malt. But the brewer is at prefent obliged to advance a tax of twenty-four or twenty-five, fometimes thirty fhillings upon every guarter of malt which he brews. It could not be more inconvenient for the maltiter to advance a highter tax, than it is at prefent for the bewer to advance a heavier one. The malteter doth not always keep in his granaries a ftock of molt which it will require a longer time to difpofe of, than the fock of beer and ale which the brewer frequently keeps in his ccllars. The former, therefore, may frequently get the returns of his money as foon as the latter. But whatever inconveniency might arife to the maltter from being obliged to advance a heavier tax, it could eafily be remedied by granting him a few months longer credit than is at prefent commonly given to the brewer.

Nothing could reduce the rent and profit of barley land which did not reduce the demand for barley. But a change of fyttem, which reduced the duties upon a quarter of malt brewed into beer and ale from twenty-four and twentyfive fhillings to cighteen fhillings, would be
more likely to increafe than diminifh that de-chap. mand. The rent and profit of barley befides, mult always be nearly equal to thofe of other equally fertile and' equally well cultivated land. If they were lefs; fome part of the barley land would foon be turned to fome other purpofe; and if they were greater, more land would foon be turned to the raifing of barley. When the ordinary price of any particular produce of land is an what may be called a monopoly price; a tax upon it neceffarily reduces the rent and protit of the land which grows it. A tax upon the produce of thofe precious vineyards, of which the wine falls to much fhort of the effectual demand, that its price is always above the natural proportion to that of the produce of orher equally fertile and equally well cultivated land, would neceflarily reduce the rent and profit of thofe vineyards. The price of the wines being already the higheit that could be got for the quantity commonly fent to market, it could not be raifed higher without diminifhing that quanticy; and the quantity could not be diminithed without ftill greater lofs, becaule the lands could not be turned to any other equally valuable produce. The whole weights of the tax, therefore, would fall upon the rent and profit; properly upon the rent of the vineyard. When it has been propoled to lay any new tax upon fugar, our fugar planters have frequently complained that the whole weight if luch taxes fell, not upon the confumer, but upon the producer; they never having been able to Y'ol: III. B b raile
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${ }^{B} O_{v}{ }^{\circ}$. saife the price of their fugar after the tax, higher than it was before. The price had, it feems, before the tax been a monopoly price; and the. argument adduced to Shew that fugar was an improper fubject of taxation, demonftrated, perhaps, that it was a proper one; the gains of monopolifts, whenever they can be come at, being certainly of all fubjects the moft proper. But the ordinary price of barley has never been a monopoly price; and the rent and profit of barley land have never been above their natural proportion to thofe of other equally fertile and equally well cultivated land. The different taxes which have been impofed upon malt, beer, and ale, have never lowered the price of barley; have never reduced the rent and profit of barley land. The price of malt to the brewer has conftantly sifen in proportion to the taxes impofed upon it; and thole taxes, together with the different duties upon beer and ale, have con. ftantly either raifed the price, or, what comes to the fame thing, reduced the quality of thofe commodities to the confumer. The final payment of thofe taxes has fallen conftantly upon the confumer, and not upon the producer.

The only people likely to fuffer by the change of fyftem here propofed, are thofe who brew for their own private ufe. But the exemption, which this fuperior rank of people at prefent enjoy, from very heavy taxes which are paid by the poor labourer and artificer, is furely moft unjut and unequal, and ought to be taken away,
even though this change was never to take place. C HIA. $_{\text {A }}$. It has probably been the intereft of this fuperior, order of people, however, which has hitherto prevented a change of fytem that could not well fail both to increafe the revenue and to relieve the people.

Besides fuch duties as thofe of cuftoms and excife above-mentioned, there are feveral others which affect the price of goods more unequally and more indirectly. Of this kind are the duties which in French are called Péages, which in old Saxon times were called the Duties of Paffage, and which feem to have been originally eftablifhed for the fame purpofe as our turnpike tolls, or the tolls upon our canals and navigable rivers, for the maintenance of the road or of the navigation. Thofe duties, when applied to fuch purpofes, are moft properly impofed according to the bulk or weight of the goods. As they were originally local and provincial duties, applicable to local and provincial purpofes, the adminiftration of them was in molt cafes entrufted to the particular town, parihh, or lordhip, in which they were levied; fuch communities being in fome way or other fuppofed to be accountable for the application. The fovereign, who is altogether unaccountable, has in many countries affumed to himfelf the adminiftration of thofe duties; and though he has in moft cafes enhanced very much the duty, he has in many entirely neglected the application. If the turnpike tolls of Great Britain hould ever become one of the refources of government, we may Bb 2 dearn.

B o o o . learn, by the example of many other nations, what would probably be the confequence. Such tolls no doubt are finally paid by the confumer; but the confumer is not taxed in proportion to his expence, when he pays, not according to the value, but according to the bulk or weight, of what he confumes. When fuch duties are impofed, not according to the bulk or weight, but according to the fuppofed value of the goods, they become properly a fort of inland cuftoms or excifes, which obftruct very much the moft important of all branches of commerce, the interior commerce of the country.

In fome fimall flates duties fimilar to thofe paffage duties are impofed upon goods carried acrofs the territory, either by land or by water, from one foreign country to another. Thefe are in fome countries called tranfit-duties. Some of the little Italian ftates which are fituated upon the Po, and the rivers which run into it, derive fome revenue from duties of this lind, which are paid altogether by foreigners, and which, perhaps, are the only duties that one ftate can impore upon the fubjects of another, without obftructing in any refpect the induftry or commerce of its own. The moft important tranfitduty in the world is that levied by the king of Denmark upon all merchant fhips which pafs through the Sound.

Such taxes upon luxuries as the greater part of the duties of cuftoms and excife, though they all fall indifferently upon every different feecies of revenue, and are paid finally, or without any
retribution, by whoever confumes the commo- $\mathbf{C H} \underset{\text { Hi }}{ }$ A. dities upon which they are impofed, yet they do not always fall equally or proportionally upon the revenue of every individual. As every man's humour regulates the degree of his confumption, every man contributes rather according to his humour than in proportion to his revenue; the profufe contribute more, the parfimonious lefs, than their proper proportion. During the minority of a man of great fortune, he contributes commonly very little, by his confumption, towards the fupport of that fate from whofe protection he derives a great revenue. Thofe who live in another country contribute nothing by their confumption, towards the fupport of the government of that country, in which is fituated the fource of their revenue. If in this latter country there fhould be no land-tax, nor any confuderable duty upon the transference either af moveable or immoveable property, as is the cafe in Ireland, fuch abfentees may derive a great revenue from the protection of a government to the fupport of which they do not contribute a fingle 年illing. This inequality is likely to be greateft in a country of which the government is in fome refpects fubordinate and dependent upon that of fome other. The people who parlefs the molt extenfive property in the dependent, will in this cafe generally chufe to live in the governing country. Ireland is precifely in this fituation, and we cannot therefore wonder that the propolal of a tax upon abfentees fhould $\mathrm{b}=$ fo very popular in that country. It might, Bb3 perhaps,
${ }^{\text {b o }} \mathbf{o}$. ${ }^{\text {r }}$ perhaps, be a little difficult to afcertain either what fort, or what degree of abfence would fubject a man to be taxed as an abfentee, or at what precife time the tax fhould either begin or end. If you except, however, this very peculiar fituation, any inequality in the contribution of individuals, which can arife from fuch tayes, is much more than compenfated by the very circumftance which occafions that inequality; the circumflance that every man's contribution is altogether voluntary; it being altogether in his power either to confume or not to confume the commodity taxed. Where fuch taxes, therefore, are properly affeffed and upon proper commodities, they are paid with lefs grumbling than any other. When they are advanced by the merchant or manufacturer, the confumer, who finally pays them, foon comes to confound them with the price of the commodities, and almolt forgets that he pays any tax.

Such taxes are or may be perfectly certain, or may be affeffed fo as to leave no doubt concerning either what ought to be paid, or when it ought to be paid; concerning either the quantity or the time of payment. Whatever uncertainty there may fometimes b , either in the duties of cuftoms in Great Britain, or in other duties of the fame kind in other countries, it cannot arife from the nature of thofe duties, but from the inaccurate or unfkilful manner in which the law that impofes them is expreffed.

Taxes upon luxuries generally are, and almay be, paid piece-meal, or in proportion
as the contributors have occafion to purchafe the $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{HI}}^{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{AP}$. goods upon which they are impofed. In time and mode of payment they are, or may be, of all taxes the moft convenient. Upon the whole, fuch taxes, therefore, are, perhaps, as agreeable to the three firt of the four general maxims concerning taxation, as any other. They offend in every refpect againt the fourth.

Such taxes, in proportion to what they bring into the public treafury of the ftate, always take out or keep out of the pockets of the people more than almoft any other taxes. They feem to do this in all the four different ways in which it is poffible to do it.

First, the levying of fuch taxes, even when impofed in the moft judicious manner, requires a great number of cuftomhoufe and excile officers, whofe falaries and perquifites are a real tax upon the people, which brings nothing into the treafury of the flate. This expence, however, it muft be acknowledged, is more moderate in Great Britain than in moft other countries. In the year which ended on the fifth of July 1775, the grofs produce of the different duties, under the management of the commifioners of excife in England, amoupted to $5,507,308 \mathrm{l}$. 18 s . $8 \frac{1}{5} d$. which was levied at an expence of little more than five and a half per cent. From this grofs produce, however, there muft be deducted what was paid away in bounties and drawbacks upon the exportation of excifeable goods, which will reduce the neat produce below five Bb4
millions.
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B o o K millions *. The levying of the falt duty, and excife duty, but under a different management, is much more expenfive. The neat revenue of the cuftoms does not amount to two millions and a half, which is levied at an expence of inoie than ten per cent. in the falaries of oficers, and other incidents. But the perquifites of cuftomboufe officers are every where much greater than their falaries; at fome ports more than double or triple thofe falaries. If the falaries of officers, and orfer incidents, thertfore, amount to more than ten per cent. upon the neat revenue of the cuftoms; the whole expence of levying that revenue may amount, in falaries and perquifites together, to more then twenty or thirty per cent. The officers of excife rective few or no perquifites: and the adminiftration of that branch of the revenue being of more recent eftablifhment, is in general lefs corrupted than than that of the culloms, into which length of time has introduced and authorifed many abufes. By charging upon malt the whole revenue which is at prefent levied by the different duties upon mali and malt liquors, a faving, it is fuppoied, of more than fifty thoufand pounds might be made in the annual expence of the excife. By confining the duties of cuftoms to a few forts of goods, and by levying thofe duties according to. the excife laws, a much greater faving might

- The neat produce of that year, after deducting all expences and allowances, amounted to $4,975,652 \mathrm{l}$. 1gs. 6 d .
probably
probably be made in the annual expence of the $\mathrm{CH}_{\text {H. }} \mathrm{A}$. . cuftoms.

Secondly, fuch taxes neceffarily occafion fome obftruction or difcouragement to certain branches of induftry. As they always raife the price of the commodity taxed, they fo far difcourage its confumption, and confequently its production. If it is a commodity of home growth or manufacture, lefs labour comes to be employed in raifing and producing it. If it is a foreign commodity of which the tax increafes in this manner the price, the commodities of the fame kind which are made at home may thereby, indeed, gain fome advantage in the home market, and a greater quantity of domeftic induftry may thereby be turned toward preparing them. But though this rife of price in a foreign commodity may encourage domeltic induftry in one particular branch, it neceflarily difcourages that induftry in almoft every other. The dearer the Birmingham manufacturer buys his foreign wine, the cheaper he neceffarily fells that part of his hardware with which, or, what comes to the fame thing, with the price of which he buys it. That part of his hardware, therefore, becomes of leits value to him, and he has lefs encouragement to work at it. The dearer the confumers in one country pay for the furplus produce of another, the cheaper they neceflarily fell that part of their own furplus produce with which, or, what comes to the fame thing, with the price of which they buy it. That part of their own furplus produce

Book becomes of lefs value to them, and they have , lefs encouragement to increafe its quantity. All taxes upon confumable commodities, therefore, tend to reduce the quantity of productive labour below what it otherwife would be, either in preparing the commodities taxed, if. they are home commodities; or in preparing thofe with which they are purchafed, if they are foreign commodities. Such taxes tooa lways alter, more or lefs, the natural direction of national induftry, and turn it into a channel always different from, and generally lefs advantageous than that in which it would have run of its own accord.

Thirdey, the hope of evading fuch taxes by fmuggling gives frequent occafion to forfeitures and other penalties, which entirely ruin the fimuggler; a perfon who, though no toubt highly blameable for violating the laws of his country, is frequently incapable of viohatiog thote of natural jultice, and would have teen, in every refpect, an excellent citizen, had nut the laws of his country made that a crime which nature never meant to be fo. In thofe corrupted governments where there is at leaft a general fufpicion of much unneceffary expence, and great mifapplication of the public revenue, the laws. which geard it are little refpected. Not many people are forupulous about fmoghing, when, without perjury, they can find any eafy and fafe opportunity of doing fo. To pretend to have any fcruple abour buying fmuggled goods, though a manifeft encouragemeut to the violation of the revenue laws, and to the perjury which almoft
always attends it, would in moft countries be $\mathrm{CH}^{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{A}^{\prime} \mathrm{P}$. regarded as one of thofe pedantic pieces of hy-1 pocrify which, inftead of gaining credit with any body, ferve only to expofe the perfon who affects to practife them, to the fufpicion of being a greater knave than moft of his neighbours. By this indulgence of the public, the fmuggler is often encouraged to continue a trade which he is thus taught to confider as in fome meafure innocent; and when the feverity of the revenue laws is ready to fall upon him, he is frequently difpofed to defend with violence, whit he has been accuftoned to regard as his juft property. From being at fritt, perhaps, rather imprudent than criminal, he at laft too often becomes one of the hardieft and mott determined violators of the laws of fociety. By the ruin of the fmuggler, his capital, which had before been employed in maintaining productive labour, is abforbed either in the revenue of the flate or in that of the revenue-officer, and is employed in maintaining unproductive, to the diminution of the general capital of the fociety, and of the ufeful induftry which it might otherwife have maintained.

Fourthly, fuch taxes, by fubjecting at leaft the dealers in the taxed commodities to the frequent vifits and odious examination of the tax-gatherers, expofe them fometimes, no doubt, to fome degree of oppreffion, and always to much trouble and vexation; and though vexation, as has already been faid, is not ftrictly fpeaking
mon $x$ fpeaking expence, it is certainly equivalent to the expence at which every man would be willing to redeem himfelf from it. The laws of exciic, though more effectual for the purpole for which they were inftituted, are, in this refpect, more vexatious than thofe of the cultoms. When a merchant has imported goods fubject to certain duties of cultoms, when he has paid thofe duties, and lodged the goods in his warchoule, he is not in mont cafes liable to any further trouble or vexation from the cuftomhoufe officer. It is otherwife with goods fubject to duties of excife. The dealers have no refpite from the contimual vifits and examination of the excife officers. The duties of excife are, upon this account, more unpopular than thofe of the cuftoms; and fo are the officers who levy them. Thofe officers, it is pretended, though in general, perhaps, they do their duty fully as well as thofe of the cuftoms; yet, as that duty obliges them to be frequently very troublefome to fome of their neighbours, commonly contract a certain hardnels of character which the others frequently have not. This obfervation, however, may very probably be the mere fuggeftion of fraudulent dealers, whote fmuggling is either prevented or detected by their diligence.

The inconveniencies, however, which are, perhaps, in fome degree infeparable from taxes upon confumable commodities, fall as light upon the people of Great Britain as upon thofe of any other country of which the government is nearly
as expenfive. Our ftate is not perfect, and might $\mathbf{c}$ н a p. be mended; but it is as good or betrer chan 11 . of moft of our neighbours.

Is conlequence of the notion that duties upon confumable goods were taxes upon the profits of merchants, thole duties have, in fome countries, been repeated upon every fucceffive fale of the good. If the profits of the merchant importer or merchant manufacturer were taxed, equality feemed to require that thofe of all the middle buyers, who intervened between either of them and the confumer, Should likewife be raxed. The famous Alcavala of Spain feems to have been eftablifhed upon this principle. It was at firft a tax of ten per cent., afterwards of fourteen per cenc., and is at prefent of only fix per cent. upon the life of every fort of property, whether moveable or immoveable; and it is repeated every time the property is fold*. The levying of this tax requires a multitude of re-venue-officers fufficient to guard the tranfportation of goods, not only from one province to another, but from one hop to another. It fub. jects, not only the dealers in fome forts of goods, but thofe in all forts, every farmer, every manufacturer, every merchant and mopkeeper, to the continual vifits and examination of the taxgatherers. Through the greater part of a country in which a tax of this kind is eftablifhed. mothing can be produced for diftant fale. The produce of every part of the country mult be

* Memaires conceraant (cs Drotr, \&c. tom. i. p. 435 .

BOOK proportioned to the confumption of the neighbourhood. It is to the Alcavala, accordingly, that Ultaritz imputes the ruin of the manufactures of Spain. He might have imputed to it likewife the declenfion of agriculture, it being impofed not only upon manufactures, but upon the rude produce of the land.

In the kingdom of Naples there is a fimilar tax of three per cent. upon the value of all contracts, and confequently upon that of all contracts of fale. It is both lighter than the Spanifh tax, and the greater part of towns and parimes are allowed to pay a compofition in lieu of it. They levy this compofition in what manner they pleafe, generally in a way that gives no interruption to the interior commerc of the place. The Neapolitan tax, therefore, is not near fo ruinous as the Spanifh one.

The uniform fyftem of taxation, which, with a few exceptions of no great confequence, takes place in all the different parts of the united kingdom of Great Britain, leaves the interior commerce of the country, the inland and coafting trade, almoft intirely free. The inland trade is almoft perfectly free, and the greater part of goods may be carried from one end of the kingdom to the other, without requiring any permit or let-pafs, without being fubject to queftion, vifit, or examination from the revenue officers. There are a few exceptions, but they are fuch as can give no interruption to any important branch of the inland commerce of the country. Goods carried coaltwife, indeed, require certif-
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cates or coaft-cockets. If you except coals, $\mathbf{C} \underset{\mathrm{H}}{\boldsymbol{H}} \mathbf{A}$. however, the reft are almoft all duty free. This freedom of interior commerce, the effect of the uniformity of the fyftem of taxation, is perhaps one of the principal caufes of the profperity of Great Britain; every great country being neceffarily the beft and molt extenfive market for the greater part of the productions of its own induftry. If the fame freedom, in confequence of the fame uniformity, could be extended to lreland and the plantations, both the grandeur of the flate and the profperity of every part of the empire, would probably be ftill greater than at prefent.

In France, the different revenue laws which take place in the different provinces, require a multitude of revenue-officers to furround, not only the frontiers of the kingdom, but thole of almoft each particular province, in order either to prevent the importation of certain goods, or to fubject it to the payment of certain duties, to the no finall interruption of the interior commerce of the country. Some provinces are allowed to compound for the gabelle or falt-tax. Others are exempted from it altogether. Some provinces are exempted from the exclufive fale of tobacco, which the farmers-general enjoy through the greater part of the kingdom. The aids, which correfpond to the excife in England, are very different in different provinces. Some provinces are exempted from them, and pay a compofition or equivalent. In thofe in which they take place and are in farm, there are many local
sook lecal duties which do not extend beyond a particular town or diftrict. The Traites, whictr correfond to our cultoms, divide the kingdom into three great parts ; firft, the provinces fubject to the tarif of 1664 , which are called the provinces of the five great farms, and under which are comprehended Picardy; Normandy, and the greater part of the interiot provinces of the kingdom; fecondliy, the provinces fubject to the tarif of 1667 , which are called the provinces reckoned foreign, and under which are comprehended the greater part of the frontier provinces; and, thirdly, thofe provinces which are faid to be treated as foreign, or which, becaule they are allowed a free commerce with foreign countries, are in their commerce with the other provinces of France fubjected to the fame duties as other foreign countries. Thefe are Alface, the three bihopricks of Metz, Toul, and Verdum, and the three cities of Dunkirk, Bayonné; and Marfeilles. Both in the provinces of the five great farms (called for on account of an ancient divifion of the duties of cuftoms into five great branches, each"of which was originally the fubjectof
ar harit though they are now all united
, and in thofe which are Yaid to be reckoned foreign, there are many local duties which do not Extend bejond a particular town or diftriee. There are forine fuch even in the provinces which are fald to be treated as foreign, particularly $s$

Eerve how much, bork the reftraints Erar commaice of the country; and
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number of the revenue officers muft be multi-C н A P. plied, in order to guard the frontiers of thofe ferent provinces and diftricts, which are fubject to fuch different fyltems of taxation.

Over and above the general reftraints arifing from this complicated fyftem of revenue laws, the commerce of wine, after corn perhaps the moft important proctuction of France, is in the greater part of the provinces fubject to particular reftraints, arifing from the favour which has been fhewn to the vineyards of particular provinces and diftricts, above thofe of others. The provinces moft famous for their wines, it will be found, I believe, are thofe in which the trade in that article is fubject to the feweft reftraints of this kind. The extenfive market which fuch provinces enjoy, encourages good management both in the culcivation of their vineyards, and in the fubfequent preparation of their wines.

Such various and complicated revenue laws are not peculiar to France. The little dutchy of Milan is divided into fix provinces, in each of which there is a different fyftem of taxation with regard to feveral different forts of confumable goods. The ftill fmaller territories of the duke of Parma are divided into three or four, each of which has, in the fame manner, a fyftem of its own. Under fuch abfurd management, nothing but the great fertility of the foil and happinefs of the climate could preferve fuch. countries from foon relapling into the loweft ftate of poverty and barbarifm.
Vol. III. Ce

BOOK TAXES upon confumable commodities may either be levied by an adminiftration of which the officers are appointed by government and are immediately accountable to government, of which the revenue mutt in this cafe vary from year to year, according to the occafional variations in the produce of the tax ; or they may be let in farm for a rent certain, the farmer being a!.!owed to appoint his own officers, who, though obliged to levy the tax in the manner directed by the law, are under his immediate infpection, and are immediately accountable to him. The beft and moft frugal way of levying a tax can never be by farm. Over and above what is neceffary for paying the ftipulated rent, the falaries of the officers, and the whole expence of adminiftration, the farmer muft always draw from the produce of the tax a certain profit proportioned at leaft to the advance which he makes, to the rifk which he runs, to the trouble which he is at, and to the knowledge and fkill which it requires to manage fo very complicated a concern. Government, by eftablifhing an adminiftration under their own immediate infpection, of the fame kind with that which the farmer eftablifhes, might at leaft fave this profit, which is almoft always exorbitant. To farm any confiderable branch of the public revenue, requires either a great capital or a grear credit; circumitances which would alone reftrain the competition for fuch an undertaking to a wery fmall number of people. Of the few who have this capital or credit, a fill fmaller number have the neceffary knowledge or experience; an-
other circumftance which reftrains the competi-C $\mathbf{C}$ A $\mathbf{P}$. tion ftill further. The very few, who are in condition to become competitors, find it more for their intereft to combine togecher; to become copartners inftead of competitors, and when the farm is fet up to auction, to offer no rent, but what is much below the real value. In countries where the public revenues are in farm, the farmers are generally the moft opulent people. Their wealth would alone exeite the public indignation, and the vanity which almoft always accompanies fuch upftart fortunes, the foolifh oftentation with which they commonly difplay that wealth, excite that indignation ftill more.

The" farmers of the public revenue never find the laws too fevere, which punif any attempt to evade the payment of a tax. They have no bowels for the contributors, who are not their fubjects, and whofe univerfal bankruptcy, if it fhould happen the day after their farm is expired, would not much affect their intereft. In the greatef exigencies of the ftate, when the anxiety of the fovereign for the exact payment of his revenue is neceffarily the greateft, they feldom fail to complain that without laws more rigorous than thofe which actually take place, it will be
to pay even the ufual rent. bf public diftrefs their debe difputed: The revenue laws, N become gradually more and more

The thof fanguinary are "always to be found modinties where the greater part of the publicsievenue is in farm. The mildeft, in Ce 2 countries
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countries where it is levied under the immediate infpection of the fovereign. Even a bad fovereign feels more compafion for his people than can ever be expected from the farmers of his revenue. He knows that the permanent grandeur of his family depends upos the profperity of his people, and he will never knowingly ruin that profperity for the fake of any momentary intereft of his own. It is otherwife with the farmers of his revenue, whofe grandeur may frequently be the effect of the ruin, and not of the profperity of his people.
A. Tax is fometimes, not only farmed for 2 certain rent, but the farmer has, befides, the monopoly of the commodity taxed. In France, the duties upon tobacco and falt are levied in this manner. In fuch cafes the farmer, inftead of one, levies two exorbitant profits upon the people; the profit of the farmer, and the ffill more exorbitant one of the monopolift. Tobacco being a luxury, every man is allowed to buy or not to buy as he chufes. But falt being a neceffary, every man is obliged to buy of the farmer a certain quantity of it; becaufe, if he did not buy this quantity of the farmer, he would, it is prefumed, buy it of fome fmuggler. The taxes upon both commodities are exorbitant. The temptation to fmuggle confequently is to many people irrefiftible, while at the fame time the rigour of the law, and the vigilance of the farmer's officers, render the yielding to that temptation almoft certainly ruinous. The fmuggling of falt and tobacco fends every year feveral
hundred people to the gallies, befides a very con- $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{HI}} \mathrm{A}$. fiderable number whom it fends to the gibbet. ${ }^{1}$ Thofe taxes levied in this manner yield a very confiderable revenue to government. In 1767, the farm of tobacco was let for twenty-two millions five hundred and forty-one thoufand two hundred and feventr eight livres a year. That of falt, for thirty-fix millions four hundred and ninety-two thoufand four hundred and four livres. The farm in both cales was to commence in 1768, and to laft for fix years. Thofe who confider the blood of the people as nothing in comparifon with the revenue of the prince, may perhaps approve of this method of levying taxes. Sumilar taxes and monopolies of falt and tobacco have been eftabluhed in many other countries; pareicularly in the Auftrian and Pruffian domimions, and in the greater part of the ftates of Italy.

In France, the greater part of the actual revenue of the crown is derived from eight different fources; the taille, the capitation, the two vingthemes, the gabelles, the aides, the traites, the domaine; and the farm of robarco. - The five laft are, in the greater part of the provinces, under farm. - The three firt are every where Jeôied by an adminiftration under the immediate infeetetion and direction of government, and it is univerfally acknowledged that, in proportion to what they take out of the pockets of the people, they bring more into the treafury of the prince thath thie other five, of which the ac
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tions. Firf, by abolianing the taille and the capitation, and thy increaligg the number of vingtiemes, fo as to produce an additional revenue equal to the amount of thofe other taxes, the revenue of the crown might be preferved; the expence of collection might the vexation of the inferior ranks of which the taille and capitation occafion, might be entirely prevented; and the fuperior ranks might not be more burdened than the greater part of them are at prefent. The vingtieme, I have already obferved, is a tax very nearly of the lame kind with what is called the land-tax of England. The burden of the taille, it is acknowledged, falls finally upon the proprietors of land; and as the greater part of the capitatiot is affeffed upan thofe who are fubject to the taille at fo. much a pound of that other tax, the final payment of the greater part of it muft likewife fall upon the fame order of people. Though the number of the vingtiemes, therefore, was' increafed fo as to produce an additional revenue equal to. the amount of both thofe taxes, the fuperior ranks of people might not be mare burdened than they are at prefent. Many individuals no doubt would, on account of the great inequalities with which the taille is commonly affeffed upon the eftates and tenants of different individuals. The intereft and oppofition of fuch favoured fubjects are the obftacles mot likely to prevent this or any other reformation of

Tame kind. Secondly, by rendering the gabelle, ${ }^{\text {c H A }}$ A the aides, the traises, the taxes upon tobace all the different cuftoms and excifes, uniform in all the different parts of the kingdoms thofe taxes might be levied at much lefs expence, and the interior commerce of the kingdom might be rendered as free as that of England. Thirdly, and laftly, by fubjecting all thofe taxes to an adminiffration under the immediate infpection and direction of government, the exorbitant profits of the farmers general might be added to the revenue of the ftate. The oppofition arifing from the private intereft of individuals, is likely to be as effectual for preventing the two laft as the firft mencioned fcheme of reformation.

The French fyftem of taxation feems, in every sefpeat, inferior to the Britifh. In Great Britain een millions fterling are annually levied upon lefs than eight millions of people, without its being poffible to fay that any particular order is oppreffed. From the collections of the Abbé Expilly, and the obfervations of the author of the Effay upon the legifation and commerce of corn, it appears probable, that France, incluting the provinces of Lorraine and Bar, contains about twenty-three or twenty-four millions of people; three simes the number perhaps contained in Great-Britain. The foil and climate of France are better than thofe of Great-Britain. The country has been much longer in a ftate of improvement and cultivation, and is, upon that account, better fooked with all thofe things requires a long time to raife up and acC c 4 cumulate,
fuch great townse and
and well-bunt houfes; bothin wown and country. With thefe advantages, itumight be expected that in France a revenue of thinty millions might be levied for the Mipport of the ategy with as little incorveniency a revenue of tentomilions is ia Great Britatn. Tr 1765 and 1766 ; the whole revenue paid into the treafury of France, according to the teft, though; I acknowlodige, very imperfect, accounts whith I could get of it, ufually run between 308 "and 325 trillions of livres; that is, it did not amount tolffteen millions fterling; not the half of what might have been expected, had the people contributed in the fame proportion to their numbers as the people of Great Britain. The people of Erance, however, it is generally acknowledged, are much 'more oppreffed by taxes than the people of Great Britain. France, however, is certainly the great empire in Europe which, after that of Great Britain, enjoys the mildeft and moft indulgent government.

In Holland the heavy taxes upon the necerfaries of life have ruined, it is faid, their principal manufactures, and are likely to difcourage gradually even their filheries and their trade in hip-building. The taxes upon the neceffaries of life are inconfiderable in Great Britain, and no manufacture has hitherto been ruined by them. The Britig taxes which bear hardeft on manufactures are fome duties upon importation of raw materials, particularly raw filk. The revenue of
generainand af the velfferenticities, however, is er a faid to amount more thap inve millions two hundred and fifty: heoufand enounds: ferling; as the inhatoimenes of the Wnired Pigvinces cannat well be fuppofed to smounftofymore than a chird part of thinfor Greats Britain, they mult, in promore heavily
all the proper fubjects of taxation have been exhaufted, -if, che, exigencies of the fate fill continue to require qew taxes, they mult be impofed upon improper ones. The taxes upon the neceffaries of life therefore, may be no impeachment of the wifdom of that republic, which, in order to acquire and to maintain its $r_{s}$ has, in frite of ifs great frugality, d in fuch expenlive wars as have it to contract great debts. The fingular s of Holland and. Zealand, befides, rea confiderable expence even to preferve their exiftence, or to prevent their being fwallowed up by the fea, which mult have contributed to increafe confiderably the load of taxes in thofe two provinces. The republican form of government feems to be the principal fupport of the prefent grandeur of Hollạnd. The owners of great capitals, the great mercantile families, have generally either fome direct fhare, or fome indirect influence, in the adminitration of that government. For the fake of the refpect and which they derive from this fituation, Y are willing to live in a country where their $\therefore \sim 1$ if they employ it themfelves, will bring them
$s 0_{0} \mathrm{o} \mathrm{k}$ them lefs profit, and if they lend it to another, lefs intereft; and where the very moderate revenue which they can draw from it will purchafe lefs of the neceffaries and conveniencies of life than in any other part of Europe. The refidence of fuch wealthy people neceffarily keeps alive, in fpite of all difadvantages, a certain degree of induftry in the country. Any public calamity which fhould deftroy the republican form of government, which fhould throw the whole adminiftration into the hands of nobles and of foldiers, which fhould annihilate altogether the importance of thofe wealthy merchants, would foon render it difagreeable to them to live in' a country where they were no tonger likely to be much refpected. They would remove both their refidence and their capital to fome other country, and the induftry and commerce of Holland would foon follow the capitals which fupported them.

## C H A P. III.

## Of public Debts.

IN that rude ftate of fociety which precedes the extenfion of commerce and the improvement of manufactures, when thofe expenfive luxuries which commerce and manufactures can alone introduce are altogether unknown, the perfon who poffeffes a large revenue, I have endeavoured to thow in
the third book of this Inquiry, can fpend or en- C H A joy that revenue in no other way than by maintaining nearly ascmany people as it can maintain. A large revenue may at all times be faid to confift in the command of a large quantity of the neceffaries of life. In, that rude tate of things it is commonly paid in $a$ large quantity of thofe neceffaries, in the materials of plain foed and coarfe clothing, in corn and cattle, in wool and raw hides. When neither commerce nor manufactures furnith any thing for which the owner can exchange the greater part of thofe materials which are over and above his own confumption, he can do nothing with the furplus but feed and clothe nearly as many people as it will feed and clothe. A hofpitality in which there is no luxury, and a liberality in which there is no oftentation, occafion, in this fituation of things, the principal expences of the rich and the great. But thefe, I have likewife endeavoured to fhow in the fame book, are expences by which people are not very apt to ruin themfelves. There is not, perhaps, any felfifh pleafure fo frivolous, of which the purfuit has not fometimes ruined even fenfible men. A paffion for cock-fighting has ruined many. But the inftances, I believe, are not very numerous of people who have been ruined by a hofpitality or liberality of this kind; though the hofpitality of luxury and the liberality of oftentation have ruined many. Among our feudal anceftors, the long time during which eflates ufed to continue in the fame family, fufficiently demonitrates the general difpolition of people
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o o $k$ to live within their income. Though the ruftic -hofpitality, confantly exercifed by the great landholders, may not, it us in the prefent times, feem confiftent with that order, which we are apt to confider as infeparably connected with good ceonomy, yet we muft certainly allow them to have been at leaft fo far frugal as not commonly to have Spent their whole income. A part of their wool and raw hides they had generalty an opportunity of felling for money. Some part of this money, perhaps, they fpent in purchafing the few objects of vanity and luxury, with which the circumftances of the times could furnifh them; but fome part of it they feem commonly to have hoarded. They could not well indeed do any thing elfe but hoard whatever money they faved. To trade was dffgraceful to a gentleman, and to fend money at intereft, which at that time was confidered as ufury, and prohibited by law, would have been ftill more fo. In thofe times of violence and dfforder, befides, it was convenient to have a hoard of money at hand, that in cale they fhould be driven from their own home, they might have fomething of known value to carry with them to fome place of fafety. The fame violence which made it convenient to trourd, made it equally convenient to conceal the hoard. -The frequency of treafure-trove, or of treature found of which no owner was known, fufficiently demonftrates the frequency in thiofe cimes both of hoarding and of concealing the hoard. Treafure-trove was then confidered as an important branch of the revenue of $t$

vereign.

vereign. All the treafure-trove of the kingdom CH A P . would fcarce perhaps in the prefent times make. an important branch of the revenue of a private gentleman of a good eftate.

The fame difpofition to fave and to hoard prevailed in the fovereign, as well as in the fubjects. Among nations to whom commerce and manufactures are little known, the fovereign, it has already been obferved in the fourth book, is in a fituation which naturally difpofes him to the parfimony requifite for accumulation. In that fituation the expence even of a fovereign cannor be directed by that vanity which delights in the gaudy finery of a court. The ignorance of the times affords but few of the trinkets in which that finery confifts. Standing armies are not then neceffary, fo that the expence even of a fovereign, like that of any other great lord, can be employed in fcarce any thing but bounty to his tenants, and hofpitality to his retainers. Bat bounty and hofpitality very feldom lead to extravagance; though vanity almoft always does. All the ancient fovereigns of Europe accordingly, is has already been obferved, had treafures. Every Tartar chief in the prefent times is faid to have one.

In a commercial country abounding with every fort of expenfive luxury, the fovereign, in the fame manner as almoft all the great proprietors in his dominions, naturally fpends a great part of his revenue in purchafing thofe luxuries. His own and the neighbouring countries fupply him abundantly with all the cofly trinkets which compore
compofe the fplendid, but inlignificant pageantry a court. For the fake of an inferior pageantry of the fame kind, his nobles difmifs their retainers, make their tenants independent, and become gradually themfefves as infignificant as the greater part of the wealthy burghers in his dominions. The fame frivolous paffions, which influence their conduct, influence his. How can it be fuppofed that he fhould be the only rich man in his dominions who is infenfible to pleafures of this kind? If he does not, what he is very likely to do, fpend upon thofe pleafures fo great a part of his revenue as to debilitate very much the defenfive power of the ftate, it cannot well be expected that he fhould not fpend upon them all that part of it which is over and above what is neceffary for fupporting that defenfive power. His ordinary expence becomes equal to his ordinary revenue, and it is well if it does not frequently exceed it. The amafing of treafure can no longer be expected, and when extraordinary exigencies require extraordinary expences, he muft neceffarily call upon his fubjects for an extraordinary aid. The prefent and the late king of Pruffia ase the only great princes of Europe, who, fince the death of Henry IV. of in i6io, are fuppofed to have amaffed any
treafure. The parfimony which leads to accumulation has become almoft as rare in republican as in monarchical governments. The Italian republics, the United Provinces of the Netherlands, are all in debt. The canton of Berne is the fingle republic in Europe which
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has amaffed any confiderable treafure. The other $\mathbf{C H A p}$. Swifs republics have not. The tafte for fome $\underbrace{\text { III. }}$ fort of pageantry, for fplendid buildings, at leaft, and other public ornaments, frequently prevails as much in the apparently fober fenate-houfe of a little republic, as in the diffipated court of the greateft king.

The want of parfimony in time of peace, impofes the neceffity of contracting debt in time of war. When war comes, there is no money in the treafury but what is neceffary for carrying on the ordinary expence of the peace eftablifinment. In war an eftablinment of three or four times that expence becomes neceffary for the defence of the ftate, and confequently a revenue three or t than the peace revenue. Supfovereign fhould have, what he f the immediate meaps of augmenting his tevenuellin proportion to the augmentation of his expence, yet ftill the produce of the taxes, from riwhich this increafe of revenue mult bexrawn, will not begin to come into the treafury ; till perbaps ten or twelve months after they are impofed. But the moment in which war begins; or rather the moment in which it appears likely to begin, the army mult be augmented, the fleet muft be fitted out, the garrifoned towns mut be put into a pofture of defence ; that army, that fleet, thafe garrifoned towns muft be furnihhed with arms, ammunition, and provifions. An immediate and great expence muft be incurred in that moment of immediate danger, which will not wait for the gradual and now re-
book aris of the new taxes. In this exigency government can have no other reffirce but in borrowing.

The fame commercial ftate of fociety which, by the operation of moral caufes, britigs government in this manner into the neceffity of borrowing, produces in the fubjects both an ability and an inclination to lend. If it commonly brings along with it the neceffity of borrowing, it likewife brings with it the facility of doing fo.

A country abounding with merchants and manufacturers, neceffarily abounds with a fet of people through whofe harids not only their own capitals, but the capitals of all thofe who either lend them money, or truft them with goods, pafs as frequently, or more frequently, than the revenue of a private man, who, without trade or bufinefs, lives upon his income, paffes through his hands. The revenoe of fuch a man can regularly pafs through his hands only once in a year. But the whole amount of the capital and credit of a merchant, who deals in a trade of which the returns are very quick, may fometimes pafs through his hands two, three, or four times in a year. A country abounding with merchants and manufacturers, therefore, neceflarily abounds with a fet of people who have it at all times in their power to advance, if they chufe to do So, a very large fum of money to government. Hence the ability in the fubjects of a commercial fate to lend.

Commerce and manufactures can feldom fourifh long in any flare which does not enjoy a regular adminiftration of juftice, in which the
people do not feel themfelves fecure in the pof- $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{HI}}^{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{A}^{\text {A }}$. P . feffion of their properns, in which she faith of contracts is not fupposted by law, and in which the authority, of the flate is not fuppofed to be regularly employed in enforcing the payment or debts from all thofe who are able to pay. Commerce and manufactures, in fhort, can feldom flourih, in any fate in which there is not a certain degree of confidence in the jutice of government. The fame confidence which difpofes great merchants and manufacturers, upon ordinary occafions, to truft their property to the protection of a particular government, difpofes them, upon extraardinary occafions, to truft that government with the ufe of their property. By lending money to government, they do not even for a moment diminifh their ability to carry on their trade and manufactures. On the contrary, they commonly augment it. The neceffities of the ftate render government upon molt occafions willing to borrow ; upon terms extremely advantageous to the lender. The fecurity which it grants to the original creditor, is made tranfferable to any other creditor, and, from the univerfal confidence in the juftice of the ftate, genein the market for more than was orib paid for it. The merchant or monied money by lending money to governty nand inftead of diminifhing, increales his trading capital. He generally confiders it as $a_{\text {a }}$ favpur therefare, when the adminitration :o a hare in the firt fubscription
book for a new loan. Hence the inclination or willingnefs in the fubjects of a commercial ftate to lend.

The government of fuch a ftate is very apt to repofe itfelf upon this ability and willingnefs of its fubjects to lend it their money on extraordinary occafions. It forefees the facility of borrowing, and therefore difpenfes itfelf from the duty of faving.

In a rude fate of fociety there are no great mercantile or manufacturing capitals. The individuals, who hoard whatever money they can fave, and who conceal their hoard, do fo from a diftrult of the juftice of govermment, from a fear that if it was known that they had a hoard, and where that hoard was to be found, they would quickly be plundered. In fuch a ftate of things few people would be able, and nobody would be willing, to lend their money to government on extraordinary exigencies. The fovereign feels that he mult provide for fach exigencies by faving, becaufe he forefees the abfolute impoifibility of borrowing. This forelight increafes ftill further iis natural difpofition to fave.

The progre/s of the enormous debts which at prefent opprefs, and will in the long-run probably ruin, all the great nations of Europe, has been pretty uniform. Nations, like private men, have generally begun to borrow upon what may be called perfonal credit, without affigning or mortgaging any particular fund for the payment of the debt; and when this refource has
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failed them, they have gone on to borrow upon $C \underset{\mathrm{HI}}{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{AP}$. affignments or morggages of particular funds.

What is called the unfunded debt of Great Britain, is contracted in the former of thofe two ways. It confifts partly in a debt which bears, or is fuppofed to bear, no intereft, and which refembles the debts that a private man contracts upon account; and partly in a debt which bears intereft, and which refembies what a private man contrads upon his bill or promiffory note. The debts which are due either for extraordinary fervices, or for fervices either not provided for, or not paid at the time when they are performed; part of the extraordinaries of the army, navy, and ordnance, the arrears of fublidies to foreign princes, thofe of feamen's wages, \&cc. ufually conftitute a debt of the firft kind. Navy and Exchequer bills, which are iffued fometimes in payment of a part of fuch debts and fometimes for other purpofes, conftitute a debt of the fecond kind; Exchequer bills bearing intereft from the day on which they are iffued, and navy bills fix months after they are iffucd. The bank of England, either by voluntarily difcounting thofe bills at their current value, or by agreeing with government for certain confiderations to circulate Exchequer bills, that is, to receive them at par, paying the intereft which happens to be due upon them, keeps up their value and facilitates their circulation, and thereby frequently enables government to contract a very large debt of this kind. In France, where there is no bank, the ftate bills (billets d'état*) have
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fometimes

Book fometimes fold at fixty and feventy per cent. difcount. During the great re-coinage in king William's time, when the bank of England thought proper to put a fop to its ufual tranfactions, Exchequer bills and tallies are faid to have fold from twenty-five to fixty per cent. difcount; owing partly, no doubt, to the fuppofed inftability of the new government eftablifhed by the Revolution, but partly too to the want of the fupport of the bank of England.

When this refource is exhaufted, and it becomes neceffary, in order to raife money, to affign or mortgage fome particular branch of the public revenue for the payment of the debt, government has upon different occafions done this in two different ways. Sometimes it has made this affignment or mortgage for a hort period of time only, a year or a few years, for example; and fometimes for perpetuity. In the one cafe, the fund was fuppofed fufficient to pay, within the limited time, both principal and intereft of the money borrowed. In the other, it was fuppofed fufficient to pay the intereft only, or a perpetual annuity equivalent to the intereft, government being at liberty to redeem at any time this annuity, upon paying back the principal fum borrowed. When money was raifed in the one way, it was faid to be raifed by anticipation; when in the other, by perpetual funding, or, more fhortly, by funding.

In Great Britain the annual land and malt taxes are regularly anticipated every year, by virtue of a borrowing claufe conftantly inferted
into the acts which impofe them. The bank of ${ }^{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{H}$ England generally advances at an intereft, which fince the Revolution has varied from eight to three per cent. the fums for which thofe taxes are granted, and receives payment as their produce gradually comes in. If there is a deficiency, which there always is, it is provided for in the fupplies of the enfuing year. The only confiderable branch of the public revenue which yet remains unmortgaged is thus regularly fpent before it comes in. Like an improvident fpendthrift, whofe preffing occafions will not allow him to wait for the regular payment of his revenue, the ftate is in the conftant practice of borrowing of its own factors and agents, and of paying intereft for the ufe of its own money.

In the reign of king William, and during a great part of that of queen Anne, before we had become fo familiar as we are now with the practice of perpetual funding, the greater part of the new taxes were impofed but for a fhort period of time (for four, five, fix, or feven years only), and a great part of the grants of every year confifted in loans upon anticipations of the produce of thofe taxes. The produce being frequently infufficient for paying within the limited term the principal and intereft of the money borrowed, deficiencies arofe, to make good which it became neceffary to prolong the term.

In 1697, by the 8th of William III. c. 20. the deficiencies of feveral taxes were charged upon what was then called the firft general mortgage or fund, confifting of a prolongation to the firft
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${ }^{0} 0 \mathrm{v}$. K of Auguft, 1706, of feveral different taxes, which would have expired within a hoorter term, and of which the produce was accumulated into one general fund. The deficiencies charged upon this prolonged term amounted to $5,160,459$ l. 14 s. $9 \frac{1}{2} d$.

In 1701, thofe duties, with fome others, were ftill further prolonged for the like purpofes till the firft of Auguft, 1710, and were called the fecond general mortgage or fund. The deficiencies charged upon it amounted to 2,055,999 l. 7 s. $11 \frac{1}{2}$ d.

Is 1707, thofe duties were ftill further prolonged, as a fund for new loans, to the firft of Auguft, 1712, and were called the third general mortgage or fund. The fum borrowed upon it was 983,254 l. ins. $9^{\frac{T}{4}} d$.

In 1708 , thofe duties were all (except the old fubfidy of tonnage and poundage, of which one moiety only was made a part of this fund, and a duty upon the importation of Scotch linen, which had been taken off by the articles of union) ftill further continued, as a fund for new loans, to the firft of Auguft, 1714, and were called the fourth general mortgage or fund. The fum borrowed upon it was $925,176 l$. 9 s. $2 \frac{1}{4}$ d.

In 1709, thofe duties were all (except the old fubfidy of tonnage and poundage, which was now left out of this fund altogether) ftill further conrinued for the fame purpofe to the firft of Auguft, 1716, and were called the fifth general mortgage or fund. The fum borrowed upon it was 922,029l. 6 s. od.

In i7lo, thofe duties were again prolonged to ${ }^{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{HAAP}_{\text {II }} \mathrm{P}$. the firf of Augult, 1720, and were called the fixth general mortgage or fund. The fum borrowed upon it was $1,296,552 \mathrm{l}$. 9 s. $11 \frac{3}{4}$ d.

In ifir, the fame duties (which at this time were thus fubject to four different anticipations), together with feveral others, were continued for ever, and made a fund for paying the intereft of the capital of the South Sea Company, which had that year advanced to government, for paying debts and making grod deficiencies, the fum of 9,177,967l. 15 s. 4 d.; the greatell loan which at that time had ever been made.

Before this period, the principal, fo far as I have been able to obferve, the only taxes which in order to pay the intereft of a debt had been impofed for perpetuity, were thofe for paying the intereft of the money which had been advanced to government by the Bank and Eaft India Company, and of what it was expected would be advanced, but which was never advanced, by a projected land bank. The bank fund at this time amounted to $3,375,027 \mathrm{l}$. 17 s. $10 \frac{1}{2}$ d. for which was paid an annuity or intereft of $206,501 \mathrm{l}$. 13 s .5 d . The Eaft India fund amounted to $3,200,000 \mathrm{l}$. for which was paid an annuity or intereft of $160,000 \mathrm{l}$.; the bank fund being at fix per cent.; the Eaft India fund at five per cent. intereft.

In 1715, by the firt of George I. c. 12. the different taxes which had been mortgaged for paying the bank annuity, together with feveral ochers which by this act were likewife rendered perpetual, were accumulated into one common

Dd4

B oon fund called The Aggregate Fund, which was charged not only with the payments of the bank annuity, but with feveral other annuities and burdens of different kinds. This fund was afterwards augmented by the third of George I. c. 8. and by the fifth of George I. c. 3. and the different duties which were then added to it were likewife rendered perpetual.

In 1717 , by the third of George I. c. $7 \cdot$ feveral other taxes were rendered perpetual, and accumulated into another common fund, called The General Fund, for the payment of certain annuities, amounting in the whole to $724,849 \mathrm{l}$. 6 s . $10 \frac{1}{2} d$.

In confequence of thofe different acts, the greater part of the taxes which before had been anticipated only for a fhort term of years, were rendered perpetual as a fund for paying, not the capital, but the intereft only, of the money which had been borrowed upon them by different fucceffive anticipations.

Had money never been raifed but by anticipation, the courfe of a few years would have liberated the public revenue, without any other attention of government befides that of not overloading the fund by charging it with more debt than it could pay within the limited term, and of not anticipating a fecond time before the expiration of the firft anticipation. But the greater part of European governments have been incapable of thofe attentions. They have frequently overloaded the fund even upon the firft gnticipation ; and when this happened not to be
the cafe, they have generally taken care to over- $\mathrm{CHAP}_{\mathrm{HI}} \mathrm{P}$. load it, by anticipating a fecond and a third, time before the expiration of the firft anticipation. The fund becoming in this manner altogether infufficient for paying both principal and intereft of the money borrowed upon it, it became neceffary to charge it with the intereft only, or a perpetual annuity equal to the intereft, and fuch unprovident anticipations neceffarily gave birth to the more ruinous practice of perpetual funding. But though this practice neceffarily puts off the liberation of the public revenue from a fixed period to one fo indefinite that it is not very likely ever to arrive; yet as a greater fum can in all cafes be raifed by this new practice than by the old one of anticipations, the former, when men have once become familiar with it, has in the great exigencies of the ftate been univerfally preferred to the latter. To relieve the prefent exigency is always the object which principally interefts thofe immediately concerned in the adminiftration of public affairs. The future liberation of the public revenue, they leave to the care of pofterity.

During the reign of queen Anne, the market rate of intereft had fallen from fix to five per cent., and in the twelfth year of her reign five per cent. was declared to be the higheft rate which could lawfully be taken for money borrowed upon private fecurity. Soon after the greater part of the temporary taxes of Great Britain had been rendered perpetual, and diftriputed into the Aggregate, South Sea, and

General
are two other methods, which hold a fort of middle $\mathbf{C}$ н A P. place between them. Thefe are, that of borrow-1 ing upon annuities for terms of years, and that of borrowing upon annuities for lives.

During the reigns of king William and queen Anne, large fums were frequently borrowed upon annuities for terms of years, which were fometimes longer and fometimes horter, In 1693, an act was paffed for borrowing one million upon an annuity of fourteen per cent., or of 140,000 l. a year for fixteen years. In 1691, an act was paffed for borrowing a million upon annuities for lives, upon terms which in the prefent times would appear very advantageous. But the fubfcription was not filled up. In the following year the deficiency was made good by borrowing upon annuities for lives at fourteen per cent., or at little more than feven years purchafe. In 1695, the perfons who had purchafed thofe annuities were allowed to exchange them for others of ninety-fix years, upon paying into the Exchequer fixty-three pounds in the hundred; that is, the difference between fourteeen per cent. for life, and fourteen per cent. for ninety-fix years, was fold for fixty-three pounds, or for four and a half years purchafe. Such was the fuppofed inftability of government, that even thefe terms procured few purchafers. In the reign of queen Anne, money was upon different occafions borrowed both upon annuities for lives, and upon annuities for terms of thistytwo, of cighty-nine, of ninety-eight, and of ninety-nine years. In 1719 , the proprietors of
${ }^{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{0} \mathbf{0} \mathbf{o k}$ the annuities for thirty-two years were induced to accept in lieu of them South Sea ftock to the amount of eleven and a half years purchafe of the annuities, together with an additional quantity of ftock equal to the arrears which happened then to be due upon them. In 1720, the greater part of the other annuities for terms of years both long and fhort were fubfribed into the fame fund. The long annuities at that time amounted to 666,821 l. 8 s . $3^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{~d}$. a year. On the 5 th of January 1775, the remainder of them, or what was not fubfcribed at that time, amounted only to $\mathbf{I}_{36,453}$ l. 12 s .8 d .

During the two wars which begun in 1739 and in 1755, little money was borrowed either upon annuities for terms of years, or upon thofe for lives. An annuity for ninety-eight or ninety-nine years, however, is worth nearly as much money as a perpetuity, and fhould, therefore, one might think, be a fund for borrowing nearly as much. But thofe who, in order to make family fettlements, and to provide for remote futurity, buy into the public ftucks, would not care to purchafe into one of which the value was continually diminifhing; and fuch people make a very confiderable proportion both of the proprietors and purchafers of flock. An annuity for a long term of years, therefore, though its intrinfic value may be very nearly the fame with that of a perpetual annuity, will not find nearly the fame number of purchafers. The fubferibers to a new loan, who mean generally to fell their fubfcription as foon as pofible,
prefer greatly a perpetual annuity redeemable by GHAP. $_{\text {H. }}$. parliament to an irredeemable annuity for a long' term of years of only equal amount. The value of the former may be fuppofed always the fame, or very nearly the fame; and it makes, therefore, a more convenient transferable ftock than the latter.

During the two laft mentioned wars, annuities, either for terms of years or for lives, were feldom granted but as premiums to the fubfribers to a new loan, over and above the redeemable annuity or intereft upon the credit of which the loan was fuppofed to be made. They were granted not as the proper fund upon which the money was borrowed; but as an addicional encouragement to the lender.

Annuitirs for lives have occafionally been granted in two different ways; either upon feparate lives, or upon lots of lives, which in French are called Tontines, from the name of their inventor. When annuities are granted upon feparate lives, the death of every individual annuitant difburthens the public revenue fo far as it was affected by his annuity. When annuities are granted upon tontines, the liberation of the public revenue does not commence till the death of all the annuitants comprehended in one lot, which may fometimes confift of twenty or thirty perfons, of whom the furvivors fucceed to the annuities of all thofe who die before them; the laft furvivor fucceeding to the annuities of the whole lot. Upon the fame revenue more money can always be raifed by ton-

Book tines than by annuities for feparate lives. An annuity, with a right of furvivorfhip, is really worth more than an equal annuity for a feparate life, and from the confidence which every man naturally has in his own good fortune, the principle upon which is founded the fuccels of all lotteries, fuch an annuity generally fells for fomething more than it is worth. In countries where it is ufual for government to raife money by granting annuities, tontines are upon this account generally preferred to annuities for feparate lives. The expedient which will raife mott money, is almoft always preferred to that which is likely to bring about in the fpeedieft manner the liberation of the public revenue.

In France a much greater proportion of the public debts confifts in annuities for lives than in England. According to a memoir prefented by the parliament of Bourdeaux to the king in 1764, the whole public debt of France is eftimated at twenty-four hundred millions of livres; of which the capital for which annuities for lives had been granted, is fuppofed to amount to three hundred millions, the eighth part of the whole public debt. The annuities themfelves are computed to amount to thirty millions a year, the fourth part of one hundred and twenty millions, the fuppofed intereft of that whole debt. Thefe eftimations, I know very well, are not exact, but having been prefented by fo very refpectable a body as approximations to the truth, they may, I apprehend, be confidered as fuch. It is not the different degrees of anxiety
in the two governments of France and Fngland $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{H}}^{\mathrm{F}}{ }^{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{P}$. for the liberation of the public revenue, which oc-1 cafions this difference in their refpective modes of borrowing: it arifes altogether from the different views and interefts of the lenders.

In England, the feat of government being in the greateft mercantile city in the world, the merchants are generally the people who advance money to government. By advancing it they do not mean to diminif, but, on the contrary, to increafe their mercantile capitals; and unlefs they expected to fell with fome profit their fhare in the fubfcription for a new loan, they never would fubfcribe. But if by advancing their money they were to purchafe, inftead of perpetual annuities, annuities for lives only, whether their own or thofe of other people, they would not always be fo likely to fell them with a profit. Annuities upon their own lives they would always fell with lofs; becaufe no man will give for an annuity upon the life of another, whofe age and ftate of health are nearly the fame with his own, the fame price which the would give for one upon his own. An annuity upon the life of a third perfon, indeed, is, no doubt, of equal value to the buyer and the feller; but its real value begins to diminifh from the moment it is granted, and continues to do fo more and more as long as it fubfifts. It can never, therefore, make fo convenient a transferable Rock as a perpetual annuity, of which the real value may be fuppofed always the fame, or very nearly the fame.

Boor In France, the feat of government not being 2 in a great mercantile city, merchants do not make fo great a proportion of the people who advance money to government. The people concerned in the finances, the farmers general, the receivers of the taxes which are not in farm, the court bankers, \&c. make the greater part of thofe who advance their money in all public exigencies. Such people are commonly men of mean birth, but of great wealth, and frequently of great pride. They are too proud to marry their equals, and women of quality difdain to marry them. They frequently refolve, therefore, to live bachelors, and having neither any families of their own, nor much regard for thofe of their relations, whom they are not always very fond of acknowledging, they defire only to live in fplendour during their own time, and are not unwilling that their fortune fhould end with themfelves. The number of rich people, befides, who are either averfe to marry, or whofe condition of life renders it either improper or inconvenient for them to do fo, is much greater in France than in England. To fuch people, who have little or no care for pofterity, nothing can be more convenient than to exchange their capital for a revenue, which is to laft juft as long, and no longer than they wifh it to do.

The ordinary expence of the greater part of modern governments in time of peace being equal or nearly equal to their ordinary revenue, when war comes, they are both unwilling and unable to increafe their revenue in proportion
to the increale of their expence. They are un- chap. willing for fear of offending the people, who, by fo great and fo fudden an increafe of taxes, would foon be difgufted with the war; and they are unable, from not well knowing what taxes would be fufficient to produce the revenue wanted. The facility of borrowing delivers them from the embarrafment which this fear and inability would otherwife occafion. By means of borrowing they are enabled, with a very moderate increale of taxes, to raife, from year to year, money fufficient for carrying on the war, and by the practice of perpetual funding they are enabled, with the fmalleft poffible increafe of naxes, to raife annually the larget ponble fum of monty. In great empires the people who live in the capital, and in the provinces remote from the fcene of action, feel, many of them, fcarce any inconveniency from the war; but enjoy, at their eafe, the amufement of reading in the newfapers the exploits of their own flects and armies. To them this amulement compenfates the finall difference between the taxes which they pay on account of the war, and thofe which they had been accutomed to pay in time of peace. They are commonly ditfatisfied with the return of peace, which purs an end to their amufement, and to a thoufand vifonary hopes of conqueft and national glory, frem a longer continuance of the war.

The return of peace, indeed, feldom relieves them from the greater part of the taxes impored during the war. Thefe are mortsaged for the Voh. III. E.e interett
$\mathrm{B} O$ OK intereft of the debt contracted in order to carry it on. If, over and above paying the intereft of this debt, and defraying the ordinary expence of government, the old revenue, together with the new taxes, produce fome furplus revenue, it may perhaps be converted into a finking fund for paying off the debt. But, in the firft place, this finking fund, even fuppofing it fhould be applied to no other purpofe, is generally altogether inadequate for paying, in the courfe of any period during which it can reafonably be expected that peace fhould continue, the whole debr contrafted during the war; and, in the fecond place, this fund is almoft always applied to other parpofes.

The new taxes were impofed for the fole pur. pofe of paying the intereft of the money borrowed upon them. If they produce more, it is generally fomething which was neither intended nor expected, and is therefore feldom very confiderable. Sinking funds have generally arifen, not fo much from any furplus of the taxes which was over and above what was neceifary for paying the intereft or annuity originally charged upon them, as from a fublequent reduction of that interett. That of llulland in 1655 , and that of the ecclefiatical fate in 1685 , were both formed in this manner. Hence the ufual infufficiency of fuch funds.

Dunang the moft profound peace, various events occur which require an extraod dinary expeice, and zovernment finds it always more convenient to defray this expence by mifapplying
the finking fund than by impofing a new tax. $C$ ifip. Every new tax is immediately felt more or lefs by the people. It occafions always fome murmur, and meets with fome oppofition. The more taxes may have been multiplied, the higher they may have been raifed upon every different fubject of taxation; the more loudly the people complain of every new tax, the more difficult it becomes too either to find out new fubjects of taxation, or to raife much higher the raxes already impofed upon the old. A momentary fuipenfion of the payment of debt is not immediately felt by the people, and occafions neither murmur nor complaint. To borrow of the finking fund is always an obvious and eaíy expedient for getting out of the prefent difficuit:, The more the public debts may have veen accumulated, the more necelfay it may have become to fudy to reduce them, the more dangerous, the more ruinous it may be to mifapply any part of the finking fund; the lefs likely is the public debt to be reduced to any confiderable degree, the more likely, the more certainly is the finking fund to be milapplied rowars defraying all the extraordinary expences which occur in time of peace. When a nation is alread! overburdened with taxes, nothing but the neceffities of a new war, nothing but either the animofity of national vengeance, or the anxiety for national fecurity, can induce the people to fibmit, with tolerable patience, to a new tax. Hence the ufual mifapplication of the finking fund.
book In Great Britain, from the time that we had 'firft recourfe to the ruinous expedient of perpetual funding, the reduction of the public debt in time of peace has never borne any proportion to its accumulation in time of war. It was in the war which began in 1638, and was concluded by the treaty of Ryfwick in 1697 , that the foundation of the prefent enormous debt of Great Britain was firft laid.

On the 3ift of December 1697 , the public debts of Great Britain, funded and unfunded, amounted to $21,515,742$. 13 s. $8 \frac{1}{2}$. A great part of thote debes had been contracted upon fort anticipations, and fome part upon annuities for lives; fo that before the 3 ift of December 1701, in lefs than four years, there had partly been paid off, and partly reverted to the public, the fum of $5,121,04 \mathrm{I}$ l. $12 \mathrm{~s} .0 \frac{3}{4} \mathrm{~d}$. ; a greater reduction of the public debt than has ever fince been brought about in fo hort a period of time. The remaining debt, therefore, amounted only to $16,394,701 \mathrm{l}$. I s. $7^{\frac{1}{4}} \mathrm{~d}$.

In the war which began in 1702, and which was concluded by the treaty of Utrecht, the public debts were fill more accumulated. On the 3 Ift of December 1714, they amounted to $53,681,076$ l. 5 s. $6_{T_{i}^{2}}^{1}$ d. The fubfeription into the South Sea fund of the Chore and long annuities increafed the capital of the public debts, fo that on the 31 ft of December 1722, is amounted to $55,282,978 \%$. $s$. $3 \frac{5}{6} \mathrm{~d}$. The reduction of the debt began in 1723 , and went on fo nowly that, on the 3Ift of December 1739, during
during feventeen years of profound peace, the CHAP . whole fum paid off was no more than $8,328,354 l$. $175.11_{1}^{3} \frac{3}{2} d$. the capital of the public debt at that time amounting to $4^{6,954,62.3}$ l. $3^{\text {s. }} 4^{\frac{7}{2}} d$.

The Spanifh war, which began in 1739, and the French war which foon followed it, occafioned a further increafe of the debr, which, on the 3 rit of December 1748, after the war had been concluded by the treaty of Aix la Chapelle, amounted to $78,293,3 \mathrm{I} 3 \mathrm{l}$. I s. $10 \frac{3}{4} \mathrm{~d}$. The moft profound peace of feventeen years continuance had taken no more than $8,328,354$ l. 17 s. $1 I^{\frac{3}{2}}$. from it. A war of lefs than nine years continuance added $3 r, 33^{8,689} l .18$ s. $6 \frac{1}{6} d$. to it *.

During the adminitration of Mr. Pelham, the intereft of the public debt was reduced, or at lealt meafures were taken for reducing it, from four to three per cent.; the finking fund was increafed, and fome part of the public debe was paid off. In 1755, before the breaking out of the Jate war, the funded debt of Great Britain amounted to $72,289,673 l$. On the fifth of January 1763 , at the conclufion of the peace, the funded debt amounted to $122,603,3,6 \%$. 8 s. $2 \frac{1}{1} d$. The unfunded debt has been ftated at $13,527,589$ l. 2 s. 2 d. But the expence occafroned by the war did not end with the conclufion of the peace; fo that though, on the 5 th of January 1764 , the funded debt was increafed (partly by a new loan, and partly by funding a part of the unfunded debt) to $129,586,789 \%$

[^21]Ee 3

Book los. $1 \frac{3}{4} d$. there ftill remained (according to the very well informed author of the Confiderations on the trade and finances of Great Britain) an unfuncled debt, which was brought to account in that and the following year, of $9,975,017 \mathrm{l}$. 12 s . $2_{4}^{\frac{1}{4}} \mathrm{~d}$. In 1764 , therefore, the public debt of Great Britain, funded and unfunded together, amounted, according to this author, to 139,516,807 l. 2 s .4 d . The annuities for lives too, which had been granted as premiums to the fublcribers to the new loans in 1757, eftimated at fourteen years purchafe, were valued at $472,50 \%$ and the annuities for long terms of years, granted as premiums likewife, in 1761 and 1762 , eftimated at $27 \frac{1}{2}$ years purchafe, were valued at $6,326,875 \%$. During a peace of about feven years continuance, the prudent and truly patriot adminiftration of Mr. Pelham was not able to pay off an old debt of fix millions. During a war of nearly the lame continuance, a new debt of more than feventy-five millions was contracted.

On the 5 th of January 1775 , the funded debt of Great Britain amounted to $124,956,086 \mathrm{l}$. is. $6 \frac{1}{4} d$. The unfunded, exclufive of a large civil lift debt, to $4,150,236$ l. 3 s. $11{ }_{8}^{7}$ d. Both together, to $129,146,322$. 5 s. 6 d. According to this account the whole debt paid off during eleven years profound peace amounted only to $10,415,474$ l. $16 \mathrm{~s} 9 \frac{7}{8} \mathrm{~d}$. Even this fmall reduction of debt, however, has not been all made from the favings out of the ordinary revenue of the ftate. Several extraneous fums, altogether
altogether independent of that ordinary revenue, $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{H}}^{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{P}$. have contributed towards it. Amongtt thefe we may reckon an additional fhilling in the pound land-tax for three years; the two millions received from the Eaft India comeany, as indemnification for their territorial acquifitions; and the one hundred and ten thoufand pounds received from the bank for the renewal of their charter. To thefe muft be added feveral other fums which, as they arofe out of the late war, ought perhaps $t$, be confidered as deductions from the expences of it. 'I he principal are,

|  | $l$. | $s$. | $d$. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The prodice of French prizes | $6,0,44.9$ | 18 | 9 |
| Compontion for French prifoners | 670,000 | 0 | 0 |
| $\left.\begin{array}{lll}\text { What has been received from } \\ \text { the fale of the ceded inands }\end{array}\right\}$ | $95,5: 0$ | 0 | 0 |

$$
\text { Toi.1, 1,455,949 18 } 9
$$

If we add to this fum the balance of the earl of Chathan's and Mr. Calcraft's accounts, and other army favings of the fame kind, together with what has been received from the bank, the Laft India company, and the additional fhilling in the pound land-tax; the whole moft be a good deal more than five millions. The debr, therefore, which fince the peace has been paid out of the favings from the ordinary revenue of the ftate, has not, one year with another, amounted to half a million a year. 'The finking fund has, no doubt, been confiderably augmented fince the peace, by the deot which has been paid $\mathrm{E} \mathrm{e}_{4}$
off,

B O O K off, by the reduction of the redeemable four per cents. to three per cents., and by the annuities for lives which have fallen in, and, if peace were to continue, a million, perhaps, might now be annually fpared out of it towards the difcharge of the debt. Another million, accordingly, was paid in the courfe of laft year; but, at the fame time, a large civil lift debt was left unpaid, and we are now involved in a new war which, in its progrefs, may prove as expenfive as any of our former wars *. The new debt which will probably be contracted before the end of the next campaign, may perhaps be nearly equal to all the old debt which has been paid off from the favings out of the ordinary revense of the fate. It would be altogether chimerical, therefore, to expect that the public debt hoould ever be completely difcharged by any favings which are likely to be made from that ordinary revenue as it flands at prefent.

The public funds of the different indebted nations of Europe, particularly thofe of England, have by one author been reprefented as the accumulation of a great capital fuperadded to the other capital of the country, by means of which its trade is extended, its manufactures multiplied, and its lands cultivated and im-

[^22]proved much beyond what they could have been ${ }^{C}{ }_{\text {H AIP. }}{ }^{\text {a }}$. by means of that other capital only. He does not confider that the capital which the firft creditors of the public advanced to govermment, was, from the moment in which they advanced it, a certain portion of the annual produce turned away from ferving in the function of a capital, to ferve in that of a revenue; from maintaining productive labourers to maintain unproductive ones, and to be fpent and wafted, generally in the courfe of the year, without even the hope of any future reproduction. In return for the capital which they advanced, they obtained, indeed, an annuity in the public funds in moft cafes of more than equal value. This annuity, no doubt, replaced to them their capital, and enabled them to carry on their trade and bufinefs to the fame or perhaps to a greater extent than before; that is, they were enabled either to borrow of other people a new capital upon the credit of this annuity, or by felling it to get from other people a new capital of their own, equal or fuperior to that which they had advanced to government. This new capital, however, which they in this manner either bought or borrowed of other people, muft have exifted in the country before, and muft have been employed as all capitals are, in maintaining productive labour. When it came into the hands of thofe who had advanced their money to government, though it was in fome refpects a new capital to them, it was not fo to the country; but was only a capital withdrawn from certain employ-

Bonk employments in order to be turned towards 'others. Though it replaced to them what they had advanced to government, it did not replace it to the country. Had they not advanced this capital to government, there would have been in the country two capitals, two portions of the annual produce, infteaci of one, employed in maintaining productive labour.

WHEN for defraying the expence of government a revenue is raifed within the year from the produce of free or unmortgaged taxes, a certain portion of the revenue of private people is only turned away from maintaining one feecies of unproductive labour, towards maintaining another. Some part of what they pay in thote taxes might no doubt have been accumulated suto capital, and confequently employed in maintaining productive labour; but the greater part would probably have been fpent, and coniequently employed in maintaining unproductive labour. The public expence, however, when defrayed in this manner, no doubt hinders more or lefs the further accumulation of new capitad; but it does not neceffarily occalion the deftruction of any actually exifting capital.

When the public expence is defrayed by funding, it is defrayed by the annual deftruction of fome capital which had before exifted in the country; by the perverfion of fome portion of the annual produce which had before been deftined for the maintenance of productive labour, towards that of unproductive labour. As in this cale, however, the taxes are lighter than
they would have been, had a revenue fufficient ${ }^{C}$ Har. for defraying the fame expence been raifed within the year; the private revenue of individuals is neceffarily lefs burdened, and confequently. their ability to fave and accumulate fome part of that revenue into capital is a good deal refs impaired. If the method of funding deltroy more old capital, it at the fame time hinders lefs the accumulation or acquifition of new capital, than that of defraying the public expence by a revenue raifed within the year. Under the fyftem of funding, the frugality and induftry of private people can more eafly repair the breaches which tite wafte and extravagance of government may occafionally make in the generai capital of the fociery.

IT is only during the continuance of war, however, that the fyftem of funding has this advantage over the other fyftem. Were the expence of war to be defrayed atways by a revenue raifed within the year, the taxes from which that extraordinary revenue was crawn would laft no longer than the war. The ability of private people to accumulate, though lefs during the war, would have been greater during the peace than under the fyftem of funding. War would not neceffarily have occafioned the deftruction of any old capitals, and peace would have occafioned the accumulation of many more new. Wars would in general be more fpeedily concludet, and lefs wantonly undertaken. The people feeling, during the continuance of war, the complete burden of $i t$, would foon grow weary of $i r$,
${ }^{8}$ oo $\mathbf{v}$. $\mathrm{K}^{\text {and government, in order to humour them, would }}$ ' not be under the neceffity of carrying it on longer than it was neceflary to do fo. The forefight of the heavy and unavoidable burdens of war would hinder the people from wantonly calling for it when there was no real or folid intereft to fight for. The feafons during which the ability of private people to accumulate was fomewhat impaired, would occur more rarely, and be of fhorter continuance. Thofe, on the contrary, during which that ability was in the higheft vigour, would be of much longer duration than they can well be under the fyitem of funding.

When funding, befides, has made a certain progrefs, the multiplication of taxes which it brings along with it fometimes impairs as much the ability of private people to accumulate even in time of peace, as the other fyitem would in time of war. The peace revenue of Great Britain amounts at prefent to more than ten millions a year. If free and unmortgaged, it might be fufficient, with proper management and without contracting a hiilling of new debt, to carry on the moft vigorous war. The private revenue of the inhabitants of Great Britain is at prefent as much encumbered in time of peace, their ability to accumulate it as much impaired as it would have been in the time of the moft expenfive war, had the pernicious fyftem of funding never been adopted.

In the payment of the intereft of the public. debt, it has been faid, it is the right hatd which pays the left. The money does not go out of
the country. It is only a part of the revenue of CHAP . one fet of the inhabitants which is transferred to another; and the nation is not a farthing the poorer. This apology is founded altogether in the fophiftry of the mercantile fyftem; and after the long examination which I have already beftowed upon that fyftem, it may perhaps be unneceffary to fay any thing further about it. It fuppofes, befides, that the whole public debt is owing to the inhabitants of the country, which happens not to be true; the Duich, as well as feveral other foreign nations, having a very conflutrable thare in our public funds. But though the whole debt were owing to the inhabisnts of the country, it would not upon that account be lefs per. nicious.

Land and capital ftock are the two original fources of all revenue both private and public. Capital fock pays the wages of productive labour, whether employed in agriculture, manufactures, or commerce. The management of thofe two original fources of revenue belongs to two different fets of people; the proprietors of land, and the owners or employers of capital ftock.

The proprietor of land is interefted for the fake of his own revenue to keep his eftate in as good condition as he can, by building and repairing his tenants houfes, by making and maintaining the necefiary drains and enclofures, and* all thofe other expenfive improvements which it properly belongs to the landlord to make and maintain. But by different land-taxes the re-

B o o K venue of the landlord may be fo much diminifhed; and by different duties upon the neteffaries and conveniencies of life, that diminifhed revenue may be rendered of fo littie real value, that he may find himfelf altogether unable to make or maintain thole expenfive improvements. When the landlord, however, ceales to do bis part, it is altogether impofible that the temant hould continue to de his. As the diftefs of the landlord increafes, the agriculture of the country muft neceflarily decline.
$W_{\text {hen }}$, by different taxes upon the neceffaries and conveniencies of life, the owners and employers of capital flock find, that whatewer revenue they derive from it, will not, in a particular country, purchafe the fame quantity of thofe neceffaries and conveniencies which an equal revenue would in almoft any other, they will be difpofed to remove to fome other. And when, in order to raife thofe taxes, all or the greater part of merchants and manufacturers, that is, all or the greater part of the employers of great capitals, come to be continually expofed to the mortifying and vexatious vifits of the tax-gatherers, this difpofition to remove will foon be changed into an acual removal. The induftry of the country will neceflarily fall with the removal of the capital which fupported it, and the ruin of trade and manufactures will follow the declenfion of agriculture.

To transfer from the owners of thofe two great fources of revenue, land and capital ftock, from the perfons immediately interefted in the good condition
condition of every particular portion of land, $\underset{\text { н }}{\text { Hit. }}$ a . and in the good management of every particula: portion of capital ftock, to another fet of perfons (the creditors of the public, who have no fuch particular intereft), the greater part of the revenue ariing from either, muft, in the long-run, occafion both the neglect of land, and the wafte or removal of capital ftock. A creditor of the public has no doubt a general intereft in the profperity of the agriculture, manufactures, and commerce of the country; and confequently in the good condition of its lands, and in the good management of its capital fock. Should there be any general failure or decienfion in any of thefe things, the produce of the dfferent tases might no longer be fufficient to pay him the annuty or interelt which is due to him. But a creditor of the public, confidered merely as theh, has no interelt in the good condition of any pai-. ticular portion of land, or in the good management of any particular portion of capital fock. $A_{2}=$ a creditor of the public he has no knowledue of any fach particular portion. He has no infpection of ii. He can liave no care about it. Its ruin may in fome cafes be unknown to him, and carnot dire हैly affect him.

The pratice of fondiog has gradually enfeebled every sate which has adopted it. The Italian republics feen to have begun it. Genoa and Venice, the only two remaining which cia pretend to an independent exitence, have bote been enfeebled by it. Spain feems to have learned the practice from the Italian republics, and

BOOK $\mathbf{V}$ and (its taxes being probably lefs judicious than theirs) it has, in proportion to its natural ftrength, been ftill more enfeebled. The debts of Spain are of very old ftanding. It was deeply in debt before the end of the fixteenth century, about a hundred years before England owed a fhilling. France, notwichftanding all its natural refources, languifhes under an oppreffive load of the fame kind. The republic of the United Provinces is as much enfeebled by its debts as either Genoa or Venice. Is it likely that in Great Britain alone a practice, which has brought either weaknefs or defolation into every other country; fhould prove altogether innocent?

The fyftem of taxation eftablifhed in thofe different countries, it may be faid, is inferior to that of Ingland. I believe it is fo. But it ought to be remembered, that when the wifert government has exhaulted all the proper fubjects of taxation, it muft, in cafes of urgent neceflity, have recourle to improper ones. The wife republic of Holland has upon fome occafions been obliged to have recourfe to taxes as inconvenient as the greater part of thofe of Spain. Another war begun before any confiderable liberation of the public revenue had been brought about, and growing in its progrefs as expenfive as the laft war, may, from irrefiftible neceffity, render the Britifh fyftem of taxation as oppreffive as that of Holland, or even as that of Spain. To the honour of our prefent fyitem of taxation, indeed, it has hitherto given fo little embarraffment to induftry, that, during the
courfe even of the moft expenfive wars, the fru- с н a $P$. gality and good conduct of indivituals feem to have been able, by faving and accumulation, to repair all the breaches which the wafte and extravagance of government had made in the general capital of the fociety. At the conclufion of the late war, the moft expenfive that Great Britain ever waged, her agriculture was as flourifhing, her manufacturers as numerous and as fully employed, and her commerce as extenfive, as they had ever been before. The capital, therefore, which fupported all thofe different branches of induftry, muft have been equal to what it had ever been before. Since the pace, agriculture has been ftill further improved, the rents of houfes have rifen in every town and village of the country, a proof of the increafing wealth and revenue of the people; and the annual amount of the greater part of the old taxes, of the principal branches of the excife and cuftoms in particular, has been continually increafing, an equally clear proof of an increafing ,confumption, and confequently of an increaling produce, which could alone fupport that confumption. Great Britain feems to fupport with eafe, a burden which, half a century ago, nobody believed her capable of fupporting. Let us not, however, upon this account rafhly conclude that the is capable of fupporting any burden; nor even be too confident that fhe could fupport, without great diftrefs, a burden a little greater than what has already been laid upon her.

[^23]book When national debts have once been accua to certain degree, there is fcarce, 1 believe, a fingle inftance of their having been fairly and completely paid. The liberation of the public revenue, if it has ever been brought about at all, has always been brought about by a bankruptcy; fometimes by an avowed one, but always by a real one, though frequently by a pretended payment.

The raifing of the denomination of the coin has been the moft ufual expedient by which a real public bankruptcy has been difguifed under the appearance of a pretended payment. If a finpence, for example, fhould either by act of parliament or royal proclamation be raifed to the denomination of a fhilling, and twenty fixpences to that of a pound fterling, the perfon who under the old denomination had borrowed twenty fhillings, or near four ounces of filver, would, under the new, pay with twenty fixpences, or with fomething lefs than two ounces. A national debt of about a hundred and twentyeight millions, nearly the capital of the funded and unfunded debt of Great Britain, might in this manner be paid with about fixty-four millions of our prefent money. It would indeed be a pretended payment only, and the creditors of the rublic would really be defrauded of ten fhillings in the pound of what was due to them. The calamity too would extend much further than to the creditors of the public, and thofe of every private perfon would fuffer a proportionable lofs; and this without any advantage, but
in moft cafes with a great additional lofs, to the C H A P. creditors of the public. If the creditors of the: public indeed were generally much in debt to other people, they might in fome meafure compenfate their lofs by paying their creditors in the fame coin in which the public had paid them. But in moft countries the creditors of the public are, the greater part of them, wealthy people, who ftand more in the relation of creditors than in that of debtors towards the reft of their fellow-citizens. A pretended payment of this kind, therefore, inftead of alleviating, aggravates in molt cafes the lofs of the creditors of the public; and without any advantage to the public, extends the calamity to a great number of other innocent people. It occafions a general and moft pernicious fubverfion of the fortunes of private people; enriching in moft cafes the idle and profufe debtor at the expence of the induftrious and frugal creditor, and tranfporting a great part of the national capital from the hands which were likely to increafe and improve it, to thofe which are likely to diffipate and deftroy it. When it becomes neceffary for a ftate to declare itfelf bankrupt, in the fame manner as when it becomes neceffary for an individual to do fo, a fair, open, and avowed bankruptcy is always the meafure which is both leaft difhonourable to the debtor, and leaft hurtful to the creditor. The honour of a ftate is furely very poorly provided for, when in order to cover the difgrace of a real bankruptcy, it has recourfe to a juggling trick of this kind, fo eafily. feen through,

Book through, and at the fame time fo extremely pernicious.

Almost all ftates, however, ancient as well as modern, when reduced to this neceffity, have, apon fome occafions, played this very juggling trick. The Romans, at the end of the firft Punic war, reduced the As, the coin or denomination by which they computed the value of all their other coins, from containing twelve ounces of copper to contain only two ounces: that is, they raifed two ounces of copper to a denomination which had always before exprefled the value of twelve ounces. The republic was, in this manner, enabled to pay the great debts which it had contracted with the fixth part of what it really owed. So fudden and fo great a bankruptcy, we fhould in the prefent times be apt to imagine, muft have occafioned a very violent popular clamour. It does not appear to have occafioned any. The law which enacted it was, like all other laws relating to the coin, introduced and carried through the affembly of the people by a tribune, and was probably a very popular law. In Rome, as in all the other ancient republics, the poor people were conftantly in debt to the rich and the great, who, in order to fecure their votes at the annual elections, ufed to lend them money at exorbitant intereft, which, being never paid, foon accumulated into a fum too great either for the debtor to pay, or for any body elfe to pay for him. The debtor, for fear of a very fevere execution, was obliged, without any further gratuity, to vote
for the candidate whom the creditor recom- c н A mended. In fpite of all the laws againft bribery and corruption, the bounty of the candidates, together with the occafional diftributions of corn which were ordered by the fenate, were the principal funds from which, during the latter times of the Roman republic, the poorer citizens derived their fubfiftence. To deliver themfelves from this fubjection to their creditors, the poorer citizens were continually calling out either for an entire abolition of debts, or for what they called New Tables; that is, for a law which fhould entitle them to a complete acquittance, upon paying only a certain proportion of their accumulated debts. The law which reduced the coin of all denominations to a fixth part of its former value, as it enabled them to pay their debss with a fixth part of what they really owed, was equivalent to the moft advantageous now tables. In order to fatisfy the people, the rich and the great were, upon feveral different occafions, obliged to confent to laws both for abolifhing debts, and for introducing new tables; and they probably were induced to confent to this law, partly for the fame reafon, and partly that, by liberating the public revenue, they might reftore vigour to that government of which they themfelves had the principal direction. An operation of this kind would at once reduce a debt of a hundred and twenty-eight millions to twenty-one millions three hundred and thirty-three thoufand three hundred and thirty-three pounds fix fhillings and eightFf3 pence.

в o o $\quad$ v. pence. In the courfe of the fecond Punic war the As was fill further reduced, firft, from two ounces of copper to one ounce; and afterwards from one ounce to half an ounce; that is, to the twenty-fourth part of its original value. By combining the three Roman operations into one, a debt of a hundred and twenty-eight millions of our prefent money, might in $t$ 'is manner be reduced all at once to a debt of five millions three hundred and thirty-three thouland three hundred and thirty-three pounds fix thillings and eightpence. Even the enormous de't of Great Britain might in this manner foon be paid.

By means of fuch expedients the coin of, I believe, all nations has been gradually reduced more and more below its original value, and the fame nominal fum has been gradually brought to contain a fmaller and a fmaller quantity of filver.

Nations have fomerimes, for the fame pur* pofe, adulterated the ftandard of their coin; that is, have mixed a greater quantity of alloy in it. If in the pound weight of our filver coin, for example, inftead of eighteen penny-weight, according to the prefent ftandard, there was mixed eight ounces of alloy; a pound fterling, or twenty fhillings of fuch coin, would be worth little more than fix fhillings and eight-pence of our prefent money. The quantity of filver contained in fix fhillings and eight-pence of our prefent money, would thus be raifed very nearly to the denomination of a pound fterling. The adulteration of the ftandard has exactly the fame effect with what the French call an augmentation,
or a dired raifing of the denomination of the ${ }^{C H}$ HP. coin.

An augmentation, or a direct raifing of the denomination of the coin, always is, and from its nature mult be, an open and avowed operation. By means of it pieces of a fmaller weight and bulk are called by the fame name which had before been given to pieces of a greater weight and bulk. The adulteration of the ftandard, on the contrary, has generally been a concealed operation. By means of it pieces were iffued from the mint of the fame denominations, and, as nearly as could be contrived, of the fame weight, bulk, and appearance, with pieces which had been current before of much greater value. When king John of France *, in order to pay his debts, adulterated his coin, all the officers of his mint were fworn to fecrecy. Both operations are unjuft. But a fimple augmentation is an injuftice of open violence; whereas an adulteration is an injultice of treacherous fraud. This latter operation, therefore, as foon as it has been difcovered, and it could never be concealed very long, has always excited much greater indignation than the former. The coin after any confiderable augmentation has very feldom been brought back to its former weight; but after the greateft adulterations it has almoft always been brought back to its former finenefs. It has fcarce ever happened that the fury and indignation of the people could otherwife be appeafed.

[^24]$\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{v}}^{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{ok}$ In the end of the reign of Henry VIII. and in the beginning of that of Edward VI. the Englifh coin was not only raifed in its denomination, but adulterated in its ftandard. The like frauds were practifed in Scotland during the minority of James VI. They have occafionally been practifed in molt other countries.

That the public revenue of Great Britain can never be completely liberated, or even that any confiderable progrefs can ever be made towards that liberation, while the furplus of that revenue, or what is over and above defraying the annual expence of the peace eftablifhment, is fo very finall, it feems altogether in vain to expect. That liberation, it is evident, can never be brought about without either fome very confiderable augmentation of the public revenue, or fome equally confiderable reduction of the public expence.

A more equal land-tax, a more equal tax upon the rent of houfes, and fuch alterations in the prefent fyftem of cuftoms and excife as thofe which have been mentioned in the foregoing chapter, might, perhaps, without increafing the burden of the greater part of the people, but only diftributing the weight of it more equally upon the whole, produce a confiderable augmentation of revenue. The moft fanguine projector, however, could fcarce flatter himfelf that any augmentation of this kind would be fuch as could give any reafonable hopes, either of liberating the public revenue altogether, or even of making fuch progrefs towards that liberation in time of peace, as
either to prevent or to compenfate the further CHAP. accumulation of the public debt in the next war.

By extending the Britifh fyftem of taxation to all the different provinces of the empire inhabited by people of either Britifh or European extraction, a much greater augmentation of revenue might be expected. This, however, could fcarce, perhaps, be done, confiftently with the principles of the Britifh conftitution, without admitting into the Britifh parliament, or if you will into the ftates-general of the Britifh empire, a fair and equal reprefentation of all thofe different provinces, that of each province bearing the fame proportion to the produce of its taxes, as the reprefentation of Great Britain might bear to the produce of the taxes levied upon Great Britain. The private intereft of many powerful individuals, the confirmed prejudices of great bodies of people feem, indeed, at prefent, io oppofe to fo great a change fuch obftacles as it may be very difficult, perhaps altogether impoffible, to furmount. Without, however, pretending to determine whether fuch a union be practicable or impracticable, it may not, perhaps, be improper, in a fpeculative work of this kind, to confider how far the Britifh fyftem of taxation might be applicable to all the different provinces of the empire; what revenue might be expected from it if fo applied, and in what manner a general union of this kind might be likely to affect the happinefs and profperity of the different provinces comprehended within it. Such a fpeculation can

B o o k at worft be regarded but as a new Utopia, lefs amufing certainly, but not more ufelefs and chimerical than the old one.

The land-tax, the ftamp-duties, and the different duties of cuftoms and excife, conftitute the four principal branches of the Britifh taxes.

Ireland is certainly, as able, and our American and Weft Indian plantations more able to pay a land-tax than Great Britain. Where the landlord is fubject neither to tithe nor poors rate, he muft certainly be more able to pay fuch a tax, than where he is fubject to both thofe other burdens. The tithe, where there is no modus, and where it is levied in kind, diminifhes more what would otherwife be the rent of the landlord, than 2 land-tax which really amounted to five fhillings in the pound. Such a tithe will be found in moft cafes to amount to more than a fourth part of the real rent of the land, or of what remains after replacing completely the capital of the farmer, together with his reafonable profit. If all modules and all impropriations were taken away, the complete church tithe of Great Britain and Ireland could not well be eftimated at lefs than fix or feven millions. If there was no tithe either in Great Britain or Ireland, the landlords could afford to pay fix or feven millions additional land-tax, without being more burdened than a very great part of them are at prefent. America pays no tithe, and could therefore very well afford to pay a land-tax. The lands in America and the Weft Indies indeed, are in general not tenanted nor leafed out
to farmers. They could not therefore be affeffed chair. according to any rent-roll. But neither were the $\underbrace{\text { ill. }}$ lands of Great Britain, in the 4th of William and Mary, affeffed according to any rent-roll, but according to a very loofe and inaccurate eftimation. The lands in America might be affeffed either in the fame manner, or according to an equitable valuation in confequence of an accurate furvey, like that which was lately made in the Milanefe, and in the dominions of Auttia, Pruffia, and Sardinia.

Stamp-duties, it is evident, might be levied without any variation in all countries where the forms of law procefs, and the deeds by which property both real and perfonal is transferred, are the fame or nearly the fame.

The extenfion of the cuftom-houfe laws of Great Britain to Ireland and the plantations, provided it was accompanied, as in juttice it ought to be, with an extenfion of the freedom of trade, would be in the higheft degree advantageous to both. All the invidious reftraints which at prefent opprefs the trade of Ireland, the diftination between the enumerated and non-enumerated commodities of America, would be entirely at an end. The countries north of Cape Finitterre would be as open to every part of the produce of America, as thofe fouch of that Cape are to fome parts of that produce at prefent. The trade between all the different parts of the Britif empire would, in confequence of this uniformity in the cuftom-houfe laws, be as free as the coafting trade of Great Britain is at prefent. The Britih empire

Book empire would thus afford within itfelf an immenfe internal market for every part of the produce of all its different provinces. So great an extenfion of market would foon compenfate both to Ireland and the plantations, all that they could fuffer from the increafe of the duties of cuftoms.

The excife is the only part of the Britifh fyltem of taxation, which would require to be varied in any refpect according as it was applied to the different provinces of the empire. It might be applied to Ireland without any variation; the produce and confumption of that kingdom being exactly of the fame nature with thofe of Grear Britain. In its application to America and the Weft Indies, of which the produce and confumption are fo very different from thofe of Great Britain, fome modification might be neceffary in the fame manner as in its application to the cyder and beer counties of England.

A fermented liquor, for example, which is called beer, but which, as it is made of melaffes, bears very little refemblance to our beer, makes a confiderable part of the common drink of the people in America. This liquor, as it can be kept only for a few days, cannot, like our beer, be prepared and ftored up for fale in great breweries; but every private family muft brew it for their own ufe, in the fame manner as they cook their victuals. But to fubject every private family to the odious vifits and examination of the tax-gatherers, in the fame manner as we fubject the keepers of alehoufes and the brewers for public fale, would be altogether inconfiftent
with liberty: If for the fake of equality it was $\mathrm{CHA}_{\text {H. }}{ }^{\circ}$ thought neceffary to lay a tax upon this liquor,' it might be taxed by taxing the maierial of which it is made, either at the place of manufacture, or, if the circumftances of the trade rendered fuch an excife improper, by laying a duty upon its importation into the colony in which it was to be confumed. Befides the duty of one penny a gallon impofed by the Britih parliament upon the importation of melaffes into America; there is a provincial tax of this kind upon their importation into Maffachufett's Bay, in Thips belonging to any other colony, of eight-pence the hoghead; and another upon their importation, from the northern colonies, into South Carolina, of five-pence the gallon. Or if neither of thefe methods was found convenient, each family might compound for its confumption of this liquor, either according to the number of perfons of which it confifted, in the fame manner as private families compound for the malt-tax in England; or according to the different ages and fexes of thofe perfons, in the fame manner as feveral different taxes are levied in Holland; or nearly as Sir Matthew Decker propofes that all taxes upon confumable commodities fhould be levied in England. This mode of taxation, it has already been obferved, when applied to objects of a fpeedy confumption, is not a very convenient one. It might be adopted, however, in cales where no better could be done.

SUG̈AR, rum, and tobacco, are commodities which are no where neceffaries of life, which are
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become objects of almoft univerfal confumption, 'and which are therefore extremely proper fubjects of taxation. If a union with the colonies were to take place, thofe commodities might be taxed either before they go out of the hands of the manufacturer or grower; or if this mode of taxation did not fuit the circumftances of thofe perfons, they might be depolited in public warehoufes both at the place of manufacture, and at all the different ports of the empire to which they might afterwards be tranfported, to remain there, under the joint cuftody of the owner and the revenue officer, till fuch time as they fould be delivered out either to the confumer, to the merchant retailer for home-confumption, or to the merchant exporter, the tax not to be advanced till fuch delivery. When delivered out for exportation, to go duty free; upon proper fecurity being given that they fhould really be exported out of the empire. Thefe are perhaps the principal commodities with regard to which a union with the colonies might require fome confiderable change in the prefent. fyftem of Britifh taxation.

What might be the amount of the revenue: which this fyftem of taxation extended to all the different provinces of the empire might produce, it muft, no doubt, be altogether impoffible to afcertain with tolerable exactnefs. By means of this fyftem there is annually levied in Great Britain, upon lefs than eight millions of peopde, more than ten millions of revenue. Ireland contains more than two millions of people, and according

## THE WEALTH OF NATIONS

according to the accounts laid before the congrefs, the twelve affociated provinces of America contain more than three. Thole accounts, however, may have been exaggerated, in order, perhaps, either to encourag: their own people, or to intimidate thofe of this country, and we fhall fuppofe therefore that our North American and Weft Indian colonies taken together contain no more than three millions; or that the whole Britifh empire, in Europe and America, contains no more than thirteen millions of inhabitants. If upon lefs than eight millions of inhabitants this fyftem of taxation raifes a revenue of more than ten millions fterling; it ought upon thirteen millions of inhabitants to raife a revenue of more than fixteen millions two hundred and fifty thoufand pounds fterling. From this revenue, fuppofing that this fyftem could produce it, muft be deducted, the revenue ufually raifed in Ireland and the plantations for defraying the expence of their refpective civil governments. The expence of the civil and military eftablifhment of Ireland, together with the intereft of the public debr, amounts, at a medium of the two years which ended March 1775 , to fomething lefs than feven hundred and fifty thoufand pounds a year. By a very exact account of the revenue of the principal colonies of America and the Weft Indies, it amounted, before the commencement of the prefent difturbances, to a hundred and forty-one thoufand eight hundred pounds. In this account, however, the revenue of Maryland, of North Carolina,
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and of all our late acquifitions both upon the continent and in the illands, is omitted, which may perhaps make a difference of thirty or forty thoufand pounds. For the fake of even numbers therefore, let us fuppofe that the revenue neceffary for fupporting the civil government of Ireland and the plantations, may amount to a million. There would remain confequently a revenue of fifteen millions two hundred and fifty thoufand pounds, to be applied towards defraying the general expence of the empire, and towards paying the public debt. But if from the prefent revenue of Great Britain a million could in peaceable times be fpared towards the payment of that debt, fix millions two hundred and fifty thoufand pounds could very well be fpared from this improved revenue. This great finking fund too might be augmented every year by the intereft of the debt which had been difcharged the year before, and might in this manner increafe fo very rapidly, as to be fufficient in a few years to difcharge the whole debt, and thus to reftore completely the at prefent debilitated and languifhing vigour of the empire. In the mean time the people might be relieved from fome of the moft burdenfome taxes; from thofe which are impofed either upon the neceffaries of life, or upon the materials of manufacture. The labouring poor would thus be enabled to live better, to work cheaper, and to fend their goods cheaper to market. The cheapnefs of their goods would increafe the demand for them, and confequently for the labour of thofe
thofe who produced them. This increafe in the ${ }^{\text {C HAP }}$ A. demand for labour, would both increafe the . numbers and improve the circumftances of the labouring poor. Their confumption would increafe, and together with it the revenue arifing from all thofe articles of their confumption upon which the taxes might be allowed to remain.

The revenue arifing from this fytem of taxation, however, might not immediately increafe in proportion to the number of people who were fubjected to it. Great indulgence would for fome time be due to thofe provinces of the empire which were thus fubjected to burdens to which they had not before been accuftomed, and even when the fame taxes came to be levied cvery where as exactly as poffible, they would not every where produce a revenue proportioned to the numbers of the people. In a poor country the confumption of the principal commodities fubject to the duties of cuftoms and excife is very finall; and in a thinly inhabited country the opportunities of fmuggling are very great. The confumption of malt liquors among the inferior ranks of people in Scotland is very fimall, and the excife upon malt, beer, and ale, produces lefs there than in England, in proportion to the numbers of the people and the rate of the duties, which upon malt is different on account of a fuppofed difference of quality. In thefe particular branches of the excife, there is not, I apprehend, much more finuggling in the one country than in the other. The duties upon the ditillery, and the greater part of the duties of culloms, in
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B OOK proportion to the numbers of people in the refpective countries, produce lefs in Scotland than in England, not only on account of the fmaller confumption of the taxed commodities, but of the much greater facility of fmoggling. In Ireland, the inferior ranks of people are ftill poorer than in Scotland, and many parts of the countiy are almot as thinly inhabited. In Ireland, therefore, the confumption of the taxed coms. modities might, in proportion to the number of the people, be fill lefs than in Scotland, and the facility of fonugling nearly the fame. In America and the Weft Indies the white people even of the loweft rank are in much better circun:fances than thofe of the fame rank in England, and their confumption of all the luxuries in which they ufually indulge themfelves, is probably much greater. The blacks, indeed, who make the greater part of the inhabitants both of the fouthern colonies upon the continent and of the Weft India ilands, as they are in a ftate of flavery, are, no doubt, in a worfe condition than the pooreft people either in Scotland or Ireland. We muft not, however, upon that account, imagine that they are worfe fed, or that their confumption of articles which might be fubjected to moderate duties is $k f_{s}$ than that even of the lower ranks of people in England. In order that they may work well, it is the intereft of their mafter that they hould be fed well and kept in good heart, in the fame manner as it is his intereft that his working cattle fhould be fo. The blacks acco:dingly have almof every where their
 in the fame manner as the white fervants; and this allowance would not probably be withdrawn, though thofe articles fhould be fubjected to moderate duties. The confumption of the taxed commodities, therefore, in proportion to the number of inhabitants, would probably be as great in America and the Weft Indies as in any part of the Britifh empire. The opportunities of fmuggling, indeed, would be much greater; America, in proportion to the extent of the country, being much more thinly inhabited than either Scotland or Ireland. If the revenue, however, which is at prefent raifed by the different duties upon malt and malt liquors, were to be levied by a fingle duty upon malt, the opportunity of finuggling in the moft important branch of the excife would be almoft entirely taken away: and if the duties of cuftons, inftead of being impofed upon almoft all the different articles of importation, were confined to a few of the moit general ufe and confumption, and if the levying of thofe duties were fubjected to the excife laws, the opportunity of fmuggling, though not to entirely taken away, would be very much diminifhed. In confequence of thofe two, apparently, very fimple and eafy alterations, the daties of cuftoms and excife might probably produce a revenue as great in proportion to the confumption of the moft thinly inhabited province, as they do at prefent in proportion to that of the molt populous.

## THE NATURE AND CAUSES OF

book The Americans, it has been faid indeed, have no gold or filver money; the interior commerce of the country being carried on by a paper currency, and the gold and filver which occafionally come among them being all fent to Great Britain in return for the commodities which they receive from us. But without gold and filver, it is added, there is no poffibility of paying taxes. We alleady get all the gold and filver which they have. How is it poffible to draw from them what they have not?

The prefent farcity of gold and filver money in America is not the effect of the poverty of that country, or of the inability of the people there to purchafe thofe metals. In a country where the wages of labour are fo much higher, and the price of provifions fo much lower than in England, the greater part of the people muft furely have wherewithal to purchafe a greater quantity, if it were either neceffary or convenient for them to do fo. The fcarcity of thofe metals, therefore, mult be the effect of choice, and not of neceffity.

It is for tranfacting either domeftic or foreign bufnefs, that gold and filver money is either neceffary or convenient.

The domeftic bufinefs of every country, it has been flewn in the fecond book of this Inquiry, may, at leaft in peaceable times, be tranfacted by means of a paper currency, with nearly the fame degree of conveniency as by gold and filver money. It is convenient for the Americans, who could always employ with
profit in the improvement of their lands a greater ${ }^{C}{ }^{H} A$ fock than they can eafily get, to fave as much as poffible the expence of fo coftly an inftrument of commerce as gold and filver, and rather to employ that part of their furplus produce which would be neceffary for purchaning thofe metals, in purchafing the inftruments of trade, the materials of clothing, feveral parts of houfehold furniture, and the iron work neceffary for building and extending their fettements and plantations; in purchafing, not dead fock, but active and productive fock. The colony governments find it for their intereft to fupply the people with fuch a quantity of paper-money as is fully fufficient and generally more than fufficient for tranfaeting their domeftic bulinefs. Some of thofe governments, that of Pennfylvania particularly, derive a revenue from lending this paper-money to their fubjects at an interct of fo much per cent. Others, like that of Maflachulett's Bay, advance upon extraordinary emergencies a paper-money of this kind for defraying the public expence, and afterwards, when it fuits the conveniency of the colony, redeem it at the depreciated value to which it gradually falls. In $1747^{*}$, that colony paid in this manner the greater part of its public debrs, with the tenth part of the money for which its bills had been granted. It fuits the conveniency of the planters to fave the expence of employing gold and filver money in their domeftic tranf-
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Boor astions; and it fuits the conveniency of the , colony governments to fupply them with a medium, which, though attended with fome very confiderable difadvantages, enables them to fave that expence. The redundancy of paper-money neceffarily banifhes gold and filver from the domeftic tranfactions of the colonies, for the fame reafon that it has banifhed thofe metals from the greater part of the domeftic tranfactions in Scotland; and in both countries it is not the poverty, but the enterprifing and projecting fpirit of the people, their defire of employing all the fock which they can get as active and productive ftock, which has occafioned this redundancy of paper-money.

In the exterior commerce which the different colonies carry on with Great Britain, gold and filver are more or lefs employed, exactly in proportion as they are more or lefs neceffary. Where thofe metals are not neceffary, they feldom appear. Where they are neceffary, they are generally found.

In the commerce between Great Britain and the tobacco colonies, the Britifh goods are generally advanced to the colonifts at a pretty long credit, and are afterwards paid for in tobacco rated at a certain price. It is more convenient for the colonifts to pay in tobacco than in gold and filver. It would be more convenient for any merchant to pay for the goods which his correfpondents had fold to him in fome other fort of goods which he might happen to deal in, than in money, Such a merchant would have no oc-
cafion to keep any part of his fock by him un- $\underset{\mathrm{H}}{\mathrm{H} A} \mathrm{P}$. employed, and in ready money, for anfwering' occafional demands. He could have, at all times, a larger quantity of goods in his fhop or warehoufe, and he could deal to a greater extent. But it feldom happens to be convenient for all the correfpondents of a merchant to receive payment for the goods which they fell to him, in goods of fome other kind which he happens to deal in. The Britifh merchants who trade to Virginia and Maryland happen to be a particular fet of correfpondents, to whom it is more convenient to receive payment for the goods which they fell to thofe colonies in tobacco than in gold and fllver. They expect to make a profit by the fale of the tobacco. They could make none by that of the gold and filver. Gold and filver, therefore, very feldom appear in the commerce between Great Britain and the tobacco colonies. Maryland and Virginia have as little occafion for thofe metals in their foreign as in their domeftic commerce. They are faid, ac. cordingly, to have lefs gold and filver money than any other colonies in America. They are reckonel, however, as thriving, and confequently as rich, as any of their neighbours.

In the northern colonies, Pennfylvania, New York, New Jerfey, the four governments of New England, \&c. the value of their own produce which they export to Great Britain is not equal to that of the manufactures which they import for their own ufe, and for that of fome of the other colonies to which they are the car-
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Bon ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ riers. A balance therefore mult be paid to the mother country in gold and filver, and this balance they generally find.

In the fugar colonies the value of the produce annually exported to Great Britain is much greater than that of all the goods imported from thence. If the fugar and rum annually fent to the mother-country were paid for in thofe colonies, Gicat Britain would be obliged to fend out evely year a very large balance in money, and the trade to the Weft Indies would, by a certain feecies of policicians, be.confidered as extremely difadvantageous. But it to happens, that many of the principal proprietors of the fugar plantations refide in Great Britain. Their rents are remitted to them in fugar and rum, the produce of their eftates. The fugar and rum which the Weft India merchants purchafe in thofe colonies upon their own account, are not equal in value to the goods which they annually fell there. A balance, therefore, muit neceffarily be paid to them in gold and filver, and this balance too is generally found.

The difficulty and irregularity of payment from the different colonies to Great Britain, have not been at all in proportion to the greatnefs or fmallnefs of the balances which were refpectively due from them. Payments have in general been more regular from the northern than from the tobacco colonies, though the former have generally paid a pretty large balance in money, while the latter have either paid no balance, or a much
 from our different fugar colonies has been greater or lefs in proportion, not fo much to the extent of the balances refpectively due from them, as to the quantity of uncultivated land which they contained; that is, to the greater or fmaller temptation which the planters have been under of over-trading, or of undertaking the fettlement and plantation of greater quantities of walte land than fuited the extent of their capitals. The returns from the great inand of Jamaica, where there is ftill much' uncultivated land, have, upon this account, been in general more irregular and uncertain, than thofe from the fmaller inlands of Barbadoes, Antigua, and St. Chriftophers, which have for thefe many years been completely cultivated, and have, upon that account, afforded lefs field for the fpeculations of the planter. The new acquifitions of Grenada, Tobago, St. Vincents, and Dominica, have opened a new field for fpeculations of this kind; and the returns from thofe inands have of late been as irregular and uncertain as thofe from the great inland of Jamaica.

It is not, therefore, the poverty of the colonies which occafions, in the greater part of them, the prefent fcarcity of gold and filver money. Their great demand for astive and productive ftock makes it convenient for them to have as little dead ftock as poffible; and difpofes them upon that account to content themfelves with a cheaper though lefs commodious inftrument of commerce than gold and hiver. They are thereby
sook thereby enabled to convert the value of that gold rand filver into the inftruments of trade, into the materials of clothing, into houfehold furniture, and into the iron work neceffary for building and extending their fettlements and plantations. In thofe branches of bufinefs which cannot be tranfacted without gold and filver money, it appears, that they can always find the neceffary quantity of thofe metals; and if they frequently do not find it, their failure is generally the effect, not of their neceffary poverty, but of their unneceflary and exceffive enterprife. It is not becaufe they are poor that their payments are irregular and uncertain; but becaufe they are too eager to become exceffively rich. Though all that part of the produce of the colony taxes, which was over and above what was neceffary for defraying the expence of their own civil and military eftablifhments, were to be remitted to Great Britain in grold and filver, the colonies have abundantly wherewithal to purchafe the requifte quantity of thofe metals. They would in this cafe be obliged, indeed, to exchange a part of their furplus produce, with which they now purchafe active and productive ftock, for dead ftock. In tranfacting their domeftic bufinefs they would be obliged to employ a coftly inftead of a cheap inftrument of commerce; and the expence of purchafing this coflly inftrument might damp fomewhat the vivacity and ardour of their exceflive enterprife in the improvement of land. It might not, however, be neceffary to $r e m i t ~ a n y ~ p a r t ~ o f ~ t h e ~ A m e r i c a n ~ r e v e n u e ~ i n ~ g o l d ~$
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and filver. It might be remitted in bills drawn ${ }^{\mathbf{C}} \underset{11}{\mathrm{H}}$ upon and accepted by particular merchants companies in Great Britain, to whom a part of the furplus produce of America had been configned, who would pay into the treafury the American revenue in money, after having themfelves received the value of it in goods; and the whole bufinefs might frequently be tranfacted without exporting a fingle ounce of gold on filver from America.

IT is not contrary to juftice that both Ireland and America fhould contribute towards the difcharge of the public debt of Great Britain. That debt has been contracted in fupport of the government eftablifhed by the Revolution, a government to which the proteftants of Ireland owe, not only the whole authority which they at prefent enjoy in their own country, but every fecurity which they poffefs for their liberty, their property, and their religion; a government to which feveral of the colonies of America owe their prefent charters, and confequently their prefent conftitution; and to which all the colonies of America owe the liberty, fecurity, and property which they have ever fince enjoyed. That public debt has been contracted in the defence, not of Great Britain alone, but of all the different provinces of the empire; the immenfe debt contracted in the late war in particular, and a great part of that contracted in the war before, were both properly contracted in defence of America.

## THE NATURE AND CAUSES OF

${ }^{\text {B o o K }}$ By a union with Great Britain, Ireland would gain, befides the freedom of trade, other advantages much more important, and which would much more than compenfate any increafe of taxes that might accompany that union. By the union with England, the middling and inferior ranks of people in Scotland gained a complete deliverance from the power of an ariftocracy which had always before oppreffed them. By an union with Grear Britain, the greater part of the people of all ranks in Ireland would gain an cqually complete deliverance from a much more oppreffive ariftocracy; an ariftocracy not founded, like that of Scotland, in the natural and refpectable diftinetions of birth and fortune; but in the moft odious of all diftinctions, thofe of religious and political prejudices; diftinctions which, more than any other, animate both the infolence of the oppreffors and the hatred and indignation of the oppreffed, and which commonly render the inhabitants of the fame country more hoftile to one another than thofe of different countries ever are. Without a union with Great Britain, the inhabitants of Ireland are not likely for many ages to confider themfelves as one people.

No opprefive ariftocracy has ever prevailed in the colonies. Even they, however, would, in point of happinefs and tranquillity, gain confiderably by a union with Great Britain. It would, at leaft, deliver them from thofe rancorous and virulent factions which are infeparable from fmall
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fimall democracies, and which have fo frequently $\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{H}_{1}$ divided the affections of their people, and difturbed the tranquillity of their governments, in their form fo nearly democratical. In the cafe of a total feparation from Great Britain, which, unlefs prevented by a union of this kind, feems very likely to take place, thofe factions would be ten times more virulent than ever. Before the commencement of the prefent difturbances, the coercive power of the mother-country had always been able to reftrain thofe factions from breaking out into any thing worfe than grofs brutality and infult. If that coercive power were entirely taken away, they would probably foon break out into open violence and bloodfhed. In all great countries which are united under one uniform government, the fpirit of party commonly prevails lefs in the remote provinces than in the centre of the empire. The diftance of thofe provinces from the capital, from the principal feat of the great fcramble of faction and ambition, makes them enter lefs inte the views of any of the contending parties, and renders them more indifferent and impartial fpectators of the conduct of all. The fpirit of party prevails lefs in Scotland than in England. In the cafe of a union it would probably prevail lefs in Ireland than in Scotland, and the colonies would probably foon enjoy a degree of concord and unanimity at prefent unknown in any part of the Britifh empire. Both Ireland and the colonies, indeed, would be fubjected to heavier taxes than any which they at prefent
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>ok pay. In confequence, however, of a diligent and faithful application of the public revenue towards the difcharge of the national debt, the greater part of thofe taxes might not be of long continuance, and the public revenue of Great Britain might foon be reduced to what was neceffary for maintaining a moderate peace ftablifhment.

The territorial acquifitions of the Daft India company, the undoubted right of the crown; that is, of the ftate and people of Great Britain, might be rendered another fource of revenue more abundant, perhaps, than all thofe already mentioned. Thofe countries are reprefented as more fertile, more extenfive; and, in proportion to their extent, much richer and more populous than Great Britain. In order to draw a great revenue from them, it would not probably be neceffary to introduce any new fyftem of taxation into countries which are already fufficiently and more than fufficiently taxed. It might, perhaps, be more proper to lighten, than to aggravate, the burden of thofe unfortunate countries, and to endeavour to draw a revenue from them, not by impofing new taxes, but by preventing the embezzlement and mifapplication of the greater part of thofe which they already pay.

If it fhould be found impracticable for Great Britain to draw any confiderable augmentation of revenue from any of the refources above mentioned; the only refource which can remain to her is a diminution of her expence. In the mode of collecting, and in that of expending the pub-
lic revenue; though in both there may be ftill c $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}{ }^{\mathrm{P}}$. room for improvement; Great Britain feems to 1 be at leaft as ceconomical as any of her neighbours. The military eftablifhment which the maintains for her own defence in time of peace, is more moderate than that of any European ftate which can pretend to rival her either in wealth or in power. None of thofe articles, therefore, feem to admit of any confiderable reduction of expence. The expence of the peace eftablifhment of the colonies was, before the commencement of the prefent difturbances, very confiderable, and is an expence which may, and if no revenue can be drawn from them, ought certainly to be faved altogether. This conftant expence in time of peace, though very great, is infignificant in comparilon with what the defence of the colonies has coft us in time of war. The lat war, which was undertaken altogether on account of the colonies, coft Great Britain, it has already been oblerved, upwards of ninety millions. The Spanifh war of 17.39 was principaliy undertaken on their account; in which, and in the French war that was the confequence of it, Great Britain fpent upwards of forty millions, a great part of which ought juftly to be charged to the colonies. In thofe two wars the colonies coft Great Britain much more than double the fum which the national debt amounted to before the commencement of the firft of them. Had it not been for thofe wars that debt might, and probably would by this time, have been completely paid; and had it not been for the

в o o K colonies, the former of thofe wars might not, , and the latter certainly would not have been undertaken. It was becaufe the colonies were fuppofed to be provinces of the Britilh empire, that this expence was laid out upon them. But countries which contribute neither revenue nor military force towards the fupport of the empire, cannot be confidered as provinces. They may perhaps be confidered as appendages, as a fort of fplendid and fhowy equipage of the empire. But if the empire can no longer fupport the expence of keeping up this equipage, it ought certainly to lay it down; and if it cannot raife its revenue in proportion to its expence, it ought, at leaft, to accommodate its expence to its revenue. If the colonies, notwithltanding their refufal to fubmit to Britifh taxes, are ftill to be confidered as provinces of the Britifh empire, their defence in fome future war may coft Great Britain as great an expence as it ever has done in any former war. The rulers of Great Britain have, for more than a century paft, amufed the people with the imagination that they poffeffed a great empire on the weft fide of the Atlantic. This empire, however, has hitherto exifted in imagination only. It has hitherto been, not an empire, but the project of an empire; not a gold mine, but the project of a gold mine; a project which has coft, which continues to coft, and which, if purfued in the fame way as it has been hitherto, is likely to colt, immenfe expence, without being likely to bring any profit; for the effects of the monopoly of the colony trade, it
has been thewn, are, to the great body of the $C_{\text {HiA. }}^{\text {A }}$. people, mere lofs inftead of profit. It is furely now time that our rulers fhould either realize this golden dream, in which they have been indulging themfelves, perhaps, as well as the people; or, that they rhould awake from it themfelves ${ }_{2}$ and endeavour to awaken the people. If the project cannot be completed, it ought to be given up. If any of the provinces of the Brition empire cannot be made to contribute towards the fupport of the whole empire, it is furely time that Great Britain hould free herfelf from the expence of defending thofe provinces in time of war, and of fupporting any part of their civil or military eftablifhments in time of peace, and endeavour to accommodate her future views and defigns to the real mediocrity of her circumItances.
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$A^{B S E N T E E ~ t a x, ~ t h e ~ p r o p r i e t y ~ o f, ~ c o n f i d e r e d, ~ w i t h ~ r e f e r e n c e ~}$ to Ireland, iii. 373.
Accounts of money, in modern Europe, all kept, and the value of goods computed, in filver, i. $5^{8 .}$
Actors, public, paid for the contempt attending their profeffion, i.163.
Africa, caufe afligned for the barbarous thate of the interior parts of that continent, i. 32.
African company, eftablifhment and conftitution of, iii. 117. Receive an annual allowance from parliament for forts and garrifons, 120: The company not under fufficient controul, ibid. Hiftory of the Royal African Company, 124. Decline of, 125. Rife of the prefent company, 126.
Age, the foundation of rank and precedency in rude as well as civi-
lized focieties, iii. 75 .
Aggregate fund, in the Britih finances, explained, iii. 408.
Agio of the bank of Amfterdam explained, ii. 218. Of the bank of Hamburgh, 220. The agio at Amfterdam, how kept at a medium rate, 231.
Agriculture, the labour of, does not admit of fuch fubdivifions as manufactures, i. 9. This impoffibility of feparation, prevents agriculture from improving equally with manufactures, 10. Natural flate of, in 2 new colony, 140. Requires more knowledge and experience than moft mechanical profeffions, and yet is carried on without any reftrictions, 196. The terms of rent how adjufted between landlord and tenant, 223. Is extended by good roads and navigable.canals, 228. Under what circumftances pafture land is more valuable than arable, 232. Gardening not a very gainful employment, 237. Vines the moft profitable article of culture, 239. Eftimates of profit from projects, very fallacious, 240. Cattle and illage mutually improve each other, 344. Remarks on that of Hh 2

Scouland,
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Scotland, 346. Remarks on that of North America, 349. Poultry a profitable article in hufbandry, 352. Hogs, 354. Dairy, 355. Evidences of land being completely improved, 358. The extenfion of cultivation, as it raifes the price of animal food; reduces that of vegetables, 382 .
griculture, by whom and how practifed under feudal government, ii. 8. Its operations not fo much intended to increafe, as to direct, the fertility of nature, 52. Has been the caufe of the profperity of the Britifh colonies in America, 57. The profits of, exaggerated by projectors, 71. On equal terms, is naturally preferred to trade, 76. Artificers neceffary to the carrying it on, 77. Was not attended to by the Northern deftroyers of the Roman empire, 81. The ancient policy of Europe unfavourable to, 98 . Was promoted by the cominerce and manufactures of towns, 130 . The wealth arifing from, more folid and durable, than that which proceeds from commerce, 137. Is not encouraged by the bounty on the expartation of corn, 267. Why the proper bufinefs of new companies, 432 .

The prefent agricultural fyftem of political ceconomy adopted in France, defcribed, iii. 4. Is difcouraged by rellictions and prohibitions in trade, 17 . Is faveured beyond manufactures, in China, 30. And in Indoftan, 33. Does not require So extenfive a market as manufactures, 35. To check manufactures, in order to promote agriculture, falfe policy, 41. Landlord ought to be encouraged to cultivate part of their own land, 266.
Alcavala, the tax in Spain fo called, explained and confidertd, iii. 38 I. The ruin of the Spanih manufactures attributed to this tax, 382.
Alcboufes, the number of, not the efficient caufe of drunkennefs, ii. 50. 241.

Allodial rights, miftaken for feudal rights, ii. 122. The introduction of the feudal law tended to moderate the authority of the allodial lords, 124.
Ambaffadors, the firf motive of their appointment, iii. 108.
America, why labour is dearer in North America than in England, i. 105. Great increafe of population there, 106. Common rate of intereft there, 140 . Is a new market for the produce of its own filver mines, 316 . The firlt accounts of the two empires of Peru and Mexico, greatly exaggerated, 317. Improving fate of the Spanifh colonies, 318. Account of the paper currency of the Britifh colonies, 493.

- Caufe of the rapid profperity of the Britifh colonies there, ii. 57. Why manafactures for diftant fale have never been eftablimed there, 78. Its fpeedy improvement owing to aftiftance from foreign capitals, 80 . The purchafe and improvement of uncultivated land, the moft profitable employment of capitals, 132. Commercial alterations produced by the difcovery of, 169 . Bat twa :d nations found on the whole continent, $17 \%$. The wealth
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of the North American colonies increafed, thongh the balance of trade continued againft them, 251 . Madeira wine, how introduced there, 257. Hiftorical review of the European fetulements in, 348. Of Spain, 362. Of Holland, 367. Of France, 368. Of Britain, 370 . Ecelefiaftical government in the feveral European colonies, 374. Fifh a principal article of trade from North America to Spain, Portugal, and the Mediterranean, $3^{80}$. Naval ftores to Britain, 382 . Little credit due to the policy of Europe from the fuccefs of the colonies; 397. The difcovery and colonization of, how far advantageous to Europe, 400 . And to America, 458. The colonies in, goverued by a fpirit of monopoly, 467. The interett of the confumer in Britain facrificed to that of the producer, by the fyttem of colonization, 517.
America, plan for extending the Britifh fyftem of taxation over all the provinces of, iii. 44 立. The queftion how the Americans could pay taxes without rpecie, confidered, 452 . Ought in jutice to cortribute to difcharge the public debt of Great Britain, 459Expediency of their union with Britain, 460. The Britih empire there, a mere project, 464.
Ainftrdam, agio of the bank of, explained, ii. 218. Occafion of its eftablifhment, 220 . Advantages attending payments there, 221 . Rate demanded for keeping money there, 223. Prices at which bullion and coin are received, 225, Note. This bank, the great warehoufe of Europe for bullion, 228. Demands upon, how made and anfwered, 229. The agio of, how kept at a medium rate, 231. The treafure of, whether all preferved in its repofitories, ibid. The amount of its treafure only to be conjectared, 233. Fees paid to the bank for tranfacting bufinefs, ibid.

Annuities for terms of years, and for lives, in the Britifh finances, hiftorical account of, ini. 411 .
Apothecaries, the profit on their drugs unjufly figmatized as exorbitant, i. 171.
sprenticfloip, the nature and intention of this bond fervitude explained, i. 155. The limitations impofed on various trades, as to the number of apprentices, 184 . The flatute of apprenticehip in England, 186. Apprenticeflips in France and Scolland, $187^{\circ}$ General remarks on the tendency and operation of long apprenticelhips, 188.

The flatute of, ought to be repealed, ii. 205 .
Arabs, their manner of fupporting war, iii. 45.
Army, three different ways by which a nation may maintain one in a diftant country, ii. 157 .

- Standing, diftinction between and a militia, iii. 56. Hiftorical review of, 61. The Macedonian army, ibid. Carchaginian army, 62. Roman army, 63. Is alone able to perpecuate the civilization of a country, 68. Is the fpeedieft engine for civilizing a barbarous country, ibid. Under what circumflances dangerous to, and under what faveurable to, liberty, 69.
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Artificers, prohibited by law from going to foreign countries, ii. $5 \times 3$. Refiding abroad, and not returning on notice, expofed to ouslaw y, 514. See Manufaftures.
Afdrubal, his army greatly improved by difcipline, iii. 62. How defeated, 63.
A/embly, houfes of, in the Britifh colonies, the conflitutional freedom of, fiewn, ii. 391.
A/jento contract, iii. 129.
AJize of bread and ale, remarks on that flatute, i. 279. 286.
Augufus, emperor, emancipates the flaves of Vedius Pollio, for his cruelty, ii. 396.

## B

Balance of annual produce and confumption explained, ii. 250 . May be in favour of a nation, when the balance of trade is againft it, 251.

Balance of trade, no certain criterion to determine on which fide it turns between two countries, ii. 212. The current doctrine of, on which moft regulations of trade are founded, abfurd, 235. If even, by the exchange of their native commodities, both fides may be gainers, 236 . How the balance would ftand, if native commodities on one fide, were paid with foreign commodities on the other, 237. How the balance flands when commodities are purchafed with gold and filver, 239. The ruin of countries often predicted from the doctrine of an unfavourable balance of trade, 249.
Bunks, great increafe of trade in Scotland, fince the ettablifhment of them in the principal towns, i. 442. Their ufaal courfe of bufinefs, 444. Confequences of their iffuing too much paper, 449. Neceffary caution for fome time obferved by them with regard to giving credit to their cuftomers, 456. Limits of the advances they may prudently make to traders, 460 . How injured by the practice of drawing and redrawing bills, 467. Hifory of the Ayr bank, 471. Hiftory of the bank of England, 479. The nature and public advantage of banks confidered, 483 . Bankers might carry on their bufinefs with lefs paper, 488 . Effects of the optional claufes in the Scots notes, 492.

- Origin of their eftabliflment, ii. 220. Bank money explained, 221. Of England, the conduct of, in regard to the coinage, 337 .
—— Joint ftock companies why well adapted to the trade of banking, ini. 146. 148. A doubtful queftion whether the government of Great Britain is equal to the management of the Bank to profit, 243.
Bankers, the credit of their notes how eftablifhed, i. 434. The nature of the banking bufinefs explained, 435. 444 -
- The muliplication and competition of bankers under proper regulation, of lervice to public credit, 498.
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Baretti, Mr. his account of the quantity of Portugal goid fent weekly to England, ii. 328.
Barons, feudal, their power contracted, by the grant of municipal privileges, ii. 105. Their extenfive authority, 121. How they loft their authority over their vaffals, 125 . And the power to difturb their country, 129.
Barter, the exchange of one commodity for another, the propenfity to, of extenfive operation, and peculiar to man, i. 20. Is not fufficient to carry on the mutual intercourfe of mankind, 33. See Commerce.
Batavia, caufes of the profperity of the Dutch fettlement there, ii. 475 .

Beaver fkins, review of the policy ufed in the trade for, ii. 511.
Beef, cheaper now in London than in the reign of James I. i. $235 \cdot$ Compared with the prices of wheat at the correfponding times, 236 .
Benefices, ecclefiaftical, the tenure of, why rendered fecure, iii. 2 io. The power of collating to, how taken from the Pope, in England and France, 220. General equality of, among the Prefbyterians, 229. Good effects of this equality, 230.

Bengal, to what circumftances its early improvement in agriculture and manufactures was owing, i. 31. Prefent miferable ftate of the country, 110 . Remarks on the high rates of intereft there, 143. Opprefive conduct of the Englifh there to fuit their trade in opium, ii. 477.

- Why more remarkable for the exportation of manufactares than of grain, iii. 35 .
Berne, brief hiftory of the republic of, ii. 108.
———Eftablifhment of the reformation there, iii. 223. Application of the revenue of the Catholic ciergy, 235. Derives a revenue from the intereft of its treafure, 245 .
Bills of Exchange, punctuality in the payment of, how fecured, i. 464. The pernicious practice of drawing and redrawing explained, 465 . The arts made ufe of to difguife this mutual trafie in bills, 469.
Birth, fuperiority of, how it confers refpect and authority, iii. 77. Bi/hops, the ancient mode of electing them, and how altered, iii, 212 . 220.

Body, natoral and political, analogy between, iii. 20 .
Bobemia, account of the tax there on the induftry of artificers, iii. 22j.
Bounty on the exportation of corn, the tendency of this meafure examined, i. 303.
Bounties, why given in commerce, ii. 174. On exportation, the policy of granting them confidered, 261. On the exportation of corn, 263 . This bounty impofes two taxes on the people, 266. Evil tendency of this bounty, 274. The boanty only beneficial to the exporter and importer, 276. Motives of the country gentlemen in granting the bounry, ibid. A trade which requires a bounty, neceffarily a lofing trade, 279. 'Tonnage bounties to the figheries confidered, 281. Account of the white-herring fith-
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ery, 237. Remarks on other bounties, 288. A review of the principles on which they are generally granted, 489. Thofe granted on American produce founded on miftaken policy, 493. How they affect the confumet, 516.
Bourdenux, why a town of great trade, ii. 10.
Brazil, grew to be a powerfal colony under negleet, ii. 364. The Dutch invaders expelled by the Portugueze colonitt, 365. Computed number of inhabitants there, ibid. The trace of the principal provinces opprtffed by the Portugueze, 376.
Bread, its relative value with butcher's meat compared, ;. 230.235 Brewery, reafons for transferring the taxes on, to the malt, iii. 363. Bridges, how to be erceted and maintained, iii. 94 .
Britain, Great, evidences that labour is fufficiently paid for there, i. 111. The price of provifions nearly the fame in moft places, 112 . Great variations in the price of labour, i13. Vegetables imported from Flanders in the latt century, 118. Hiforical account of the alterations intereft of money has undergone, 135. Double intereft detmed a reafonable mercantile profit, 148.
———— In what refpects the carrying trade is advantageons to, ii. 66. Appears to enjoy more of the carrying trade of Europe, than it really has, 69 . Is the only country of Europe in which the obligation of purveyance is abolifhed, 96 . Its funds for the fupport of foreign wars inquired into, 159 . Why never likely to be much affected by the free importation of Irifh cattle, 187. Nor falt provilions, 189. Could be little affected by the importation of foreign corn, ibid. The policy of the commercial reftraints on the trade with France examined, 211. The trade with France might be more advantageous to each country than that with any other, 247 . Why one of the richefl countries in Europe, while Spain and Portugal are among the pooreft, 320. Review of her American colonies, 370. The trade of her colonies, how regulated, 378. Diftinetion between enumerated and non enumerated commodities, explained, ibid. Reftrains manofactures in America, 385. Indulgences granted to the colonies, 388 . Conflitutional freedom of her colony government, 391. The fugar colonies of, worfe governed than thofe of France, 394. Difadvantages refulting from retaining the exclufive trade of tobacco with Maryland and Virginia, 407. The navigation act has increafed the colony trade, at the expence of many other branches of foreign trade, 411 . The advantage of the colony trade eftimated, 417. A gradual relaxation of the excinfive trade, recommended, 426 . Events which have concurred to prevent the ill effeds of the lofs of the colony trade, 427. The nazural good effects of the colony trade, more than counterbalance the bad effects of the monopoly, 431. To maintain a monopoly, the principal end of the dominion affumed over the colonies, 441 . Has derived nothing but lofs from thin dominion, 443. Is perhaps the only flate which has only increafed its expences by extending its empire, 45 !. The conftitution of, would have been completed by tumitting of American reprefentation, 456. Review of the admi-
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n of the Eaft India Company, 480. The intereft of the confumer facrificed to that of the producer in raifing an empire in America, 517.
Britain, Great, the annual revenue of, compared with its annual rents and intereft of capital flock, iii. 250 . The land tax of, confidered, 259. Tythes, 274. Window tax, 290. Stamp duties, 316. 324. Poll taxes in the reign of William III. 328. The uniformity of taxation in, favourable to internal trade, 382 . The fyflem of taxation in, compared with that in France, 391. Account of the unfunded debt of, 403: Funded debt, 404: Aggregate and general funds, 408. Sinking fund, $4: 0$. Annuities for terms of years and for lives, 411 . Perpetual annuities the beft tranfferrable ftock, 415 . The reduction of the public debts during peace, bears no proportion to their accumulation during war, 420. The trade with the sobacco colonies, how carried on, without the intervention of fpecie, 454. The trade with the fugar colonies explained, 456. Ireland and America ought in juftice to contribute toward the difcharge of her public debts, 459. How the territorial acquiftions of the Eaft India company might be rendered a fource of revenue, $4^{62}$. If no fach affiftance can be obtained, her only refource pointed out, ibid.
Bullion, the money of the great mercantile republic, ii. 162. See Gold and Silver.
Burgbs, free, the origin of, ii. 102. To what circumftances they owed their corporate jurifditions, 104. Why admitied to fend reprefentatives to parliament, 138 . Are allowed to protect refugees from the country, tog.
Burn, Dr. his oblervations on the laws relating to the fettlements of the poor, i. 213.217.
Butcbers meat, no where a neceffary of life, iii. 34 I .

Calviniff, origin of that feft, iii. 225. Their principles of church government, 227.
Cameron, Mr. of Lochiel, exercifed, within thirty years fince, a criminal juridiction over his own tenants, ii. 123.
Canada, the French colony there, long under the government of an exclufive company, ii. 368 . But improved \{peedily after the difiolucion of the company, 369 .
Canals, navigable, the advantages of, i. 229. How to be made and maintained, iii. 94. That of Languedoc, the fupport of, how fecured, 97. May be fuccefisfully managed by joint ftock companies, 147.
Cantillon, Mr. remarkg on his account of the earnings of the labcuring poor, 102.
Cape of Good Hope, caufes of the profperity of the Duich fettlement there, ii. 474.
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l, in tradejexplained, and how employed, i. 4 ir. into circulating and fixed capitals, 412 . Characteriftic of fixed capitals, 416. The feveral kinds of fixed capitals fpecified, ibid. Characterittic of circulating capitals, and the feveral kinds of, 417. Fixed capials fupported by thofe which are circulating, 418. Circulating capitals how fupported, 419 . Incention of a fixed capital, 425. The expence of maintaining the fixed and circulating capitals illufrated, 427. Moncy, as an article of circulating capital, confidered, 428. Money, no meafure of capital. 433. What quantity of induary any capital can employ, 440. Capials, how far they may he extended by paper credit, 460.

- Muft always be replaced with profit by the annual prodace of land and labour, ii. 5. The proportion between capital and revenue, regulates the proportion between induftry and idlenefs, 12. How it is incteafed or diminimed, 13 . National evidences of the increafe of, 23. In what inflances private expences contribute to endarge the national capical, 28 . The increafe of, reduces profts by compecicion, $3^{3}$. The different ways of employing a capital, 46. How replaced to the different claffes of traders, 50 . That employed in agriculture puts into motion a greater quantity of prodotive labour, than anv equal capital employed in manufactures, 53. That of a manufacturer thould refide within the country, 55 . The operation of capitals eneployed in agriculture, manufactures, and foreign trade, compared, 56 . The profperity of a country depends on the due proportion of its capital applied to thefe three grand ohjects, 59. Different returns of capitals employed in foreign trade, 63. Is rather employed on agriculture than in trade and manufactures, on equal terms, 76 . Is rather employed in manufactures than in foreign trade, 79. The natural progrefs of the employment of, 8o. Acquired by trade, is very precarious until realized by the cultivation and improvement of land, 136. The employment of, in the different fpecies of trade, how determined, 178.
Catitation taxes, the nature of, confidered, iii. 327. In England, 328. In France, 329.

Ca riage, land and water, compared, i. 28. Water carsiage contributes to improve arts and induftry, in all countries where it can be uled, 29. 228. 323.

- Land, how facilitated and reduced in price, by public works, iii. $95^{\circ}$.
Carrying trade, the nature and operation of, examined, ii. 64. Is the fymptom, but not the caufe, of national wealth, and hence points out the two richeft countries in Europe, 69. Trades may appear to be carrying trades, which are not fo, ibid. The difadvantages of, to individuals, 178. The Dutch, how excluded from being the carriers to Great Eritain, 193. Drawbacks of duties originally gravted for the encouragement of, 258 .
Cartbaginian army, its fuperiority over the Roman army, accounted for, iii. 62.
Cattle, and corn, their value compared, in the different fages of agriculture, i. 230. The price of, reduced by artifictal graffes, 234 -
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To what height the price of cattle may rife in an improving country, 344. The raifing a tock of, neceffary for the fupply of manure to farms, 345 . Cattle muft bear a good price to be well fed, 346. The price of, rifes in Scotland in conlequence of the union with England, 348. Great multiplication of European cattle in America, 349. Are killed in fome countries, merely for the fake of the hides and tallow, 361 . The market for thefe articles more extenfive than for the carcafe, 362. This market fometimes brought nearer home by the eftabligment of manafaCtures, ibid. How the extenfion of cultivation raifes the price of animal food, 382 . Is perhaps the only commodity more expenfive to tranfport by fea than by land, ii. 187. Great Britain never likely to be much affected by the free importation of Irifh cattle, ibid.
Certificates, parifh, the laws relating to, with obfervations on them, i. 216 .

Cbild, Sir Jofiah, his obfervation on trading companies, iii. 116.
Cbildren, riches unfavourable to the production, and extreme poverty to the raifing, of them, i. 120 . The mortality fill greater among thofe maintained by charity, 121 .
Cbina, to what the early improvement in arts and induftry there was owing, i. 31 . Concurrent teftimonies of the mifery of the lower ranks of the Chinefe, 108. Is not however a declining country, 109. High rate of intereft of money there, 145. The price of labour there, lower than in the greater part of Europe, 322. Great fate affumed by the grandees, 323. Silver the moft profitable article to fend thither, ibid. The proportional value of gold to filver, how rated there, 331. The value of gold and filver mach higher there than in any part of Europe, 376 .

- Agriculture favoured there, beyond manufactures, iii. 30 . Foreign trade not favoured there, 31 . Extenfion of the homemarket, 32. Great attention paid to the roads there, 103. In what the principal revenue of the fovereign confits, 276. The revenue of, partly raifed in kind, 278.
Cburch, the richer the church, the poorer the flate, iii. 23j. Amount of the revenue of the church of Scotland, 236. The revenue of the church heavier taxed in Pruffia, than lay proprietors, 271. The nature and effect of tythes confidered, 274.
Circulation, the dangerous practice of raifing money by, explained, i. 465 . In traffic, the two different branches of, confidered, 485.

Cities, circumftances which contributed to their opulerce, ii. 110. 'Thofe of Italy the firf that rofe to confequence, 111. The commerce and manufactures of, have occafioned the imprevement and cultivation of the country, 130 .
Clergy, a fupply of, provided for, by public and private foundations for their education, i. 202. Curates worfe paid than many mechanics, 203.

- Of an eftablifined religion, why unfuccefsfulagaiuf the teachers of a new religion, iii. 193. Why they perfecutc their adverfaries,
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194. The zeal of the inferior clergy of the church of Rome, kept alive, 195. Utility of ecclefiattical effablifaments, 198. How connected with the civil magitrate, 199. Unfafe for the civil magiftrate to differ with them, 207. Muft be managed without violence, 210 . Of the church of Rome, one great army cantoned over Europe, 213. Their power fimilar to that of the temporal barons, during the feudal monkif ages, 214. How the power of the Romim clergy declined, 218. Evils attending allowing parifhes to eleat their own minifters, 227.
Cloatbing, more plentiful than food, in uncultivated conntries, i. 252. The materials for, the firft articles rude nations have to offer, 253 . Coal, muft generally be cheaper than wood to gain the preference for fuel, i. 259. The price of, how reduced, 261 .
-The exportation of, fubjected to a duty higher than the prime coft of, at the pit, ii. 5 iz . The cheapeft of all fuel, 338. The tax on, abfurdly regulated, $3: 9$.
Coal mines, their different degrees of fertility, i. 258. When ferile, are fometimes unprofitable by fituation, 259. The proporion of sent generaliy paid for, 262. The machinery neceffary to, expenfive, 413 .
Coal trade from Newcafle to London, employs more kipping than all the other carrying trade of England, ii. 66.
Cochin China, remarks on the principal aiticles of cultivation there, i. 244 -

Coin, itamped, the origin and peculiar advantages of, in commerce, i. $3^{8}$. The different fpecies of, in different ages and countries, 30 . Caules of the alterations in the value of, 4e. 47.50. How the flandard coin of different nations came to be of different metals, 57. A reform in the Englifh coinage fuggefted, 67. Silver, confequences attending the debafement of, 304. Coinage of France and Britain, examined, 215 . Why coin is privately melted down, 333. The mint chiefly employed to keep up the quantity thus diminimed, 334. A duty to pay the coinage would preferve money from being meited or counterfeited, 335. Standard of the gold coin in France, 336. How a feignorage on coin would operaie, ibid. A tax upon coinage is advanced by every body, and finally paid by nobody, 340. A revenue loft, by government defraying the expence of coinage, ibid. Amount of the annual coinage before the late reformation of the gold coin, 341. The law for the encouragement of, founded on prejudice, 342.

- Contequences of raifing the denomination, as an expedient to facilitate payment of public debts, iii. 434. Adulteration of, 439.
Calbert, M. the policy of his commercial regalations difputed, ii. 200. iii. 3. His character, iii. 2.

Colleges, caufe of the depreciation of their money rents inquired into, i. 51 . The endowments of, from whence they generally arife, iii. 150. Whether they have in general anfwered the purpofes of their infitation, 151. Thefe endowments have diminifted the neceffity of application in the teachers, 152. The privileges of gra-
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duates by refidence, and charitable foundation of fcholarhips, injurious to collegiate education, 155 . Difcipline of, 157.
Coliers and conl-heavers, their hign earnings accounted for, i. 359 . Colonies, new, the natural progrefs of, i. 140.

- Modern, the commercial advantages derived from them, ii. 175. Ancient, on what principles founded, 343. Ancient Grecian colonies not retained under fubjection to the parent flates. 344. Ditinction betwen the Roman and Greek colonies, 346. Circumftances that led to the eftablifhment of European colonies in the Eaft Indies and America, 347. The Eaft Indies difcovered by Vafco de Gama, 348. The Weft Indies difcovered by Columbus, 349. Gold the object of the firf Spanifh enterprifes there, $35^{\circ}$ And of thofe of all other European nations, 357. Caufes of the profperity of new colonies, 358. Rapid progrefs of the ancient Greek colonies, 360 . The Roman colonies flow in improvement, 361. The remotenefs of America and the Weft Indies, greatly in favour of the European colonies there, 362. Review of the Britif American colonies, 370. Expence of the civil eftablifhments in Britin America, 3/3. Ecclefattical government, 374. General view of the reftraints laid upon the trade of the European colonies. 375. The trade of the Britifh colonies, how regulated, 377. The different kinds of non-equmerated commodities fpecified, 378. Enumerated commodities, 382 . Reflraints upon their manufachures, 385. Indulgences granted them by Britain, 388. Were free in every other refpect except as to their foreign trade, 391. Little credit due to the policy of Europe from the fuccefs of the colonies, 397. Throve by the diforder and injuftice of the European governments, 398. Have contributed to augment the induftry of all the countries of Europe, 401. Exclufive privileges of trade, a dead weight upon all thefe exertions both in Europe and America, 403Have in general been a fource of expence inftead of revenue to their mother countries, 405. Have only benefited their mother countries by the exclufive trade carried on with them, 406. Confequences of the navigation act, 409. The advantage of the colony trade to Britain eftimated, 417. A gradual relaxation of the exclufive commerce recommended, 426. Events which have pre= vented Britain from fenfibly feeling the lofs of the colony trade, 427 . The effects of the colony trade, and the monopoly of that trade. diftinguifhed, 429 . To maintain a monopoly, the principal end of the dominion Great Britainaffumes over the colonies, 441. Amount of the ordinaty peace eftablithment of, ibid. The two late wars Britain fa\&tained, colony wars, to fupport a monopoly, 442. Two modes by which they might be taxed, 446. Their affemblies not likely to tax them, ibid. Taxes by parliamentary requiftion, as litule likely to be raifed, 448. Reprefentatives of, might be ad, mitted inco the Briti/h parliament with good.effect, 453. Anfwer to objections againft A merican reprefencation, 456. The intereft of the confumer in Britain, facrificed to that of the producer, in
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the motive that led to his difcovery of America, ii. $34^{8 .}$ Why he gave the name of Indies to the iflands he difcovered, 349. His triumphal exhibition of their productions, 352.
Colwmella, his inftruction for fencing a kitchen-garden, i. 238. Advifes the planting of vineyards, 239 -
Commerce, the different common ftandards or mediums made ufe of to facilitate the exchange of commodities, in the early ftages of, i .34 . Origin of money, 35. Definition of the term value, 42. Treaties of, though advantageous to the merchants and manufatturers of the favoured country, neceffarily difadvantageous to thofe of the favouring country, ii. 324. Tranflation of the commercial treaty between England and Yortugal concluded in 1703, by Mr. Methuen, 325. Rettraints laid upon the European colonies in America, 375. The prefent fplendour of the mercantile fyftem, owing to the difcovery and colonization of America, 459. Review of the plan by which it propofes to enrich a country, 485. The intereft of the confumer conftantly facrificed to that of the prodacer, 515. See Agriculture, Banks, Capital, Manufaffures, Mercbant, Money, Stock, Trade, \&c.
Commodities, the barter of, infufficient for the mutual fupply of the wants of mankind, i. 33. Metals found to be the beft medium to facilitate the exchange of, 35 . Labour an invariable ftandard for the value of, 48. Real and nominal prices of, diftinguifhed, 49 The component parts of the prices of, explained and illuftrated, 75 . The natural, and market prices of, diftinguifhed, and how regulated, 82. The ordinary proportion between the value of any two commodities, not neceffarily the fame as between the quantities of them commonly in the market, 331. The price of rude produce, how affected by the advance of wealth and improvement, 340.

Foreign, are primarily purchafed with the produce of comeftic induftry, ii. 61. When advantageoufly exported in a sude ftate, even by a foreign capital, 79. The quantity of, in every country, naturally regulated by the demand, 148 . Wealth in goods, and in money, compared, 153. Exportation of, to a proper market, always attended with more profit than that of gold and filver, 161. The natural advantages of countries in particular productions, fometimes not poffible to flruggle againft, 185.
Company, mercantile, incapable of confulting their true interefts when they become fovereigns, ii. 479. An exclafive company, a poblic noifance, 485.

- Trading, how firf formed, iii. 109. Regulated, and joint fock companies, diftinguithed, ibid. 110 . Regulated companies in Great Britain, fpecified, isi. Are ufelefs, 113. The condtant view of fuch companies, 115 . Forts and garrifons, why never maintained by regulated companies, 116. The nature of joint fock companies explained, 122.143. A monopoly neceffary to enable a jint tock company to carry on a foreign trade, 144. What kind of joint flock companies need no exclulive privileges, 146 . Joint companies, why well adapted to the trade of banking. 146. The
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trade of infarance may be carried on fuccefffully by a flock company, 147. Alfo inland navigations, and the fupply of water to a great city, ibid. Ill fuccefs of joint fock companies in othet nudertakings, 149.
Comperition, the effect of, in the purchafe of commodities, i. 84 . Among the venders, 86. 133.
Concordat, in France, its object, iii. 220.
Congrefs, American, its ftrength owing to the important characters it confers on the members of it, ii. 454 .
Converfon price, in the payment of sents in Scotland, explained. i. 284 .

Copper, the fandard meafure of value among the ancient Romans, i. 57. Is no legal tender in England, $5 \%$.

Cori, the largeft quadruped on the illand of St. Domingo, defrribed, ii. 350 .

Corn, the raifing of, in different countries, not fubject to the feme degree of rivalihip as manufactures, i. it. Is the beft ftandard for referved rents, 51. The price of, how regulated, 53. The price of, the beft ftandard for comparing the different values of particular commodities at different times and places, $\varsigma 6$. The three component parts in the price of, 75. Is dearer in Scotland than in England, 114. Its value compared with that of butchers-meat, in the different periods of agriculture, 230. 236 . Compared with filver, 277. Circumftances in a hiftorical view of the prices of corn, that have mined writers in treating of the value of filver at different periods, 284 . Is always a more accurate meafure of value, than any other commodity, 293. Why dearer in great towns than in the country, 297. Why dearer in fome rich commercial countries, as Holland and Genoa, 298. Rofe in its nominal price on the difcovery of the American mines, 300 . And in confequence of the civil war under king Charles I. 302. And in confequence of the bounty on the exportation of, 303. Tendency of the bounty examined, 307. Chronological table of the prices of, 398.

The leaft profitable article of growth in the Britif Weft Indian colonies, ii. 89. The reftraints formerly laid upon the trade of, unfavourable to the cultivation of land, 98 . The free importation of, could little affect the farmers of Great Britain, 189. The policy of the bounty on the exportation of, examined, 263 . The reduction in the price of corn, not produced by the bounty, 264. Tillage not encouraged by the bounty, 267. The money price of, regulates that of all other home-made commodities, 268. Illuftration, 271. Ill effects of the bounty, 274. Motives of the country gentlemen in granting the bounty, 276. The natural value of corn not to be altered by altering the money price, 278. The four feveral branches of the corn trade fpecified, 291. The inland dealer, for his own intereft, will not raife the price of corn higher than the fearcity of the feafon requires, ibid. Corn a commodity
the leaft liable to be monopolized, 293. The inland dealers in corn too numerous and difperfed to form a general combination, 294. Dearths never artificial, but when government interferes improperly to prevent them, 295. The freedom of the corn trade, the beft fecurity againft a famine, 297. Old Engliih fatute to probibit the corn trade, 298. Confequences of farmers being forced to become corn dealers, 300 . The ufe of corn dealers to the farmers, 305. The prohibitory flatute againft the corn trade foftened, 306. But ftill under the influence of popular prejudices, 307. The average quantity of corn imported and exported, compared with the confumption and annual produce, 309. Tendency of a free importation of corn, 311. The home market the moft important one for corn, ibid. Duties payable on the importation of grain, before 13 Geo. III. 312, Note. The impropriety of the fature 22 Car. II. for regulating the importation of wheat, confeffed by the fufpenfion of its execution, by temporary flatutes, 313. The home market indirectly fupplied by the exportation of corn, ibid. How a liberal fyttem of free exportation and importation, among all nations, would operate, 316. The laws concerning corn, fimilar to thofe relating to religion, 318 . The hame market fupplied by the carrying trade, ibid. The fyftem of laws connected with the eftablifhment of the bounty, undeferving of praife, 319. Kemarks on the ftatute 13 Geo. III. 321 .
orporations, tendency of the exclufive privileges of, on trade, i. 93184. By what authority erected, 19i. The advantages corporations derive from the furrounding country, 193. Check the operations of competition, 198. Their internal regulations, combinations againft the public, 200 . Are injurious, even to the members of them, 201. The laws of, obftruet the free circulation of labour, from one employment to another, 211.

The origin of, ii. 103. Are exempted by their privileges from the power of the feudal barons, 105 . The European Eaft India companies difadvantageous to the ealtern commerce, 171. The exclufive privileges of corporations ought to be deftroyed, 205 . Cottagers, in Scotland, their fituation deferibed, i. 179. Are cheap manufacturers of fockings, 181. The diminution of, in England, confidered, 354.
Coward, character of, iii. 190.
Credit. See Paper money.
Cruzades to the Holy Lapd, favourable to the revival of commerce, ii. 111.

Curremey of fates, remarks on, ii. 319.
Cuffoms, the motives and tendency of drawbacks from the duties of, ii. 252. The revenue of the caftoms increafed by drawbacks, 259.

Occafion of firt impofing the duties of, iii. 109. Origin of thofe dacies, 345. Three ancient branches of, 346. Drawbacks of, 348. Are regulated according to the mercantile fytem, 349 .
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Frauds practifed to obtain drawbacks and bounties, 350 . The duties of, in many inftances uncertain, 352. Improvement of, fugrefted, 353. Computation of the expence of collecting them, $37^{6}$.

Dairy, the bufinefs of, generally carried on as a fave-all, i. 357. Circumftances which impede or promote the attention to it, 350 . Englifh and Scotch dairies, 357 .
Danube, the navigation of that river why of little afe to the interior parts of the country from whence it Hows, i. 32 .
Darvenant. Dr. his objections to the transferring the duties on beer to the malt, confidered, iii. 367 .
Deartbs, never caufed by combinations among the dealers in corn, but by fome general calaimity, ii. 295. The free exercife of the corn trade the beft palliative againit the inconveniences of a dearth, 306. Corn dealers the befl friends to the people at fuck reafons, 308.
Dibts, public, the origin of, traced, iii. 397. Are accelcrated by the expences attending war, 399. Account of the unfunded debt of Great Britain, 403. Tne funded debr, 404. Aggregate and general funds, 408. Sinking fund, 410. 418. Annuities for term, of years, and for lives, 41 l . The reduction of, during peace, kears no proportion to its accumulation during war, 420. The plea of the intereft being no burden so the nation, confidered, 428 . Are feldom fairly paid when accumulated to a cerrain degree, 434. Might eafily be difcharged, by extending the Britifh fyltem of taxation over all the provinces of the en:pire, 441 . Ireland and America ought to contribute to ditcharge the puolic debts of Britain, 459.
Decker, Sir Mathew, his obfervation on the accumblation of taxes. iii. 337. His propofal for transferring all taxes to the confumer. by annual payments, confidered, $34^{2}$.
Demand, though the increafe of, may at firt raife the price of goods, it never fails to reduce it afterward, iii. 134.
Denmark, account of the fettlements of, in the Weft Indies, ii. 357.
Diamonds, the mines of, not always worth working for, i. 270.
Difcipline, the great importance of, in war, iii. 59. Inflances, $61,8 c$.
Diverfons, public, their political ufe, iii. zoh.
Domingo, St. miftaken by Columbus for a part of the Eaft Indies, if. 349. Its principal productions; 350. The natives foon itripped of all their gold, 353. Hillorical view of the French colony there, $3^{6} 9$.
Doomfday book, the intention of that compilation, iii. 270.
Dorians, ancient, where the colonies of, rettled, ii. $3+3$.
Dramatic exhibitions, the political ufe of, iii. 206.
Vol.11I. Ii
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Drazubacks, in commerce, explained, ii. 174. The motivesto, and rendency of, explained, ii. 252. On wines, currants, and wrought filks, 253. On tobacco and fugar, 254. On wines, particularly confidered, 255 . Were originally granted to encourage the carrying trade, 258 . The revenue of the cuftoms increafed by them, 259. Drawbacks allowed in favour of the colonies, 389 .

Drugs, regulations of their inportation and exportation, ii. 508.
Drunkennefs, the motive to this vice enquired into, ii. 242.
Dutch, their fectlements in America fow in improvement becaufe under the government of an exclufive company, ii. 367. Their Eaft India trade checked by monopoly, 469. Meafures taken by, to fecure the monopoly of the fpice trade, 476 . See

India, reprefentation of the miferable fate of the provinces of, under the Englifh government there, i. 110 . Hiftorical view of the European trade with thofe countries, 319. Rice countries more populousand rich than corn councries, 32I. The real price of labour lower in China and Indoftan, than in the greater part of Europe, 322. Gold and filver the mof profitable commodities to carry thither, 323 . The proportional value of gold to filver, how rated there, 330 .
great extenfion offoreign commerce by the difcovery of a paffage to, round the Cape of Good Hope, ii. 170. Hitiorical review of the intercourfe with, 171. Effed of the annual exportatiou of filver to, from Europe, 172. The trade with, chiefly carried on by exclufive companies, 467 . Tendency of their monopolies, 468.

Company, a monopoly againd the very nation in which it is erected, ii. ${ }_{4} 67$. The operation of fach a company in a poor, and in a ich country compared, 469 . That country whofe capital is not large enough to tend to fuch a diftant trade ought not to engage in it, 473. The mercantile habits of trading companies render them incapable of confulting their true interefts when they become fovereigns, 479. The genius of the adminiftration of the Englifh company, 480 . Sabordinate practices of their agents and clerks, 48 i . The bad conduct of agents in India owing to their fituation, 484. Such an exclufive company a nuifance in every refpect, 485 .
brief review of their hiftory, iii..131. Their privileges invaded, 132. A rival company formed, 133. The two companies united, 135. Are infected by the firit of war and conqueft, 136. Agreements between the company and government, ibid. Interference of government in their territorial adminiftration, 139. And in the direction at bome, ibid. Why unfit to govern a great empire, 140. Their fovereign and commercial characters incompatible, 245. How the territorial acquintions of, might be rendered a fource of revenue, 462 .
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Edinburgh, its prefent hare of trade owing to the removal of the court and parliament, ii. 12.
Education, the principal caufe of the various talents obfervable in different men, i. 24.
——_thofe parts of, for which there are no public inftitutions. generally the beft taught, iii. 158. In univerfities a view of, 168. Of travelling for, 171 . Courfe of, in the republics of ancipnt Greece, 172. In ancient Rome, ibid. The ancient teachers fuperior to thofe in modern times, 179. Public inflitutions injurious to good education, 180 . Inquiry how far the public ought to attend to the education of the people, 181. The different opportunities of education in the different ranks of the people, 185. The advantages of a proper attention in the ftate to the education of the people, 191. ypt, the firft country in which agriculture and manufactures appear to have been cultivated, i. 30 . Agriculture was greally favoured there, iii. 32. Was long the granary of the Roman empire, 35.
Ejectment, action of, in England, when invented, and its operation, ii. 93 .

Employments, the advantages and difadvantages of the different kinds of, in the fame neignbourhood, continually tend toequality, i. 151. The differences or inequalities among, fpecified, 152 . The conflancy or precarioufnets of, influences the rate of wages, 157.
England, the dates of its feveral fpecies of coinage, filver, gold, and copper, i. 58. Why labour is cheaper there, than in North America, 105. The rate of population in both countries compared, 106. - the produce and labour of, have gradually increafed from the earlieft accounts in hiftory, while writers are reprefenting the country as rapidly declining, ii. 24. Enumeration of obtructions and calamities which the prolperity of the country has furmounted, 25. (ircumftances that favour commerce and manufactures, 133. Lsws in favour of agriculture, 134. Why formerly unable to carry on foreign wars of long duration, 165 . Why the commerce with France has been fubjected to to many difcouragements, 247. Foundation of the enmity between thefe countries, 249. Tranfation of the commercial treaty concluded in 1703, with Portugal, 325 . Inquiry into the value of the trade with Portugal, 328. Might procure gold without the Portugal trade, 329 . Confequences ot iecuring the colony trade by the navigation act,409. Engroffing. See Forefalling.
Entails, the law of, prevents the divifion of land by alienation, ii. 82 . Intention of, 84 . trope, general review of the feveral nations of, as to their improvement fince the difoovery of America, i. 316. The two richent countries in, enjoy the greateft thares of the carrying trade, ii. 69. Inquiry into the advantages derived by, from the difcovery and colonization of America, 400. The particular advantages derived by each colonizing country, 404. And by others which have ne colonies, 460.
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Exchange, the operation of, in the commercial intercourfe of different countries, ii. 144. The courfe of, an uncertain criterion of the b slance of trade between two countries, 213 . Is generally in favour of thofe countries which pay in bank money, againft thofe which pay in common currency, 234 .
Excife, the principal objects of, iii. 345. The duties of, more clear and diftinct than the cultoms, 352 . Affects only a few artiches of the mott general confumption, 353. The excife fcheme of Sir Robert Walpole defended, 358. The excife upon home-made fermented and fpirituous liquors, the moft productive, 360 . Expence of levying excife duties computed, 375. The laws of, more vexatious than thofe of the cultoms, 380 .
Exercife, military, alteration in, produced by the invention of firearms, iii. 57.
Expences, private, how they influence the national capital, ii. 28. 'The advantage of beftowing them on durable commodities, 30 .
Export trade, the principles of, explained, ii. 67. When rude produce may be advantageoufly exported, even by a foreign capital, 79. Why encouraged bv European nations, ii. 173. By what means promoted, 174. The motives to, and tendency of, drawbacks of duties, 253. The grant of bounties on, confidered, 261. Exportation of the materials of manufactures, review of the reftraints and prohibitions of, ii. 494.

Failb, articles of, how regulated by the civil magiftrate, iii. 208. Families feldom remain on large eftates for many generations in commercial countries, ii. 129.
Famine. See Dearth.
Farmers of land, the feveral articles that compofe their gain, difin. guifhed, i. So. Require more knowiedge and experience than the generalityof manufatturers, 196 . In what their capitals confift, 413 . the great quantity of productive labour put into motion by their capitals, ii. 52. Artificers neceflary to them, 77. Their fituation better in England than in any other part of Europe, 93. Labour under great difadvantage every where, 97 . Origin of long leafes of farms, 128. Are a clafs of men lealt fubject to the wretched fpirit of monopoly, 191. Were foreed, by old fatutes, to become the only dealers in corn, 300 . Could not fell corn cheaper than any other corn merchant, 301 . Could feldom fell it fo cheap, 302. The culture of land obitructed by this divifion of their capicals, 304 . The ufe of corn dealers to the farmers, 305. how they contribute to the annual production of the land, according to the French agricultural fytem of political coconomy, iii. 4 .

F- of the public revenue, their character, iii. 387. 416.
Feudal government, miferable fate of the occupiers of land under, ii. 7. Trade and interelt of money under, 9. Feudal chiefs, their
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power, 82. Slaves, their fituation, 87. 'Tenures of land, 90. Taxation, $\mathbf{g} 6$. Original poverty and fervile fate of the tradefmen in towns, 100 . Inmunities feldom granted but for valuable confiderations, 101. Origin of free burghs, 102. The power of the barons reduced by municipal privileges, 105. The caufe and cffect of ancient hofpitality, 119. Extenfive power of the ancient barons, 121. Was not eftablifhed in England until the Norman conqueft, 123. Was filently fubverted by manufactures and commerce, 125.
Feudal wars, how fupported, iii. 49. Mifitary exercifes not well attended to, under, 52 . Standing armies gradually introduced to fupply the place of the feudal militia, 66. Account of the cafualties or taxes under, 314. Revenues under, how enjoyed by the great landholders, 395 .
Fiars, pubic, in Scotland, the nature of the inftitution explained, i. 294.

Fines for the renewal of leafes, the motive for exacting them, and their tendency, iii. 264.
Fire arms, alteration in the art of war, effected by the invention of, iii. 57.71. The invention of, favourable to the exterfion of civilizát:on, 72.
$F i \beta$, the component parts of the price of, explained, i. 77. The multiplication of, at market, by human indultry, both limited and uncertain, i. 370. How an increafe of demand raifes the price of fin, 371.
Fißeries, obfervations on the tonnage bounties granted to, ii. 281. To the herring fifhery, 282. The boat fifhery ruined by this bounty, 285 .
Flanders, the ancient commercial profperity of, perpetuated by the folid improvements of agriculture, ii, 137.
Flax, the component parts of the price of, explained, i. 76.
Fleetwood, bifhof, remaiks on his Chronicon Pretiofum, i. 285. 289.

Flour, the component parts of the price of, explained, i. 76.
Food, will always purchafe as much labour as it can maintain on the fpot, i. 227. Bread and butchers' meat compared, 230 . 235. Is the original fource of every other production, 257. The abundance of, conflitutes the principal part of the riches of the world, and gives the principal value to many orher kinds of riches, 272 .
Foreftalling and engroffing, the popular fear of, likethe fufpicions of witheratt, ii. 309.
Forts, when neceflary for the protection of commerce, iii. 107.
France, fluctuations in the legal rate of interelt for money there, during the courfe of the prefent century, i. 137. Remarks on the trate and riches of, 138. The nature of apprentice@hips there, 187. 'The propriey of reftraining the planting of vineyards, examined, i. 240 . Variations in the price of grain there, 282. The money price of labour has funk gradually with the money price of corn, 313. Foundation of the Mißillppi fcheme, 478.
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France, little trade or induftry to be found in the parliament towns of, ii. 1p. Defcription of the clafs of farmers called metayers, 90. Laws relating to the tenure of land, 97 . Services formerly exacted befide sent, ibid. The saille, what, and its operation in checking the cultivation of land, 96 . Origin of the magiftrates and councils of cities, 107. No direct legal encouragement given to agriculture, 135. Ill policy of M . Colbert's commercial regulations, 200. French goods heavily taxed in Great Britain, 209. The commercial intercourfe between France and England now chiefly carried on by fmugglers, 210 . The policy of the commercial reffraints between France and Britain confidered, 211. State of the coinage there, 217. Why the commerce with England has been fubjected to difoouragements, 247. Foundation of the enmity between thefe countries, 249. Remarks concerning the feignorage on coin, 335. Standard of the gold coin there, 336. The trade of the French colonies, how regulated, 378. The government of the colonies conducted with moderation, 393. The fugar colonies of, better governed than thofe of Britain, 394. The kingdom of, how taxed, 449. The members of the league fought more in defence of their own importance, than for any other caufe, 455. —— the prefent agricultural fyftem of political ceconomy adopted by philofophers there, deferibed, iii. 4. Under what direction the funds for the repair of the roads are placed, 101. General ftate of the roads, 102 . The univerfities badly governed, 155. Remarks on the management of the parliarients of, 211. Meafares taken in, to reduce the power of the clergy, 220. Account of the mode of rectifying the inequalities of the predial taille in the generality of Montauban, 273. The perfonal taille explained, 303. The inequalities in, how semedied, 306. How the perfonal taille difcourages cultivation, 308. The Vingtieme, 311. Stamp-duties and the controle, $317 \cdot 320$. The capitation tax, how rated, 329. Reftraints upon the interior trade of the country by the local variety of the revenue laws, 383 . The duties on tobacco and falt, how levied, 388. The different fources of revenue in, 389 . How the finances of, might be reformed, 390. The French fyitem of taxation compared with that in Britain, 391. The nature of rontines explained, 43 . Ettimate of the whole national debt of, 414.
Frugality, generally a predominating principle in human nature,ii. 19.
Fuller's earth, the exportation of, why prohibited, ii. 505 .
Funds, Britih, brief hiftorical view of, iii. 403 . Operation of, politically confidered, 424. The practice of funding has gradually enfeebled every fate that has adopted it, 431.
Fur trade, the firf principles af, i. 253 :

Gama, Vafco de, the firf European who difcovered a nạval track to the Eaft Indies, 348.
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the gains from, diftinguifhed into the component parts,
i. 81. ${ }^{-}$Not a profitable employment, 237.

## Gems. See Stanes.

General fund, in the Britifh finances, explained, iii. 408.
Genoa, why corn is dear in the territory of, i. 298.
Glafgow, the trade of, doubled in fifteen years, by erecting banks there, i. 442 . Why a city of greater trade than Edinburgh, ii. 12.

Gold, not the ftandard of value in England, $\mathbf{i}, 59$. Its value meafured by filver, 60 . Reformation of the gold coin, 61. Mint price of gold in England, 62. The working the mines of, in Peru, very unprofitable, 267. Qualities for which this metal is valued, 269 . The proportionate value of, to filver, how rated before and after the difcovery of the American mines, 330. Is cheaper in the Spanif market than filver, 333. Great quantities of, remitred annually from Portugal to England, ii. 327. Why little of it remains in England, 329 . Is always to be had for its value, $3=0$.
Gold and filver, the prices of, how affected by the increafe of the quantity of the metals, i. 294. Are commodities that naturally feek the beft market, 295. Are metals of the lealt value among the poorett nations, 297. The increafe in the quantity of, by means of wealth and improvement, has notendency to diminif their value, 299. The annual confumption of thefe metals very confiderable, 324. Annual importation of, into Spain and Portugal, 325. Are not likely to multiply beyond the demand, 328. The durability of, the caufe of the tteadinefs of their price, 329. On what circumfances the quan:ity of, in every particular country, depends, 372. The low value of thefe metals in a country, no evidence of its wealth, nor their high value of its poverty, 377.

- if not employed at home, will be fent abroad notwithtanding all prohibitions, ii. 17. Therea on why European nations have ftudied to accumulate thefe metals, 141 . Commercial arguments in favour of their exportation, 142. Thefe, and all other commodities, are motually the prices of each other, 148. The quanticy of, in every country, regulated by the effectual demand, 149. Why the prices of thefe metals do not fluctuate fo much as thofe of other commodities, 150 . To preferve a due quantity of, in a country, no proper object of atrention for the government, 151. The accumulated gold and filver in a country diftinguibed into three parts, 1;8. A great quantity of bullion alcernately exported and imported for the purpofes of foreign trade, 162. Annual amoune of theie merals imported into Spand and Portugal, 163. The importation of, not the principal benefit derived form foreign trade, 157. The value of, how affected by the difcovery of the American mines, 168 . And by the paflige round the $\mathrm{C}_{\text {tpe }}$ of Good Hope to the Eait Indies, 170. Effr $\mathfrak{C t}$ of the annual exportaion of fitver to the Eft Indies, 172. The commercial means purfurd to increafe the quantity of theie metals in a country, 173. 20.7. Bultion how received and paid at the bank of Amfterdam, 223. At what prices,
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225 , Note. A trading country without mines, not likely to be exhautted by an annual exportation of thefe metals, 240 . The value of, in Spain and Portugal, depreciated by reftraining the exportation of them, 271. Are nor imported for the purpofes of plate or coin, but for foreign trade, 331. The fearch after mines of, the moft ruinous of all projetts, 354 . Are valuable, becaufe fcarce, and diffisult to be procured, $355^{-}$
Gargias. evidence of the wealth he acquired by teaching, i. 208.
Gowernment, civil, indifpenfably necefliary for the fecurty of private property, iii. 73. Subordination in fociety by what means introduced, $7 \frac{7}{4}$. Inequality of fortune introduces civil government for its prefervation, 80." The adminiftration of juftice, a fource of revenue in early times, 81. Why government ought not to have the management of turnpikes, 99. Nor of other public works, 105. Wanc of parfimony during peace, impofes a neceffity of contractivig debts to carry on a war, ig9. Muff fupport a regular adminiliation of juftice to caufe manutactures and commerce to flourifh, 400 . Origin of a national debr, 401. Progreffion of public debts, 402. War, why generally agrecable to the people, 417 :
Governors, political, the greateft fpendthrifts in fociety, ii. 27.
Graffes, artificial, tend to reduce the price of butchers' meat, i. $234^{-}$
Grazicrs, fubject to monopolies obtained by manufactures to their prejudice, ii. 505.
Grecee, foreign trade promored in feveral of the ancient thates of, iii. $\mathbf{3} 6$. Mihtary exercifes, a part of general education, 52 . Soldiers not a diflinct profeffion in, 53. Courfe of education in the republics of, 172. The morals of the Grecks inferior to thofe of the Romans, ibid. Schools of the philolophers and thetoriciane, 175. Law nof fience smong the G:eeks, 176. Courts of juttice, 177. The martial fpirit of the fucple how fupported, 188.
Greek colories, how dittirgaithed from Roman colonies, ii. 346. Rapid progrefs of thefe colonies, 300 .
Greek larguage, how in:roduced as a part of univerfity education, iii. 162. Philofophy, the three great branches of, 103.

Ground rents, gieat variations of, according to fituation, iii. 281. Are a more proper fubject of taxation than houfes, $2: 8$.
Gum fenega, review of the regulations impofed on the trade for, ii 509 .
Gunpowder, great revolution effected in the art of war by the invention of, iii. 57-7r. This invention favourable to the extenfron of civilization, $; 2$.
Gufavus Vafa, how enabled to eflablifh the reformation in Sweden, 111. 223.
agio of the bank of, explained, ii. 220. Sources of the revenue of that city, 242. 246. The inhabitants of, how taxed to the flate, 298.

Company, fome account of, iii. 112.
Hearth money, why abolifhed in England, iii. 290.
Henry VIII. of England, prepares the way for the reformation by fhutting out the authority of the Pope, iii. 224.
Herring bufs bounty, remarks on, ii. 281. Fraudulent claims of the bounty, 284. The boat fifhery the mof natural and profitable, 285 . Account of the Britifh white-herring fifhery, 287. Account of the buffes fitted out in Scotland, the amount of their cargoes, and the bounties on them, 519 .
Hides, the produce of rude countries, commonly carried to a diftant market, i. 360. Price of, in England three centuries ago, 365. Salted hides inferior to frefh ones, 366. The price of, how affected by circumftances in culivated and in uncultivated countries, 368.
Higblands of Scolland, interefing remarks on the population of, 1. 120. Military character of the Highlanders, iii. 60.

Fiolbes, Mr. remarks on his definition of wealth, i. 45 -
Hegs, circumftances which render their fiefh cheap or dear, i. 354 -
Holland, onfervations on the riches and trade of the republic of, i.139. Not to follow fome bufinefs, unfafionable there, 147. Caufe of the dearnefs of corn there, 298.

- enjors the greatelt fhare in the carrying trade of Europe, ii. 69. How the Dutch were excluded from being the carriers to Great Britain, 193. Is a country that profpers under the heavieft taxation, 199. Account of the bank of Amfterdam, 220. This republic derives even its fubfiftence from foreign trace, 250.
——tax paid on houfes there, iii. 289. Account of tiee tax upon fucceffions, 313. Stamp duties, 316. High amount of taxes in, 340.392 . Its profperity depends on the republican form of government, 393.
Honoraries from pupils to teachers in colleges, tendency of, to quicken their diligence, iii. $15^{2}$.
$H_{0} f_{e}$, in the time of Edward IV. how made, i. 389.
Hofpitality, ancient, the caufe and effect of, ii. 119. iii. 395.
Houfe, different acceptations of the term in England, and fome other countries, i. 182. Houfes confidered as part of the national flock, 414. Houfes produce no revenue, 415 .
the rent of, diltinguifhed into two parts, iii. 280. Operation of a tax upon houfe rent, payable by the tenant, 281 . Houfe reat the beft teft of the tenant's circumftances, 285. Proper regulation of a tax on, ibid. How taxed in Holland, 289. Hearth money, 2go. Window tax, ibid.
Hudfon's bay company, the nature of their eftablifiment and trade, iii. 126. Their profite not fo high as has been reported, 128.

IIunters, war how fupperted by a nation of, iii. 44. Cannot be very numerous, 46. No eftablifhed adminiftration of juftice needfal among
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anong them, 72. Age the fole foundation of rank and precedency among, 75. No confiderable inequality of fortune, or fubordination to be found among them, 76. No hereditary honours in $[$ a fociety, 78.
war how fupported by a nation of, iii. 47.
See Agriculture.

## J

Fansaica, the returns of trade from that illand, why irregular, iii. 457. Iallenefs unfarhionable in Holland, i. 147.
Towels. See Stones.
Impartation, why reftraints have been impofed on, with the two kinds of, ii. 173. How reftrained to fecure a monopoly of the homemarket to domeftic induftry, 176. The true policy of thefe refraints doubeful, 177. The free importation of foreign manufactures more dangerous than that of raw materials, 187. How far it may be proper to continue the free importation of certain foreign goods, 199. How far it may be proper to eftore the free importation of goods, after it has been interrupted, 202. Of the materials of manufacture, review of the legal encouragements given to, 486.
Independents, the principles of that fect explained, iii. 201.
Indies. See Eaf and Weff.
Indoftan, the feveral clafies of people there kept diftinct, iii. 33. The natives of, how prevented from undertaking long fea voyages, 34 .
Induftry, the different kinds of, feldom dealt impartially with by any nation, i. 4. The fpecies of, frequently local, 26. Naturally fuited to the demand, 87. Is increafed by the liberal reward of labour, 124. How affected by feafons $8 f$ plenty and fearcity, 126. Is more advantageoufly exerted in towns than in the country, 194. The average produce of, always fuited to the average confumption, 292. Is promoted by the circulation of paper money, 438. Three requifites to putting induftry in motion, 450 .
how the general character of nations is eftimated by, ii. 9. And idlenefs, the proportion between, how regulated, 12 . Is employed for fubfiftence, before it extends to conveniences and luxury, 75 . Whether the general induftry of a fociety is promoted by commercial reftraints on importation, 177. Private intereft naturally points to that employment moft advantageous to the fociety, 178. But without intending or knowing it, 181. Legal regulations of private induftry, dangerous affumptions of power, 182. Domeftic induftry ought not to be employed on what can be purchafedcheaper from abroad, 883. Of the fociety, can augment only is proportion as its capital augments, 184. When it may be neceflary to impofe fome burden upon foreign induftry, to favour that at home, 192. The free exercife of indultry ought to be allowed to all, 205 .
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The natural effort of every individual to better his condition wills if unreftrained, refult in the profperity of the fociety, 319.
Ingrance, from fire, and fea rifks, the nature and profits of, examined, i. 165. The trade of infurance may be fuccefsfully carried on by a joint fock company, iii. 147, 148.
Interef, landed, monied, and trading, diftinguifhed, ii. 35 -
for the ule of money, the firundation of that allowance explained, i. 79. Hiftorical view of the alterations of, in England, and other countries, 135. Remarks on the high rates of, in Bengal, 143. And in China, 145. May be raifed by defective laws, independent on the infurence of wealth or poverty, ibid. The loweft ordinary rate of, mult fomewhat more than compenfate occational loffes, 146. The common relative proportion between intereft and mercantile profits inquired into, 148 .

- was not lowered in conlequence of the difcovery of the American mines, ii. 39. How the legal rate of, ought to be fixed, 43. Confeguences of jis being fixed too high or two low, 44. The market rate of, regulates the price of land, 45. Whether a proper object of taxation, iii. 294.
Ireland, why never likely to furnilh cattle to the prejudice of Great Britain, ii. 187. The propofed abfentee tax there confidered, iii. 373. Ought in juftice to contribute toward the difcharge of the puolic debt of Great Britain, 459. Expediency of a union with Great Britain, 460.
Ifocrates, the handfome income he made by teaching, i. 207.
the only great country in Europe, which has been cultivated and improved in every part by means of its foreign commerce, ii. 135. Was originally colonized by the Dorians, 343 .
furifdiztions, territorial, did not originate in the feudal law, ii. 122. Fufice, the adminitration of, a duty of the fovereign, iii. 72 . In early times a fource of revenue to him, 83. The making juftice fubfervient to the revenue, a fource of great abufes, 82. Is never adminittered gratis, 85 . The whole adminiftration of, but an inconfiderable part of the expence of government, 86. How the whole expence of juftice might be defrayed from the fees of court, ibid. The interference of the jurifdictions of the feveral Englifh courts of law, accounted for, 88. Law language, how corrupied, 90. The judicial and executive power, why divided, 91. By whom the expence of the adminiftration of, ought to be borne,


## K

Kalm, the Swedif traveller, his account of the hufbandry of the Britifh colonies in North America, i. 349.
Kelp, a rent demanded for the rocks on which it grows, i. 224 .
King, under feudal infticutions, no more than the greateft baron in the nation, ii. 122. Was unable to refrain the violence of his barons. 124.

## I N D E X.

King, treafure trove an important branch of revenue to, iii. 396. His fituation how favourable for the accumulating treafure, 397 , In a commercial country, naturally fpends his revenue in luxarim, ibid. Is hence driven to call upon his fubjects for extraordinary aids, 398.
King, Mr. his account of the average price of wheat, i. 306. Kings and their miniflers, the greateft fpendthrifts in a country, ii. 27 .
, the fund which originally fupplies every nation with its annual confumption, i. 1. How the proportion between labour and confumption is regulated, ibid. The different kinds of induftry feldom dealt impartially with by any nation, 4. The divifion of labour confidered, 6. This divifion increafes the quantity of work, 11. Infances in illuftration, 17. From what principle the divifion of labour originates, 19. The divifibility of, governed by the market, 26. Labour the real meafure of the exchangeable value of commodities, 4t. Different kinds of, not eafily eftimated by immediate comparion, 45. Is compared by the intermediate ftandard of money, $4^{6}$. Is an invariable ftandard for the value of commodities, 48 . Hias a real and a nominal price, 49. The quantity of labour empleyed on different objects, the only rule for exchanging them in the rude itages of fociety, 70 . Difference between the wages of labour and profits on ftock, in manufactures, $7^{2}$. The whole labour of a country never exerted, 81 . Is in every inftance fuited to the demand, 87 . The effect of extraordinary calls for, 89 . The deductions made from the produce of labour employed upon land, 98. Whydearer in North America than in England, 105. Is cheap in countries that are ftationary, 107. The demand for, would continually decreafe in a declining country, 109. The province of Bengal cited as an inftance, 110. Is not badly paid for in Great Britain, 111. An increafing demand for, favourable to population, 121. That of freemen cheaper to the employers than that of flaves, 122 . The money price of, how regulated, 130 . Is liberally rewarded in new colonies, 140 . Common labour and filful labour difinguifhed, 155 . The free circulation of, from one employment to another, obftructed by corporation laws, 21 . The onegual prices of, in difierent places, probably owing to the law of tetalements, 218 . Can always procure fubfitence on the fpot whese it is purchafed, 227. The money price of, in different countries, how governed, 297. Is fet into motion by flock employed for profit, 396 . The divifion of, depends on the accumulation of flock, 408. Machines to facilitate labour advantageous to fociety, 426.

-     - productive and unproductive, difinguighed, ii. 1. Various orders of men fpecified, whofe labour is unproductive, 3. Unpro-
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ductive labourers all maintained by revenue, 5. The price of, how raifed by the increafe of the national capital, 38. Its price, though nominally raifed, may continue the fame, 4i. Is liberally rewarded in new colonies, 358.
L.abour of artificers and manufacturers, never adds any value to the whole amount of the rude produce of the land, according to the Fsench agricultural fyftem of political oconomy, iii. 9. This doctrine fewn to be erroneous, 23. The productive powers of labour, how to be improved, 25 .
Labourers, ufeful and productive, every where proportioned to the capital ftock on which they are employed, i. 3. Share the proc'uce of their labour, in moft cafes, with the owners of the fock on which they are employed, $7 .+$. Their wages a continued fubject of conteft between them and their mafters, 99. Are feldom fuccefsful in their outrageous combinations, 101. The fufficiency of their earnings, a point not eafly determined, 102. Their weges fometimes raifed by increafe of work, 103. Their demands limited by the funds deftined for payment, 10.4. Are continually wanted in Noath Ame,ica, 107. Miferable condition of thofe in China, 108. Are not ill paid in Great Britain, ilis. If able to maintain their families in dear years, they muft be at their eafe in plentiful feaions, 112. A proof furnithed in the complaints of their luxury, 119. Why worfe paid than artificers, 156. Their interefts ftrietly connected with the interefls of the fociety, 395. Labour the only fource of their revenue, 410 . Effects of a life of labour on the underitandings of the poor, iii. 182.
Land, the demand of rent for, how founded, i. 74. The rent paid, enters into the price of the greater part of all commocities, 75 . Generally produces more food than will maintain the labour neceffary to bring it to market, 227. Good roads, and navigable canals, equalize difference of fituation, 2.8. That employed in raifing food for men or cattle, regulates the renc of all obher cultivated land, 237.247. Can clothe and lodge more than it can feed, while uncultivated, and the contrary, when improved, 252. The culcure of land producing food, creates a demand fer the produce of other lands, 272. Produces by agriculture a much greater quantity of vegetable, than of animal food, 293. The full improvement of, requires a fiock of catile to fupply manure, 345 . Caufe and effect of the diminution of cottagers, $35 i$. Signs of the land being completely improved, 358 . The whole annual produce, or the price of it, naturally divides itfelf into rent, wages, and profics of Rock, 394.

- the ufual price of, depends on the common rate of intereft for money, ii. 44. The profits of cultivation exaggerated by projectors, 71. The cultivation of, naturally preferred to trade and manufactures, on equal terms, 76. Artificers neccflary to the cultivation of, 77. Was all appropriated, though not cultivated, by the northern deflroyers of the Roman empire, 81. Origin of the law of primogeniture under the feudal goverament, 82. Lincails, 8.t.
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Obftacles to the improvement of land under feudal proprietors, 86. Feudal tenures, 90 . Feudal taxation, 96. The improvement of land checked in France by the taille, ibid. Occupiers of, labour under great difadvantages, 97. Origin of long leafes of, 128. Small proprietors, the beft improvers of, 131. Small purchafers of, cannot hope to raife fortunes by cultivation, 132. Tenures of, in the Britifh American colonies, 370 .
Land, is the moft permanent fource of revenue, iii. 248. The rent of a whole country, not equal to the ordinary levy upon the people, 249. The revenue from, proportioned, not to the rent, but to the produce, 252. Reafons for felling the crown lands, 253 . The land-tax of Great Britain confidered, 259. An improved landtax fuggefted, 264. A land-tax, however equally rated by ageneral farvey, will foon become unequal, 272. Tythes a very unequal tax, 274. Tythes difcourage improvement, 275.
Landbolders, why frequently inattentive to their own particular interefts, i. 394. How tiey contribute to the annual production of the land, according to the French agricultural fyttem of political oeconomy, iii. 4. Should be encouraged to cultivate a part of their own land, 266 .
Latin language, how it became an effential part of univerfity education, iii. 161 .
Law, the language of, how corrupted, iii. 90. Did not improve into a fcience in ancicnt Greece, 176. Remarks on the courts of juftice in Greece and Rome, 177.
Law, Mr. account of his banking fcheme for the improvement of Scotland, i. 478.
Lawyers, why amply rewarded for their labour, i. 160. Great amount of their fees, iii. 85 .
Leafes, the various ufual conditions of, ii. 264.
Leatber, reftrictions on the exportation of unmanufactured, ii. 506. Leftures in univerfities, frequently improper for inftruction, iii. 156.

Levity, the vices of, buinous to the common people, and therefore feverely cenfured by them, iii. 203.
Liberty, three duties only neceffary for a fovereign to attend to, for fupporting a fyltem of, iii. 42 .
Lima, computed number of inhabitants in that city, ii. 363 .
Linen manufacture, nartow policy of the mafter manufacurers in, ii. $4^{87}$.

Literature, the rewards of, reduced by competition, i. 206. Was more profiable in ancient Greece, 207. The cheapaefs of literary education an advantage to the public, 20 g.
Loans of money, the nature of, analyfed, ii. 35. The extenfive operation of, 36 .
Locke, Mr. remarks on his opinion of the difference between the market and mint prices of filver bullion, i. 64. His account of she caufe of lowering the rates of intereft for money examined, ii. 39 . His difinction between money and moveable goods, 140 .
cheaper in London, than in any other capital ciry in Eum rope, i. 182 .
Logic, the origin and employment of, iii. 165.
Lozteries, the true nature of, and the caufes of their fuccefs, explained, i. 164.

Luck, inflances of the univerfal reliance mankind have on it, i. 164 . Lutberans, origin and principles of that fect, iii. 225.
Luxuries, diftinguifhed from neceffaries, iii. 331. Operation of taxes on, 334. The good and bad properites of taxes on, 374.

## M

Macedon, Philip of, the fuperiority that difcipline gave his army over thofe of his enemies, iii. 61.
Machines for facilitating mechanical operations, how invented and improved, i. 14, Are advantageous to every fociety, 426.
Madder, the cultivation of, long confined to Holland, by Englia tythes, iii. 276.
Madeira wines, how introduced into North America and Britain, ii. 257.

Malt, reafons for transferring the duty on brewing to, iii. $3^{6} 3$. Diftillery, how to prevent fmuggling in, 366 .
Manufactares, the great advantage refulting from a divifion of labour in, i. 7. Inftances in illuftration, 17. Why profits increafe in the higher flages of, 76. Of what parts the gains of manufactures confift, 80. The private advantage of fecrets in manufactures, 91 . Peculiar advantages of foil and fituation, ibid. Monopolies, 92. Corporation privileges, 93. The deductions made from labour employed on manufactures, 99 . Inquiry how far they are affected by feafons of plenty and fcarcity, 128. Are not fo materially affelled by circumftances in the country where they are carried on, as in the places where they are confumed, 129. New manufactures generally give higher wages than old ones, 176. Are more profitably carried on in towns than in the open country, 194. By what means the prices of, are reduced, while the fociety continues improving, 384 . Inftances in hard ware, $3^{85}$. Intances in the woollen manutacture, 386. What fixed capitals are required to carry on particular manufactures, 412.
for diftant fale, why not eftablifhed in North America, ii. 78. Why manufaclures are preferred to foreign trade, for the employment of a capital, 79. Motives to the eftablifiment of manufactures for diftant fale, 112 . How mifted from one coentry to another, 113. Natural circumflances which contribute to the eftablifhment of them, 114. Their effect on the government and manners of a country, 119. The independence of artifans explained, 126. May fourif amidf the ruin of a country, and begin so decay on the return of its profperity, 164. Inquiry how far ma-
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nufactures might be affected by a freedom of trade, 202. Thiofe thrown out of one bufinefs can transfer their induftry to collateral employments, 205. A firit of combination among them to fupport monopolies, 206 Manufacturers prohibited by old flatutes from keeping a fhop, or felling ther own goods by retail, 300. The ufe of wholefale dealers to manufacturers, 304. Britioh reftraints on manufactures in North America, 385. The exportation of inftruments in, prohibited, 512.
Manufacturers, an unproductive clats of the people, according to the French agricultural fytem of political ceconomy, iii. 7 . The error of this doctrine thewn, 21. How manufacturers augment the revenue of a country, 26 . Why the principal fupport of foreign trade, 31. Require a more extenfive market than rude produce of the land, 34 . Were exercifed by flaves in ancient Greece, 37. High prices of, in Greece and at Rome, 38. Falfe policy to check manufactures in order to promote agriculture, 4.1. In Great Britain why principally fixed in the coal countries, 338.
Manure, the fopply of, in moft places depends on the fock of cattle raifed, i. 345.
Maritime countries, why the firf that are civilized and improved, i. 23.

Martial fpirit, how fupported in the ancient republics of Greece and Rome, iii. 188. The want of it now fupplied by ftanding armies, 189 . The eftablifinment of a militia little able to fupport it, 190.
Mediterranean fea peculiarly favourable for the firt attempts in navigation, i. 30 .
Meggens, Mr. his account of the annual importation of gold and filver into Spain and Portugal, i. 325. His relative proportion of each, 331 .
Mercantile fyftem explained, iii. 348.
Mercenary troops, origin and reafon of, iii. 50. The numbers of, how limited, 51 .
Merchants, their judgments more to be depended on refpecting the interefts of their particular branches of trade, than with regard to the public interef, i. 397. Their capitals altogether circulating, 412. Their dealings extended by the aid of bankers notes, 446 . 456. Cuftoms of, firft eftablifhed to fupply the want of laws, and afterward admitted as laws, 464. The manner of negociating bills of exchange explained, ibid. The pernicions tendency of drawing and redrawing, 465 .

- in what method their capitals are employed, ii. 48. Their capitals difperfed and unfixed, 54 . The principles of foreign irade examined, 67. Are the beft of improvers, when they tirn country gentitmen, 118 . Their preference among the different fpecies of trade, how determined, 178. Are attuated by a natrow fpirit of monopoly, 224. The feveral branches of the corn
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trade fecified and confidered, 291. The government of a company of, the wortt a country can be under, 367. Of London not good ceconomifts, 43).
Merchants, an unproductive ciafs of men, according to the prefent agricultural fyftem of political œconomy in France, iii. 11. The quick return of mercantile capitals enables merchants to advance money to government, 400. Their capitals increafed by lending money to the fate, 401 .
Mercier, de la Riviere, M. character of his natural and effential order of political focieties, iii. 29.
Metals, why the heft medium of commerce, i. 35. Ofigin of ftamped coins, 37. Why different metals became che Randard of value among different nations, 57. The durability of, the caufe of the fteadinefs of their price, 32 g . On what the quantity of precious metals in every particular country depends, 372.

- reftraints upon the exportation of, ii. 507 .

Metapbyfacs, the fcience of, explained, iii. 166.
Metayers, defcription of the clafs of farmers fo called in France, ii. 90.

Metbodifts, the teachers among, why popular preachers, iii. 194.
Metbuen, Mr. trandation of the commercial treaty concluded by him between England and Portugal, ii. $\mathbf{j}^{25}$.
Mexice was a lefs civilized country than Pero, when firf vifited by the Spaniards, i. 317.
prefent populoufnefs of the capital city, ii. 363 . Low flate of arts at the firft difcovery of that empire, ibid.
Militia, why allowed to be formed in cities, and its formidable nature, ii. 107.

- the origin and nature of, explained, iii. 55. How diftinguifhed from the regular ftanding army, 56. Mult always be inferior to a ftanding army, 58. A few campaigns of fervice may make a militia equal to a ftanding army, 60. Infances, 6i.
Milk, a moft perifhable commodity, how manufactured for fore, i. 355.

Mills, wind and water, their late introduction into England, i. 390.
Mines, diftinguifhed by their fertility or barrennefs, i. 2;8. Comparifon between thofe of coal and thofe of metals, 262. The competition between, extends to all parts of the world, 263. The working of, a lottery, 266. Diamond mines not always worth working, 270. Tax paid to the king of Spain from the Peruvian mines, 314. The difcovery of mines not dependent on human gill or induftry, 37 .
in Hungary, why worked at lefs expence than the neighbouring ones in Turkey, iii. $3^{8}$.
Mining, projects of, uncertain and ruinous, and unfit for legal encouragement, ii. 354 .
an, Marquis de, his character of the ceconomical table, iii. 30. if fcheme in France, the real foundation of, i. 478.
for tythe, a relief to the farmer, iii. 279.
Vos. III.
K k
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Money, the origin of, traced, i. 35. Is the reprefentative of labour, 44. The value of, greatly depreciated by the difcovery of the American mines, 47. How different metals became the ftandard money of different nations, 57. 'The only part of the circulasing capital of a fociery, of whica the maintenance can diminifh their neat revenue, 428 . Makes no part of the revenue of a fociety, 429. The term money, in common acceptation, of ambiguous meaning, 430. The circulating money in fociety, no meafure of its revenue, 432. Paper money, 434. The effect of paper on the circulation of cafh, 436. Inquiry into the proportion the circulating money of any country bears to the annual produce circulated by it, 441. Paper can never exceed the value of the cafh, of which it fupplies the place, in any country, 448. The pernicious practice of raifing money by circulation explained, 465 . —— the true caufe of its exporsation, ii. 17. Loans of, the principles of, analyfed, 33. Monied interelt, diftinguifhed from the landed and trading intereft, 35. Inquiry into the real caufes of the reduction of mitereit, 39. Money and wealth fynonymous terms in popular language, 139. And moveable goods compared, 140. The accumulation of, itudied by the European nations, 142. The mercantile arguments for liberty to export gold and tilver, ibid. The validity of thefe arguments examined, 145. Money and goods mutually the price of each other, 148. Over-trading caules complaints of the fearcity of money, 152 . Why more eafy to buy goods with money, than to buy money with goods, 153 . Inquiry into the circulating quancity of, in Great Britain, 100. Effect of the difcovery of the American mines on the value of, 168. Money and wealth difforent things, 172. Bank money explained, 220 . See Cuin, Gold, and Silver.
Monopoliez in trade or manufactures, the tendency of, i. g2. Are encmies to good management, 229.
tendency of making a monopoly of colony trade, ii. 430 . Countrics which have celonies, obiged to fhare their advantages with many other count:ics, 402 . The chief engine in the mercantile fytem, 466. How menopolies derange the natural diftribution of the flock of the focirty, 403. Are fuppurted by unjuft and cruel laws, 494. —— of a temporary nature, how far j. flifiable, jii. 143. Yerpetual monopolies injurious to the people at large, 144.
Montauban, the incqualities in the predial tallie in that generality, how rectified, iii. 273 .
Montefquieu, reafons given by him for the high rates of intereft among all Mahometan nations, i. 146.

- examination of his idea of the caufe of lowering the rate of intert ft of money, ii. 39.
Morality, two differen: fyftems of, in every civilized fociety, iii. 202. The principal points of diftinction between them, 203. The ties of obligation in each fyatem, 204. Why the morals of the common people are more regular in fectaries than under the effablifhed church, 205. The exceffes of, how to be corrected, 206.
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Morellet, M. his account of joint fock companies, defective, iii. $145^{\circ}$ Mun, Mr. his illuftration of the operation of money exported for commercial purpofes, ii. 143.
Mufic, why a part of the ancient Grecian education, iii. 172. And dancing, great amufement among barbatous nations, 173.

## N

Nations, fometimes driven to inhuman cuftoms, by poverty, i. z. The number of ufetul and profuctive labonizers in, always proportioned to the capital tiock on which they are employed, 3 . The feveral forts of induftry feldom dealt impartially by, 4. Maritim: nations, why the firt inptised, 20
——- how ruined by a reglect of pubhe oxunomy, ii. 20. Evidences of the increate of a national tapital, 23. How the expences of individuals may increate the nurional capitai, 23.
Navigation, inland, a great means of moneng a councry in arts aid induftry, i. 31. The advanages of 229 .

- iii. - may be faccefifully managed by joint fock companies, iii. 147.
-     - act of England, the principal difpoftions of, ii. 192. Motives that dictated this law, 194. Its poltical and commercial tendency, 195. Its confequences, fo far as it affected the coluny trade with England, 409. Diminifhed the foreign trade with Europe, 411. Has kept ap high profits ir the Brath trade, 413 . Subjects Britain o a difadv.ntage in every branch of trade of which fie has not the monopoly, 414.
Neceffaries difli,guith drom luxurier, iii. 331. Operation of taxes on, 333. Principal necelfaries taxed, 337.
Negro Raves, why not much emplaved in raifing corn in the Englifh colonies, ii. 89. Why more numetous on lugar than on tobacco plantations, 90.
Tile, river, the canfe of the early improvement of agriculture and manufactures in Egypr, 31.

Oats, bread made of, not fo fuitable to the human conflitation, as that made of whear, i. 251 .
Oeconomifs, fect of, in France, their polisical tenets, iii. 4. Ontology, the fcience of, explained, iii. 167. Oxford, the profefforfhips there, finecures, ni. 153.

Paper money, the credit of, how eftablihed, i. 434. The operation of paper money explained, 435 . Its effect on the circulation of K k 2

## I $\mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{D} \quad \mathrm{E} \quad \mathbf{X}$.

cafh, 436. Promotes induAty, 438. Operation of the feveral banking companies eftablifhed in Scotland, 442. Can never exceed the value of the gold and filver, of which it fupplies the place, in any councry, 448. Confequences of too much paper being iflued, 449. The practice of drawing and redrawing explained, with its pernicious effects, 464 . The advantages and difadvantages of paper credit ftated, 483 . Ill effects of notes ilfued for fmall fums, 487. Supprefing fmali notes, renders money more plentiful, 488. The currency of, does not affect the prices of goods, 490. Account of the paper currency in North America, 493.

Paper money, expedient of the gevernment of Pennfylvania to raife money, iii. 246. Why convenient for the domeltic purpofes of the North Americans, 452.
Paris enjoys litule more trade than is neceffary for the confumption of its inhabitants, ii. II.
Parifl minifters, evils atiending vefting the election of, in the people, iii. 227.

Parfimony is the immediate caufe of the increafe of capitals, ii. 13. Promotes induftry, 14. Frugal men public benefactors, 18.
—_ is the only means by which artifieess and manufacturers can add to the revenue and wealth of fociety, according to the French agricultural fyftem of political ceconomy, iii. 10.
Pafture land, under what circumflances more profitable than arable land, i. 232. Why it ought to be inclofed, 234.
Patronage, the right of, why eftablifhed in Scotland, iii. 228.
Pay, military, origin and reafon of, iii. 50.
Pennflyania, account of the paper currency there, i. 495. Good confequences of the government there having no religious eftablifhment, iii. 201. Derive a revenue from their paper currency, 453.
Pcople, how divided into productive and unproductive claffes, according to the prefent French fyftem of agricultural polical œconomy, iii. 4. The unproductive clafs, greatly ufeful to the others, 12. The great body of, how rendered unwarlike, 55. The different opporturities of education in the different ranks of, 185. The inferior ranks of, the greateft confumers, 358. The luxurious expences of thefe ranks ought only to be taxed, 361 .
Perfocution for religious opinions, the true caufe of, iii. 194.
Peru, the difcovery of the filver mines in, occafioned thofe in Europe to be in a gieat meafure abandoned, i. 263. Thefe mines yield but fmall prefit to the proprietors, 264. Tax paid to the king of Spain from thefe mines, 314. 'The early accounts of the fplendor and itate of arts in this country, greatly exaggerated, 317. Prefent flate of, under the Spanif government, 3 18. The working of the mines there become gradually more expenfive, 335 .
—— low ftate of arts there when firf difcovered, ii. 363 . Is probably more populous now, than at any former period, 364 .
Pbilofophy, natural, the origin and objects of, iii. 163. Moral, the nature of, explained, 164. Logic, the origin and employment of, 165 .

Pbyficians,

## $\mathbf{I} \quad \mathbf{N} \quad \mathbf{D} \quad \mathbf{E} \quad \mathbf{X}$.

Pbyfcians, why amply rewarded for their labour, i. 160.
Phyfics, the ancient fyftem of, explained, iii. 166.
Pinmaking, the extraordinary advantage of a divifion of labour in this art, i. 7.
Plate of private families, the melting it down to fupply fate exigencies, an infignificant refource, ii. 159. New plate is chiefly made from old, 333.

Plougbmen, their knowledge more extenfive than the generality of mechanics, i. 197.
Preumatics, the fcience of, explained, iii. 166.
Poivre, M. his account of the agriculture of Cochin China, i. 244.
Poland, a country fill kept in poverty by the feudal fyftem of its government, i. 376.
Political ceconomy, the two diftinct objects, and two different fy tems of, ii. 138.
the prefent agricultoral fyftem of, adopted by French philofophers, defcribed, iii. 1. Claffes of the people who contribute to the annual produce of the land, 4. How proprietors contribute, ibid. How cultivators contribute, 5. Arrificers and manufacturers, unproductive, 7. The unproductive claffes maintained by the others, 11. Bad tendency of reftrictions and prohibitions in trade, 17. How this fyftem is delineated by M. Quefnai, 19. The bad effetts of an injudicious political ceconomy, how corrected, zi. The capital error in this fyftem pointed out, ibid.
Poll taxes, origin of, under the feudal government, ii. 101.
why efteemed badges of flavery, iii. 309. The nature of, confidered, 327.
Pcor, hiftory of the laws made for the provifion of, in England, i. 212.
Pope of Rome, the great power formerly aflumed by, iii. 213. His power how reduced, 218. Rapid progrefs of the reformation, 222.
Population, riches and extreme poverty equally unfavourable to, i.120.
Is limited by the means of iubfiftence, 121. 255 .
Porter, the proportion of malt ufed in the brewing of, iii. $36_{3}$.
Portugal, the cultivation of the country not advanced by its commerce, ii. 135. The value of gold and filver there, depreciated by prohibiting their exportation, 271 . Tranflation of the commercial treaty concluded in 1703 with England, 325. A large fhare of the Portugal gold fent annually to England,327. Motives that led to the difcovery of a paffage to the Eaft round the Cape of Good Hope,347• Loft its manufactures by acquiring rich and fertile colonies, 432.
Poff-office, a mercantile project well calculated for being managed by a government, iii. 243 .
Potatoes, remarks on, as an article of food, i. 249. Culture, and great produce of, 250. The difficulty of preferving them the great obfacle to cultivating them for general diet, 25 .
Poverty fometimes urges nations to inhuman cuftoms, i. 2. Is no check to the production of children, 119. But very unfavourable to raifing them, 120.
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Poultry, the caufe of their cheapnefs, i. 352 . Is a more important article of rural œeconomy in France than in England, 353.
Pragmatic fanction in France, the objcet of, iii. 220. Is followed by the concordat, ibid.
Preferments, ecclebidtical, the means hy which a national clergy ought to be n : 4 aged by the civil magiftrate, iii. 210 . Alcerations in the modie of electing to them, 212. 220.
Prfoyterion church government, the nature of, defcribed, iii. 229 . Charadte of the clergy of, 230. 236.
Prices, real and nominal, of commoditie diftinguifhed, i. 49. Money price of goods explaned, 70. Rent for land enters into the price of the greater part of all commodities, 75. The component parts of the prices of goods explained, ihid. Nateral and market prices d flinguithe, and how governed, 82. 132. Though raifed ac firlt by an inceafe of demand, are always reduced by it in the refult, iii.i34.
Primogenture, crigin and mative of the law of fucceffion by, under the feudal goversment, ii. 82. Is contrary to the real interefts of families, 84.
Princes, why not well calculated to manage mercantile projecis for the fake of a revenue, iii. 24+.
Prodigality, the nalural sencency of, both to the in lividual and to the punte, 1i. 13. Piodigal menenemies to their country, 18.
Produce of land and labcur, the fource of all revenue, ii. 4. The vaJue of, how to be increafed, 22.
Profifiors in univerfities, circumftances which determine their merit, 111. $\mathrm{y}_{3} \mathrm{I}$.

Proft, the various articles of gain that pats ander the common idea of, i. 82. A: averaçe $12 t$ of, io abcumtries, 82. Averages of, ex:remely dificult io afcertain, 134. Intereft of money the beft ftandard of, $13 ;$. The diminution of, a nateral confeq ence of profperity, 139. Clear and grofs profi, dattinguihed, 146. The nature of the higheft odioaty rate of, defined, 147. Duable intereft, decmed in Getai Britan a realonable metcanile profi, 148. In thriving combitics, low yrofit may compertate the high wages of labour, 49 The operation of hige protirs and high wages, comfareí, tiou. Compenfaten imonvoneaces and difgrace, 154. Of flock, how affocter, 170. Large prefiss mult be made from fmall capitals, 1/2. Why goods are cheaper in the metropolis than in country viliages, 173. Great fortunes mo:e frequently made by trade in large tow ne than in frallones, 174. Is naturally iow in rich, and nigh in poor countris's, 396.

- how that of the diffcent claffes of traders is saifed, ii. 50. Private, the fole motive of empluying capitals in any branch of bufi70. When raifed by monopolies, en courage luxury, 437. i, unfuccefsful, in arts, injuminus to a country, ii. 19. $y$, pafiins which prompt mankind to :he invafion of, iii. 73. Civil government necefiary for the production of, ibid. Weath a fource of authori:y, 75.79.
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Provifions, how far the variations in the price of, affet labour and in duftry, i. 112. 126. 130. Whether cheaper in the metropolis, or in country villages, 173. The prices of, better regulated by competition than by law, 222. A rife in the prices of, moft be uniform, to thew that it proceeds from a cepreciation of the value of filver, 379.
Provifors, object of the fatute of, in England, iii. 220.
Prufia, mode of affeffing the land-tax there, iii. 270.
Public works and inflitutions, how to be maintained, iii. 92. Equity of tolls for palfage over roads, bridges, and canais, 95. Why government $\mathrm{ou}_{g}$ ht not to have the management of turnpikes, 99 . Nor of other public works, 10,5 .
Purveyance, a fervice ftill exacted in mont parts of Europe, ii. 96.

## Q

Pennfylvania, inference from their refolution to emancipate all their argro flaves, ii. 83 .
Qucinai, Mi. vie: of his agricultural fyftem of political œeconomy, iii. i9. His duturine generally fubfribed to, 29. $\mathscr{Q}_{\text {uito }}$, pupuloufnefs of that city, ii. 363 .

## R

Reformation, rapid progrefs of the doctrines of, in Germany, iii. 222. In bwed n and Siwzerlanc, 223. In Englard and Scotland, 224. Origin of the Lutheran and Calvinittic feets, 225 .

Reguiated companies. See Companies.
Refigion, the object of inftruction in, iii. 192. Advantage the teachers of a new religion enjoy over thofe of one that is eftablifhed, 193. Origin of pertecution for heretical opinions, 194. How the zeat of the inferior ciergy of the church of Rome is kept alive, 195. Utility of ecclefiatical eftablifments, 198. How united with the civil power, 199.
Rent, relerved, ought not to confift of money, i. 50. But of corn, 51. Of land, conftitutes a third part of the price of moR kinos of goods, i. 75. An average rate of, in all countries, and how regulated, 82. Makes the firit deduction from the produce of labour employed upon land, 98. The terms of, how adjufted between landlord and tenant, 223. Is fometimes demanded for what is altogether incapable of human improvement, 224. Is paid for, and produced by, land in almoft all fituations, 227 . The gene: it proportion paid for coal mines, 263. And metal mines, 264. Mines of precious ftones frequently yield no rent, 270 . How paid in ancient times, 284. Is raifed, either directly or indirectly, by every improvement in the circumftances of fociety, 392. Grofs and neat rent difinguifhed, 424 .

Rent, how raifed and paid under feudal government, ii. 8. Prefent average proportion of, conpared with the produce of the land, ibid.

- of houfes diflinguihed into two parts, iii. 280. Difference between rent of houles, and rent of land, 284. Rent of a houfe the beft eltimate of a tenant's circumftances, 285 .
Retainers, under the feudal fyittm of government, defcribed, ii. 110. How the connexion between them and their lords was broken, 125.
Revenue, the original fources of, pointed out, i. 78 . Of a country, of what it confifts, 424. The neat revenue of a fociety diminifhed by fupporting a circula:ing flock of money, 428. Money no part of revenue, 429 . Is not to be computed in money, bat in what noney will purchafe, 431.
- how produced, and how appropriated, in the firft inftance, i. 4. Produce of land, ibid. Produce of manufactures, 5. Muft always replace capital, ibid. The proportion between revenue and capital, regulates the proportion between idlenefs and inluftry, 12. Both the favings and the fendings of, annually conumed, 14. Of every fociety, equal to the exchangeable value of :he whole produce of is induftry, 181. Of the cuftoms, increafed $2 y$ drawbacks, 259.
- why government ought not to take the management of turnpike, to derive a revenue from them, iii. g9. Public works of a local nature, always better maintained by provincial revenues, than by che general revenue of the ftate, 105. The abufes in provincial revenues tilling, when compared with thofe in the revenue of a great empire, ico. 'the greaier the revenue of the church, the fmaller mult be that of the flate, 234. The revenue of the ftate ought to be raifed proportionably from the whole fociety, 238. Local expences ought to be defrayed by a local revenue, 239. Inquiry into the fources of public revenue, 241 . Of che republic of Hamburgh, 242. 246. Whether the government of Britain could undertake the management of the Bank, to derive a revesue from it, 243. The poftcffice a mercantile project well calculated for being managed by government, ibid. Princes not well qualifited to improve their fortunes by trade, 24t. The Englifh Eaft India company good traders before they became fovereigns, bu: each characternow foils the'other, 245. Expedient of the government of Penniylvania to raife money, 246. Rent of land the moll permanent fund, 248. Feudal revenues, 249. Great Britain, 250. Revenue from land proportioned, not to the tent, but to the produce, 252 . Reafons for felling the crown lands, 233. An improved iand-tox fuggetted, 264. The nature and fifet of tythes teplained, 274. Why a revenue cannot be raifed in kind, 278 . When raifed in money, how affected by different modes of valuation, ibid. A proporionable tax on houfes, the belt foarce of reverue, 285. Remedies for the diminution of, according to their caules, 354. Bad effects of farming out public revenues, 386. The different fources of revenue in France, 389. How expended, in the rude flate of fociety, 394.
Rice, a very productive article of culicivation, $\mathrm{i}_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}$ 248. Requires a foil
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unfit for raifing any other kind of food, 249. Rice countries more populous than corn countries, 321 .
Riches, the chief enjoyment of, confils in the parade of, i. 269. $R i / k$, inflances of the inattention mankind pay to it, i. $16 \%$.
Roads, good, the public advantages of, i. 229 .
—— how to be made and maintained, iii. 94. The maintenance of, why improper to be trufted to private intereft, 97. General ftate of, in France, 102. In China, 103.
Romans, why copper became the ftandard of value among them, i. 57. The extravagant prices paid by them for certain luxuries for the table, accounted for, 341. The value of filver higher among them than at the prefent time, ibid.

- the republic of, founded on a divifion of land among the citizens, ii. 344. The agrarian law only executed upon one or two occafions, 345 . How the ci:izens who had no land, fubfifted, ibid. Diftinction between the Roman and Greek colonies, 346. The improvement of the former flower than that of the latter, 361 . Origin of the focial war, 452. The republic ruined by extending the privilege of Roman citizens to the greater part of the inhabitants of Italy, 456.
- when contributions were firf raifed to maintain thofe who went to the wars, iii. 49. Soldiers not a diftinct profeffion there, 53. Improvement of the Roman armies by difcipline, 63. How that difeipline was loft, 64. The fall of the Weltern empire, how efiected, 66 . Remarks on the education of the ancient Romans, 172. Their morals fuperior to thofe of the Greeks, 173. State of law and forms of juftice, 176. The martial pirit of the people how fupported, 188. Great reductions of the coin practifed by, at particular exigencies, 436.
Rome, modern, how the zeal of the inferior clergy of, is kept alive, iii. 195. The clergy of, one great firitual army difperfed in different quarters over Europe, 213 . Their power during the feudal monkifh ages fimilar to that of the temporal barons, 214. Their power how reduced, 218.
Rouen, why a town of great trade, ji. 10.
Ruddiman, Mr. remarks on his account of the ancient price of wheat in Scotland, i. 287.
Rufia was civilized under Peter I. by a ftanding army, iii. 68.

Sailors, why no fenfible inconvenience felt by the great numbers difbanded at the clofe of a war, ii. 204.
Salt, account of foreign falt imported into Scotland, and of Scots falt delivered duty free, for the fifhery, ii. Append. Is an object of heavy taxation every where, iii. 337. The collection of the duty on, expenfive, 376 .
the land-tax how affeffed there, iii. 272.
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Saxon lords, their authority and jurifdiction as great before the conquelt as thofe of the Normans wese afierward, ii. 122.
Schools, parcichial, obfervations on, iii. 187.
Science is the great antidote to the poifon of enthofrafm and fuperflition, iii. 206.
Scipia, his Spanifh militia, rendered fuperior to the Carthaginian militia by difcipline and fervice, iii. 63.
Scotland, compared with England, as to the prices of labour and provifone, i. 114. Rematken the population of the Highlands, 120. The marketrate of intere!, higher than the legal rate, 197 . The fituation of cottagers there, defc-ibed, 179. Appentuc-fleps and corporationc, 187 . The common prople of, why neither to ftrong nor fo thand fore as the fane ciafsin England, 25 r. Caufe of the frequ-nt carigra:ions from, 297. F'rogrefs of agriculure there before the union with Englard, 346 . Prefentot Aruktionsto bester hufbanory, 348 . The price of wrol resuced by the union, 369. Operation of the feveral banking companieseflablifhed there, 442. Amount of the circulating money ther: before the union, 443. Amount of the prefent circulating cafh, 444. Courfe of dealings in the Sot bank, ibid. Dificutios occationed by the fe tarks iffainc too much raper, 452. Neceflary caution for fome time oblerved by the banksin giving credit to their cuflumers, with the good efrects of it, 456. The fcheme of drawing and redrawing adrepted by traders, 403 . Its pernicious tendency expiained, 465 . Hiftory of the Ayrbant, 47 I . Mr. Law's feheme to improve the country, 478. The prices of goods in, not alered by paper cur-

* rency, 490. Effet of the optional claties in their notes, $49^{2}$.
———caufe of the fiecdy eflablifhment of the reformation there, iii. 224. The diforders attending popular eirelicas of the clergy there, occafion the right of patronage to be eftabtithed, 228. Amount of the whole reverue of the clergy, 235 .
Sra fervice and military fervace by land comparm, i. 10 .
Sects in religion, the more numerous, the better for foctety, iii. 200. Why they generally profef the aulterefylten of morality, 204.
Self-leve the goversing principle in the inicrcourfe of human tectety, f. 21.

Servants, menia!, diftinguifhed from hired warkmen, ii. i. The various orders of men, whe rank in the former clafs, in reference to cheir labours, 3 .

$\boldsymbol{S}_{\text {etitements }}$ of the poor, bricf revirw of the linglith laws relating to, i. 212. The removals of the poor, a violation of natural liberty, 210. the law of, ousht to be rapaled, ii. 205.
Sbeep, frequently killed in Spain, for the fake of the fleece and the tallow, i. $3^{6 t}$.

- feverelaws againft the exportation of them and their wool, ii. 494.

Sbefberds, war how fupported by a nation of, iii. 45. Inequality of fortune among, the tource of great auchority, 77. Birth and fa-
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mily highly honoured in nations of fhepherds, 78 . Inequality of fortune firf began to take place in the age of the fherds, 79. And introduced civil government, 80 .
Shetland, how rents are ettionated and paid there, i. 224.
Silk manifacture, how tranoferred fr:m Lucca to Venice, ii. 113.
Silver, the fitt thandard coi, age of the nor: hern fubverters of the Román empire, i. $5^{8}$. It pmportional va'ue to gld regulated by law, 59. Is he tneafure ot the value of gold. 60. Mint price of filver in Enpland, 63. Inquiry into the difference berween the mintard matket prices of bullion, 64 . How to prelerve the filver coin from being metted down for profit, 66. The mines of, in Europe, why ge erally abandoned, 263 . Evidences of the frmall profit they yield to proprietors in Peru, 26.4 . Qualities for which this metal is valurd, 269 . The moft abundant mines of, would add little to the weslth of the world, 271. But the increafe in the quanrity of, would depreciate it own value, 275. Circumftances that might counteract this effect, ibid. Hiftorical view of the variations in the vilue of, during the four laft centuries, 276 . Remarks on its rife in value compared with corn, 232 . Circumftanres that have mifled writers in reviening the value of filver, 2\%.4. Corn the beft ftandard for juciging of the real value of filver, 293. The price of, how affected by the i -creafe of quantity, 294. The value of, fonk by the dilcovery of the American mines, 300 . When the reduction of its value from this caufe appears to have been completed, jci. Tax paid from the Peruvian mines to the king of Spain, 314. The value of filver kept up by an extenfion of the marker, 315 . Is the moff proficable commodity that can be fent to China, 323. The value of, how proportioned to that of gold, before and after the difcovery of the American mines, 330. The quantity commonly in the market in proportion to that of gold, probably greater than their relatuve values indicate, 332 . The value of probably rifing, and why, 336 . The opinion of a depreciation of its value, not well founded, 380 .

- the real value of, degraded by the bounty on the exportation of corn, ii. 268.
Sinking fund in the Britifh finances, explained, iii. 410 . Is inadequate to the difcharge of former debts, and almolt wholly applied to other purpofes, 418. Motives to the mifapplication of it, 419.
Slaves, the labour of, dearer to the mafters than that of free men, i. 122. undicr feudal lords, circumftances of their firuation, ii. 87. Countries where this order of men ftill remains, 8 g . Why the fervice of flaves is preferred to that of free men, 89. Their labour why unprofitable, 90 . Caufes of the abolining of llavery throughout the greater part of Europe, 91. Receive more protection from the magiftrate in an arbitrary government, than in one that is free, 39 ..
- why employed in manufactures by the ancient Grecians, iii. 36. Why no improvements are to be expected from them, 37 . i, a tempting, but generally a ruinous employment, i. ifo.
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Suuggling encouraged by high duties, iii. 350. Remedies againt, 354. The crime of morally confidered, $37^{8}$.

Sociti, , human, the firft principies of, i. 21 .
Soldiers, remarks on their motives for engaging in the military line, i. 167. Comparifon between the land and fea fervice, ibid.
why nofenfible inconvenience felt by the difbanding of great numbers after a war is over, ii. 204 .

- reafon of their firft ferving for pay, iii. 50. How they became a diftinct clafs of the peaple, 55. How diftinguifhed from the militia, 56 . Alteration in their exercife produced by the invention of fire-arms, $57^{\circ}$
South Sea company, amazing capital once enjoyed by, iii. 124. Mercantile and fock jobbing projects of,:128. Afliento contract, 129 . Whale fifiery, itid. The capital of, turned into annuity fock, 130. 407.

Sovereign and trader, inconfiftent characters, iii. 245.
Sovereign, three duties only, neceffary for him to attend to, for fupporting a fykem of natural liberty, iii. 42. How he is to protect the fociety from external violence, 44.70. And the members of it, from the injuftice and oppretfion of each other, 72. And to maintain public works and inllitutions, 92 .
Spain, one of the pooreft countries in Europe, notwithftanding its rich mines, i. 377.
its commerce has produced no confiderable manufastures for diftant fale, and the greater part of the country remains uncultivated, ii. 135. Spanih mode of eltimating their American difcoveries, 140 . The value of gold and filver there, depreciated by laying a tax on the exportaticn of them, 271. Agriculture and manufactures there, difccuraged by the redundancy of gold and filver, 272. Natural confequences shat would refult from taking away this tax, 273. The real and pretended motives of the court of Caftile for taking poffeffion of the countries difcovered by Columbus, 352. The tax on gold and filver, how reduced, 353 . Gold, the object of all the enterprifes to the new world, $354^{\circ}$ The colonies of, lefs populous than thofe of any other European nation, 363 . Afferted an exclufive claim to all America, until the mifcarriage of their invincible armada, 366. Policy of the trade with the colonies, 377. The American eftablifhments of, effected by private adventurers, who received little beyond permifion from the government, 398. Lof its manufactures by acquiring rich and fertile colonies, 432. The alcavala tax there explained, 381 . The suin of the Spanifh manufactures attributed to ir, 382 .
Speculation, a dittinct cmpioyment in improved fociety, i. 16. Speculative inerchants defcribed, $175^{\circ}$
Stage, public performers on, paid for the contempt attending their profeffion, i. 163.

- the political ufe of dramatic reprefentations, iii. 206.
duties in England aad Holland, remarks on, iii. 316.321. tenants in scotland, what, ii. 92.
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Stock, the profits raifed on, in manufacures, explained, i. 72. In trade, an increafe of, raifes wages, and diminifies profit, 133. Muft be larger in a great town than in a country village, i 36. Natural confequences of a deficiency of flock in new colonies, 140. The profits on, litile affected by the eafinefs or dificulty of learning a trade, 156. But by the rik, or difagreeablenefs of the bufinefs, 170. Stock employed for profit, fets into motion the greater part of ufeful labour, 396. No accumulation of, neceffary in the rude fate of fociety, 407. The accumulation of, neceffary to the divifion of labour, 408. Stock diftinguifhed into two parts, 411 . The general flock of a country or fociety, explained, 414. Houfes, ibid. Improved land, 416 . Perfonal abilities, 417. Money and provifions, ibid. Raw materials and manufattured goods, 418. Stock of individuals, how employed, 421 . Is frequently buried or concealed, in arbitrary countries, 422.
the profits on, decreafe, in proportion as the quantity increafes, ii. 9. On what princip!es tock is lent and borrowed at inserett, 33 . That of every fociety divided among different employments, in the proportion moft agreeable to the public intertit, by the private views of individuals, 466 . The natural difritution of, deranged by monopolizing fytems, 468 . Every derangemenc of, injurious to the fociety, 470.
mercantile, is barren and unproductive, accordins to the French agricultural fyftem of poitical œeconomy, iii. 8. LHow far the revenue from, is an object of taxation, 29z. A tax on, intended under the land tax, 296.
Stockings, why cheaply manufactured in Scoiland, i. 181. When firt introduced into England, 389.
Stone quarries, their value depends on fiuation, i. 254.274.
Stones, precious, of no ufe but for ornament, and how the price of, is regulated, i. 270 . The mot abundant mines of, would add little to the wealth of the world, 271 .
Subordination, how introduced into fociety, iii. 74. Perfonal qualifications, ibid. Age and fortune, 75. Birth, 77. Dirth and fortune two great fources of perfonal diflinction, 78 .
Subfidy, old, in the Englifh cuftoms, the drawbacks upen, ii. 253 . Origin and import of the term, iii. 347 .
Sugar, a very profitable article of cultivation, i. 243 . ii. S 9 .

- Drawbacks on the exportation of, from England, ii. 254. Might be cultivated by the drill piough, inftead of all hand labour by laves, 394 .
- a proper fubject for taxation, as an article fold at a monopoly price, iii. 370.
Sumptuary laws fuperfluous reftraints on the common people, ii. 27.
Surinam, prefent fate of the Dutch colony there, ii. 367 .
Switzerland, eftablifhment of the reformation in Berne and Zurich, iii. 223. The ciergy there zealous and induftrious, 236. Taxes how paid there, 299. 315.
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c, in France, the nature of that tax, and its operation, explained, ii. 96. iii. 303 .

Talents, natural, not fo various in different men as is fuppofed, i. 23 . Tartars, their manner of conducting war, iii. 45. Their invafions dreadful, 47.
Tavernier, his account of the diamond mines of Golconda and ViGapour, i. 270.
Taxes, the origin of, under the feudal government, ii. 101.

- the fources from whence they muft arife, iin. 255. Unequal taxes, 255. Ought to be clear and certain, ibid. Ought to be levied at the times moft convenient for payment, 257. Ought to take as litle as pofible out of the pockets of the people, more than is brought into the public treafury, ibid. How they may be made more burderfume to the p -ople than beneficial to the fovereign, abid. Ine iand tax of Great Litam, 259. Land-tax at Venice, 263 . Improvements fuggefted for a lanu tax, 264. Mode of affelfing the land tax iu Pruina, 270 . Tythes a very unequal tax, and a dicouragement to improvement, 274. Operation of tax on houfe rent, payable by the tenant, 281. A proportionable tax on houles, the beft fource of revenue, 285. How far the revenue from thock is a proper object of taxation, 29z. Whather intereft of money i, proper for taxation, 294. How taxes are paid at Hamkurgh, 298 Ia Swizzerland, 299. Taxes upon particular employments, 301 . P:lltaxes, jog. Taxes, badges of liberty, ibid. Taxes upon the transfer of property, 312 . Stamp duties, 316. On whom the feveral kinds of taxes principally fall, 317. Taxes upon the wages of labour, 321. Capitations, 327. Taxes upon confumable commodities, 331. Upon neceffaries, 333. Upon luxuries, 334. Principal necefiaries taxed, 337. Aufurdities in taxation, 339 Different parts of Europe very highly taxed, 340. Two different methods of taxing confuable commodities, $34^{\circ}$. Sir Matthew Decker's tcheme of taxa:ion confidered, 342. Excife and cuftoms, 345. 'Taxation fometimes not an infrument of revenue, but of monopoly, 350 . Iaprovements of the cultoms fuggefted, 353. T'axes paid in the price of a commodity litite adverted to, 374. On laxuries, the good and bad properties of, ibid. Bad effects of farming them oi:t, 386. How the finances of France might be reformed, 390. French and Englifh fyftems of taxation compared, 391. New taxes alwaya generate dicontent, 419. How far the Britith sytem of taxation might te applicable to all the different provinces of the empire, 4ft. Such a plan might fpeedily difcharge the national debt, $44^{8}$.
Tea, greatinportation and confumption of that drug in Bitain, i. 320. Teachers in uniserfities, tendency of endowments to diminifh their application, iii. 152. The jurifdiftions to which they are fabject,
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little calculated to quicken their diligence, 153. Are frequenty obliged to gain protection by fervility, :54. Defects in their eftablifhments, 156. Teachersamong the ancient Greeksand Romans, fuperior to thofe of modern times, 179. Circumiiances which draw good ones to, or drain them from, the univerfities, $2 ; 1$. Thair employment naturally renders them eminent in lettere, 233.
Tenures, feudal, general obfervations on, ii. 7. Deticibed, 82.
Thiology, monkif, the complexion of, iii. ICS.
Tin, average rent of the mines of, in Cornwall, i. 264. Yield a greater profit to the proprietors than the filver mines of Peru, 265Regalations under which tin-mines are worked, 266.
Tobacco, the culture of, why reftrained in Europe, i. 245. Not fo profitable an article of cultivation in the We a lndies as lugar, 246 . the amount and courfe of the Britifh trade with, explained. ii. 68. The whole duty upon, drawa back on exportation, $257^{\circ}$ Confequences of the exclufive trade Britain enjoys with Maryiand and Varginia in this article, 407.
Tolls, for pallage over roads, bridges, and navigable canals, the equity of, thewn, iii. 95 . Upon carriages of luxury, ought : be higher than upon carriages of utility, 0 . The management of turnpikes often an objed of jufl complaint, 98. Way government ought not to have the management of turnpikes, 99.37 x .
Tonnage and poundage, origin of thote duties, iii. 346.
Tontine in the French finances, what, with the derivation of the name, iii. 413.
Toulouje, falary paid to a connfellor or judge in the pariament of, iii. 87.

Torwns, the places where indufry is mot preftably exerted, i. ijat. The firit of combination prevalent among manufaturers,195,200. accoring to what circumflances the general chatacter of the inhabicancs, as to indufry, is furmed, ii. io. The recipres a nacure of the trade between them and the country, explained, 73 Sutifl on the furplus produce of the country, 75. How firt formed, 77. Ate continual fairs, ibid. The uiginal povery yand fervile flate of the inhabitants of, 100 . Their early exemptions and privileges, huw nbtained, 101 . The inhabitants uf, wotained libery much earlier thav the occupers of hand in the country, 102 . Origin of free burghs, ibid. Origin of corporations, 103 . Why allowed to form militia, 107. How the increate and riches of commercial towns contribuied to the improvennat of the countiies to which they belonged, 117.
Trade, double intcrett deemed a reafonable mercantile profit in, i. 148. - four general claffes of, equally neceffary to, and dependent on, each other, it. 46. Wholefale, three differe:st forrs or, 59 . The different recurns of home and foreign trade, ot. The nature and operation of the carrying trade examined, 64 . The principles of toregn trade examaned, $0 \%$. The trade betwren town and country expliained, 78 . Origial povesty and fervile flate of the inha-
bitancs
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bitants of towns, under feudal government, 100. Exemptions and privileges granted to them, 101. Extenfion of commerce by rude nations felling thcir ow raw produce for the manafactures of more civilized countries, ilif. Its falutary effects on the government and manners of a country, IIg. Subverted the feudal authority, 125 . The independence of tradefmen and artifans, explained, 127. The capitals acquired by, very precarious, until fome part has been realized by the cultivation and improvement of land, 136 . Overtrading, the caufe of complaints of the fcarcity of money, 152. The importation of gold and filver not the principal benefit derived from foreign trade, 167. Effect produced in trade and manufactures by the difcovery of America, 169 . And by the difcovery of a paffage to the Eaft Indies round the Cape of Good Hope, 170 . Error of commercialwriters in eftimating national wealth by gold and filver, 172. Inquiry in to the caule and effect of reftraints upon trade, 173. Individuals, by purfuing their own intereft, unknowingly promote that of the public, 181. Legal regulations of trade, unfafe, 182. Retaliatory regulations between nations, 200. Mealures forlaying trade open, ought to be carried into execution flowly, 207. Policy of the reftraints on trade between France and Britain confidered, 211 . No certain criterion to determine on which fide the balance of trade between two countries turns, 212. Moft of the regulations of, founded on a miftaken doctrine of the balance of trade, 235. Is generally founded on narrow principles of policy, 243. Drawbacks of duties, 252. The dealer who employs his whole flock in one fingle branch of bufinefs, has an advantage of the fame kind with the workman who employs his whole labour on a fingle operation, 302. Confequences of drawing it from a number of fmall channels into one great channel, 424. Colony trade, and the monopoly of that trade, diftinguifhed, 429. The intereft of the confumer conftantly facrificed to that of the producer, 515.
Trade, advantages attending a perfect freedom of, to landed nations, according to the prefent agricultural fyftem of political eeconomy in France, iii. 15. Origin of foreign trade, 16. Confequences of high duties and prohibitions, in landed nations, 17.19. How trade augments the revenue of a country, 26. Nature of the trading intercourfe between the inhabitants of towns and thofe of the country, 40.
Trades, caufe and effect of the feparation of, i.g. Origin of, 22.
Tranfit duties explained, iii. 372.
Travelling for education, fummary view of the effects of, iii. 171.
Treafures, why formerly accumulated by princes, ii. 166.
Treafure trove, the term explained, i. 422 . Why an important branch of revenue under the ancient feudal governments, iii. 396. Turkey company, fhort hiftorical view of, iii. 113.
Ťurnpikes. See Tolls.
Tythes, why an unequal tax, iii. 274. The levying of, a great difcouragement to improvements, 275 . The fixing a modus for, a relief to the farmer, 279.
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## V

$e$, the term defined, i. 42.
Vedius Pollio, his cruelty to his flaves checked by the Roman emperor Auguftus, which could not have been done under the republican form of government, ii. 396.
Venice, origin of the filk manufacture in that city, ii. 113. Traded in Eaft India goods before the fea track round the Cape of Good Hope was difcovered, 347.

- nature of the land tax in that republic, iii. 263 .

Venifon, the price of, in Britain, does not compenfate the expence of a deer park, i. 351.
Vicefima hæreditatum among the ancient Romans, the nature of, explained, iii. 312.
Villages, how firtt formed, ii. 77 .
Villenage, probable caufe of the wearing out of that tenure in Europe, ii. 9 r.
Vineyard, the moft profitable part of agriculture, both among the ancients and moderns, i. 239. Great advantages derived from peculiarities of foil in, 242 .
Univerfities, the emoluments of the teachers in, how far calculated to promote their diligence, iii. 152. The profeflers at Oxford have moftly given up teaching, 153. Thofe in France fubject to incompetent jurifdictions, $155^{\text {. }}$ The privileges of graduates improperly obtained, ibid. Abufe of lecturefhips, 156 . The difcipline of, feldom calculated for the benefit of the itudents, 157. Are, in England, more corrupted than the public fchools, 159 Original foundation of, 160. How Latin became an effential article in academical education, 161. How the ftudy of the Greek language was introduced, 162 . The three great branches of the Greek philofophy, 163. Are now divided into five branches, 166. The monkih courfe of education in, 168. Have not been very ready to adopt improvements, 169 . Are not well calculated to prepare men for the world, 170 . How filled with good profefiors, or drained of them, 231. Where the worft and belt profeffors are generally to be met with, 232 . See Colleges and Teackers.

## W

Wages of labour how fettled between mafters and wo:kmen, i. 99. The workmen generally obliged to comply with the terms of their employers, 100 . The oppofition of workmen outrageous, and ieldom fuccefsful, 1.01. Circumftances which operate to raife wages, 103. The extent of wages limited by the funds from which they arife, 104. Why higher in North America, than in England, 105. Are low in countries that are ftationary, 107. Not oppreflively low in Great Britain, 111 . A diftinction made here between the wages in fummerand in winter, ibid. If fufficient in dear years, they mult ample in feafons of plenty, 112. Different rates of, in
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places, 113. Liberal wages encourage induftry and propagation, 124. An advance of, neceffarily raifes the price of many commodities, 132. An average of, not eafily afcertained, 134. The operation of high wages and high profits compared, 149. Caufes of the variations of, in differentemployments, 152. Are generally higher in new, than in old trades, 176.210. Legal regutations of, deftroy induftry and ingenuity, 220.
Wages, natural effect of a direct tax upon, iii. 322.
Walpole, Sir Robert, his excife fcheme defended, iii. 358.
Wants of mankind, how fupplied through the operation of labour, i. 33. How extended, in proportion to their fupply, i. 256. The far greater part of them fupplied from the produce of other men's labour, 407.
Wars, foreign, the funds for the maintenance of, in the prefent century, have little dependence on the quantity of gold and filver in a nation, ii. 159 .
how fupported by a nation of hunters, iii. 44. By a nation of hepherds, 45. By a nation of hufbandmen, 47. Men of military 2ge, what proportion they bear to the whole fociety, 48. Feudal wars, how fupported, 49. Caufes which in the advanced fate of fociety rendered it impeffible for thofe who took the field, to maintain themfelves, 50 . How the art of war became a diftinct profeffion, 53. Diftinction between the militia and regular forces, 56 . Alteration in the art of war produced by the invention of fire-arms, 57. 70. Importance of difcipline, 59. Macedonian army, 6y. Carthagenian army, 62. Roman army, 63. Feudal armies, 66. A well-regulated ftanding army, the only defence of a civilized country, and the only means for fpeedily civilizing a barbarous country, 68. The want of parfimony during peace, impofes on Hates the neceffity of contracting debts to carry on war, 399.416. Why war is agreeable to thofe who live fecure from the immediate calamities of it, 437 . Advantages of raifing the fupplies for, within the year, 427 .
Fatch movements, great reduction in the prices of, owing to mechanical improvements, i. 385.
W'calth and money, fynonymous terms, in popular language, ii. 139 172. Spanifh and Tartarian eftimate of, compared, 140.
—— the great authority conferred by the poffeffion of, iii. 75 .
$2 i$ eavers, the profits of, why neceffarily greater than thofe of finners; i. 77 .

Itef Indies, difcovered by Columbus, ii. 349. How they obtained. this name, ibid. The original native productions of, 350 . The thirft of gold the object of all the Spanifh enterprifes there, 354 . And of thofe of every other European nation, 357. The remotenefs of, greatly in favour of the European colonies there, 3 tz. The fugar colonies of France better governed than thofe of Britain, $394 \cdot$
Whbat. See Corn.
Window tax in Britain, how rated, iii. 2go. Tends to reduce houfe-
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Windfor market, chronological table of the prices of corn at, i. 403. Wine, the cheapnefs of, would be a caufe of fobriety, ii. 242. The carrying trade in, encouraged by Englifh fatutes, 255 .
Wood, the price of, rifes in proportion as a country is cultivated, i. 259. The growth of young trees prevented thy catile, 260 . When the planting of trees becomes a profitable employment, it.
Wool, the produce of rude countries, commonly carried to a diftant market, i. 360. The price of, in England, has fallen confiderably Gince the time of Edward IIl. 363. Caufes of this diminution in price, 364. The price of, confiderably reduced in Scotiand, by the union with England, 369.

Severity of the laws againt the exportation of, ii. 495. Reftraints upon the inland commerce of, 497. Reftraints upon the coalting trade of, 498. Pleas on which thefe reftraints are founded. 499. The price of wool deprefled by thefe regulations, 500. The exportation of, ought to be allowed, fubject to a duty, 504 .
Wocllen cloth, the prefent prices of, compared with thofe at the clofe of the fifteenth century, i . $3^{86}$. Three mechanical improvements introduced in the manufacture of, 389 .
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## Publibed by the fame Author,

Elegantly printed in Two Volumes Octavo, Price 125. in Boards,

A New Edition, being the Sixth, with very confiderable. Addutions and Corrections, of

THE
THEORY OF MORAL SENTIMENTS:
An Essay towards an Analvfis of the Principles by which Men naturally judee concerning the Conduct and Character, firft of their Neighbours, and atterwards of themfelves.
To which is Added,

A DISSERTATION on the Origin of Languace.


[^0]:    - See Book I. Chap. I.

[^1]:    - Seo the Journal of Mr. De Lange in Bell's Travels, vol. ï. p. 258. 276. and 293.

[^2]:    *They are to be found in Tyrrel's Hiftory of England.
    Yol. III.
    G
    which

[^3]:    - Since publihing the two frift editions of this book, I have got good reafons to believe that all the turnpike tolls levied in Great Britain do not produce a neat revenue that amounts to half a million; a fum which, under the management of Government, would not be fufficient to keep in repair five of the principal roads in the kingdom.

[^4]:    * I have now good reafons to belleve that all thefe conjecmural fums are by much too large.

[^5]:    * See Memoires concernant les Droits \& Impofitions en Europe; tome i. page 73. This work was compiled by the order of the court for the ufe of a commiffion employed for tome years paft in confidering the proper means for reforming the finances of France. The aceount of the French taxes; which takes up three volumes in quarto, may be regarded as perfectly authentic. That of thofe of other Earopean nations was compiled from fuch imformations as the French minifters at the different courts could prosure. It is much thorter, and probably not quite fo exact as that of the French taxes.

[^6]:    * See Memoires concernant les Droits \& Impofitions en Europe; tome i. p. 73.

[^7]:    *See Sketches of the Hiftory of Man, page 474. \& feq.

[^8]:    * Memoires concernant les Droits, \&c, tcme i. p. 114. 115, 116, \&c.

[^9]:    - Memoires concernant les Droits, \&sc. tome i. p. 83, 84.
    t 1d. p. 280, \&c. alfo p. 287, \&c. to 316.

[^10]:    * Memoires concernant les Droits, \&c. tome ii. p. 139, \&c.

[^11]:    * Since the firf publication of this book, a tax nearly upon the above-mentioned principles has been impored.

[^12]:    * Memoires concernant les Droits, \&c. p. 223. Yol. III.

[^13]:    - Memoires concerpant les Droits, tome i. p. 163. 166.171. projects

[^14]:    * Memoires concernant les Droits, \&c. tome ii. p. 17.

[^15]:    * Lib. 55. See alfo Burman de Vectigalibus Pop. Rom. cap. xi. and Bouchaud de l'impôt du vingtieme fur les fucceffions.

[^16]:    * Memoires concernant leṣ Droits, \&c. tome i. p. ${ }^{5} 54$ + Id. p. ${ }^{157}$.

[^17]:    * Memoires concernant les Droits, \&c. tome i. p. 223, 224, 225.

[^18]:    * Memoires concernant les Droits, \&c. p. 210,
    $\ddagger$ Le Reformateur.

[^19]:    * Though the dutics direftly impofed upon proof firits amount only to 2 s .6 d . per gallon, thefe added to the duties upon the low wines, from which they are diftilled, amount to 3 s. $10 \frac{2}{3} d$. Both low wines and proof firits are, to prevent frands, now rated according to what they gauge in the wafh

[^20]:    * See Examen des Reflexions politiques far les Finances.

[^21]:    * See James Poflethwaite's hiftory of the public revenue.

[^22]:    * It has proved more expenfive than any of our former nars; and has involved us in an additional debt of more than che bundred millions. During a profound peace of eleven years, little more than ten millions of debt was paid; during a war of feren years, more than one hundred millions was contracked.

[^23]:    Vol. III.
    Ff

[^24]:    * Sce Du Cange Gloffary, voce Moneta; the cdition.

[^25]:    * See Hutchinfon's Hift of Maflachuftt's Bay, Vol. II. page 436": \& feq.

